

# Open Budget Survey 2021

Questionnaire

Poland

May 2022

# Country Questionnaire: Poland

PBS-1. What is the fiscal year of the PBS evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:  
2021 2021

Source:  
Servis RP (gov.pl):  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>

Comment:  
PBS for BY 2021 was accepted by the Government on 28 July 2020

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Accepted by the Council of Ministers on 27.07.2020, published on 29.07.2020

<https://archiwum.bip.kprm.gov.pl/kpr/form/r261462867375,Zalozenia-projektu-budzetu-panstwa-na-rok-2021.html>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-2. When is the PBS made available to the public?

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for a PBS to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration. If the PBS is not released to the public at least one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the PBS.*

Answer:  
a. At least four months in advance of the budget year, and at least one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is introduced in the legislature

Source:  
PBS publication date / Servis RP (gov.pl):  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>

EBP submission date to the legislature (legislative process):  
<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=640>

Comment:  
PBS for 2021 [Założenia do projektu budżetu państwa na rok 2021] was published on 29th July 2020 and EBP [Projekt ustawy budżetowej na rok 2021] was submitted to the legislature on 30th September 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-3a. If the PBS is published, what is the date of publication of the PBS?

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

Answer:

29/7/2020 29/7/2020

Source:

MoF/ Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>

Comment:

PBS was published on governmental portal Servis RP/gov.pl in MoF's bookmark

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the PBS.

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

The date of publication is indicated in the appropriate bookmark on PBS - see phrase: "Informacje o publikacji dokumentu". The date is indicated on website Servis RP (gov.pl) - see phrase: "Informacje o publikacji dokumentu".

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/1ca90e3a-fff6-46c9-8565-e0ea5d162dc6>

Comment:

PBS is published in PDF format only.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-4. If the PBS is published, what is the URL or weblink of the PBS?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/1ca90e3a-fff6-46c9-8565-e0ea5d162dc6> <https://www.gov.pl/attachment/1ca90e3a-fff6-46c9-8565-e0ea5d162dc6>

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-5. If the PBS is published, are the numerical data contained in the PBS available in a machine readable format?

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) or HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>*

*Option "d" applies if the PBS is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.*

Answer:

c. No

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/1ca90e3a-fff6-46c9-8565-e0ea5d162dc6>

Comment:

PBS is published in PDF format only.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-6a. If the PBS is not publicly available, is it still produced?

*If the PBS is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question PBS-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question PBS-2)*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

*If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.*

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/1ca90e3a-fff6-46c9-8565-e0ea5d162dc6>

Comment:

PBS has been published within acceptable time frame.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question PBS-6a, please specify how you determined whether the PBS was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question PBS-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

PBS-7. If the PBS is produced, please write the full title of the PBS.

*For example, a title for the Pre-Budget Statement could be "Proposed 2021 State Budget" or "Guidelines for the Preparation of Annual Plan and Budget for 2020/21."*

*If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Założenia projektu budżetu państwa na rok 2021 Założenia projektu budżetu państwa na 2021 rok

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/1ca90e3a-fff6-46c9-8565-e0ea5d162dc6>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree  
Comments: "Assumptions for Project of State Budget for 2021"

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

PBS-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the PBS?

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.*

Answer:  
b. No

Source:

Comment:  
There is no "citizens version" of the PBS produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

EBP-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EBP evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

Answer:  
2021 2021 FY 2021

Source:  
Servis RP (gov.pl):  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/projekt-przekazany-do-sejmu2>

Comment:  
EBP was accepted by Council of Ministers on 28 September 2020 and then presented to the legislature on 30 September 2020.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree  
Comments: Published on 01.20.2020

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

EBP-1b. When is the EBP submitted to the legislature for consideration?

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

Answer:

30/9/2020 30/9/2020

Source:

The course of the legislative process:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=640>

Comment:

The date is indicated on Parliament website as a starting point of the legislative process.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-2. When is the EBP made available to the public?

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for an EBP to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public while the legislature is still considering it and before the legislature approves (enacts) it. If the EBP is not released to the public before the legislature approves it, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the EBP.*

*The OBS definition of an Executive's Budget Proposal is a document(s) that (i) the executive submits to the legislature as a formal part of the budget approval process and (ii) the legislature either approves or on which it approves proposed amendments.*

*The OBS will treat the Executive's Budget Proposal as "Not Produced," in the following cases:*

- *The executive does not submit the draft budget to the legislature; or*
- *The legislature receives the draft budget but does not approve it or does not approve recommendations on the draft budget;*
- *The legislature rejects the draft budget submitted by the executive, but the executive implements it without legislative approval; or*
- *There is no legislature, or the legislature has been dissolved.*

Answer:

a. At least three months in advance of the budget year, and in advance of the budget being approved by the legislature

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/projekt-przekazany-do-sejmu2>

Course of legislative process:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=640>

Comment:

The EBP was published on 1st October 2020. Budget for BY 2021 was approved by legislature on 17th December 2021.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree  
Comments: 01.10.2020 is exactly 3 months before start of the BY

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

EBP-3a. If the EBP is published, what is the date of publication of the EBP?

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

*In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their date of publication.*

Answer:

1/10/2020 1/10/2020

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/projekt-przekazany-do-sejmu2>

Comment:

The publication date is indicated on portal Servis RP (gov.pl) - see phrase: "Informacje o publikacji dokumentu".

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

EBP-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EBP.

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

The publication date is indicated on portal Servis RP (gov.pl) - see phrase: "Informacje o publikacji dokumentu". The date is indicated on website of the portal Servis RP (gov.pl) - see phrase: "Informacje o publikacji dokumentu".

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/projekt-przekazany-do-sejmu2>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree



EBP-4. If the EBP is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EBP?

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

*In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their URL or weblink.*

Answer:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/f1f6086b-3640-491d-a383-670a036fba0> <https://www.gov.pl/attachment/f1f6086b-3640-491d-a383-670a036fba0>

Source:

EPB 2021 - Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/projekt-przekazany-do-sejmu2>

Parliament website:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=640>

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

Comment:

Supporting Budget Documents to EBP 2021:

[a - Servis RP (gov.pl), b - Parliament website]

1. Explication to the draft of the state budget 2021:

a) <https://www.gov.pl/attachment/fdab99d2-6e2c-440f-89a7-c697587b85e0>

b) <https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

2. Performance-based draft of the state budget for 2021:

a) <https://www.gov.pl/attachment/693df0f6-24a2-410d-869a-4a1d520c3bff>

b) <https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-planowanie%20w%20uk%C5%82adzie%20zadaniowym%20na%202021%20rok.pdf>

3. Strategy of Managing Debt of Public Sector 2021-24:

a) <https://www.gov.pl/attachment/5c34e277-800a-491c-948c-0863f7222560>

b) <https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-strategia%20zarz%C4%85dzania%20d%C5%82ugiem.pdf>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-5. If the EBP is published, are the numerical data contained in the EBP or its supporting documents available in a machine readable format?

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.*

*Option "d" applies if the EBP is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.*

Answer:

c. No

Source:

MoF/Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/projekt-przekazany-do-sejmu2>

Parliament website:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=640>

Comment:  
Documentation EBP 2021 is published in PDF format only.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

EBP-6a. If the EBP is not publicly available, is it still produced?

*If the EBP is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EBP-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EBP-2).*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

*If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.*

Answer:  
e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

Comment:  
EBP 2021 has been published within acceptable time frame.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

EBP-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EBP-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EBP was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EBP-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion:

Government Reviewer  
Opinion:

EBP-7. If the EBP is produced, please write the full title of the EBP.

*For example, a title for the Executive's Budget Proposal could be "Draft Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure for BY 2020-21, produced by the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development."*

*If there are any supporting documents to the EBP, please enter their full titles in the comment box below.*

*If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Projekt ustawy budżetowej na rok 2021

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/projekt-przekazany-do-sejmu2>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: "Draft Budget Act for 2021"

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EBP?

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see:*

*<https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.*

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Comment:

There is no "citizens version" of the EBP produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

Answer:  
FY 2020

Source:  
EB 2020

1) Servis RP (gov.pl):  
<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/cd8a542c-82b6-4269-b550-b322113e5a05>

2) Parliament website:  
<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20200000571>  
<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20200000571/T/D20200571L.pdf>

EB 2020 amendment:

1) Servis RP (gov.pl):  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/nowelizacja-2020>

2) Parliament website:  
<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20200001919>  
<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20200001919/O/D20201919.pdf>

Comment:

EB 2020 was voted on 14th February 2020 and then published on 14th April 2020.  
The budget for 2020 was later amended during the year, with the date of voting on 7th October 2020 and publication date on 11th November 2020.  
The budget for 2021 was voted in the lower house of parliament on December 17, 2020, and was published on February 2, 2021, after the procedure was completed in the Senate and signed by the President. Due to the fact that the publication date exceeds the Survey cutoff date of December 31, 2020, the subject of the analysis will be EB 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-1b. When was the EB approved (enacted) by the legislature?

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

Answer:  
14/2/2020

Source:

Course of legislative process:  
<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/Sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=112>

Comment:

EB 2020 was voted on 14th February 2020 - see date of so called "3rd reading" (III czytanie na posiedzeniu Sejmu).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: After being voted by the lower chamber of the Parliament at 14/2/2020, the EB was processed by the upper chamber (amendments rejected by the lower chamber on 27/03/2020) and then signed by the President on 30/03/2020. It may be argued that the answer to Q EB-1b should be 27/03/2020, as the EB was finally approved by the legislature at that date (and then send to the President). However the final version from 27/03/2020 was the same as the EB voted on 14/2/2020, because amendments introduced in the meantime by the Senate (the upper chamber) were rejected. This doubt is cleared by a note on the Parliament webpage, which states that the EB was enacted at 14/2/2020 ("Uchwalono na pos. nr 6

dnia 14-02-2020" at the bottom of the page <https://www.sejm.gov.pl/Sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=112>).

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

EB-2. When is the EB made available to the public?

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for an EB to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public three months after the budget is approved by the legislature. If the EB is not released to the public at least three months after the budget is approved by the legislature, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the EB.*

Answer:

c. More than six weeks, but less than three months, after the budget has been enacted

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/ustawa-2020>

Course of legislative process:

<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/Sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=112>

Comment:

EB 2020 was voted on 14.02.2020 and then published on 14.04.2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Date of publication: <https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20200000571>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-3a. If the EB is published, what is the date of publication of the EB?

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

Answer:

14/4/2020

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/ustawa-2020>

Comment:

Publication date is 14th April 2020.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

EB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EB.

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

The EB publication date is indicated on Servis RP (gov.pl) portal - see phrase: "Informacje o publikacji dokumentu".

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/ustawa-2020>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Comments: See also date of publication at <https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20200000571>

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

EB-4. If the EB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EB?

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

Answer:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/cd8a542c-82b6-4269-b550-b322113e5a05>

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/ustawa-2020>

Parliament website:

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20200000571>

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20200000571/T/D20200571L.pdf>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

EB-5. If the EB is published, are the numerical data contained in the EB available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the EB is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/ustawa-2020>

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/cd8a542c-82b6-4269-b550-b322113e5a05>

Parliament website:

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20200000571>

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20200000571/T/D20200571L.pdf>

Comment:

EB documentation is published only in PDF format.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-6a. If the EB is not publicly available, is it still produced?

*If the EB is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EB-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EB-2)*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

*If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.*

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/ustawa-2020>

Comment:

EB 2020 has been made publicly available within acceptable time frame.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EB-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EB-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-7. If the EB is produced, please write the full title of the EB.

*For example, a title for the Enacted Budget could be "Appropriation Act n. 10 of 2018."*

*If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Ustawa budżetowa na rok 2020

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/ustawa-2020>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EB?

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.*

Answer:

b. No



Source:

Comment:

Citizens version" of the EB is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

CB-1. What is the fiscal year of the CB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

*If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for each CB please indicate the document the CB simplifies/refers to, and the fiscal year.*

Answer:

Source:

n/a

Comment:

CB is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

CB-2a. For the fiscal year indicated in CB-1, what is the public availability status of the CB?

*If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document (Executive's Budget Proposal or Enacted Budget) you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its public availability status.*

*Remember that publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology  
Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

Answer:

d. Not produced at all

Source:

Comment:

CB is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

CB-2b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question CB-2a, please specify how you determined whether the CB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question CB-2a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Comment:

CB is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

CB-3a. If the CB is published, what is the date of publication of the CB?

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

*If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its dates of publication.*

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

CB is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

CB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the CB.

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:  
n/a

Source:

Comment:  
CB is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

CB-4. If the CB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the CB?

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

*If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its URL or weblink.*

Answer:

Source:

Comment:  
CB is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

CB-5. If the CB is produced, please write the full title of the CB.

*For example, a title for the Citizens Budget could be "Budget 2020 People's Guide" or "2021 Proposed Budget in Brief: A People's Budget Publication."*

*If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

*If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for the other CB, indicate the document the CB refers to and, next to it, its full title.*

Answer:  
n/a

Source:

Comment:  
CB is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

CB-6. If the CB is produced, please indicate which budget document it corresponds to.

*If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and which budget document it simplifies.*

Answer:  
n/a

Source:

Comment:  
CB is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

IYRs-1. What is the fiscal year of the IYRs evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

Answer:  
FY 2020

Source:  
Servis RP (gov.pl):  
1) Preliminary reports on the execution of the state budget:  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/szacunek-2020>  
2) Operational reports on the execution of state budget:  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdania-miesieczne-2020>

Comment:  
There are two kinds of IYRs in Poland:  
1. Preliminary reports on the execution of the state budget produced and released monthly within four weeks after reported period;  
2. Operational reports on the execution of state budget released monthly usually within 40 days after reported period.  
  
Of these two kinds IYRs, the Operational Reports are much more comprehensive and should be of main reference since Preliminary Reports present only limited information.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree  
Comments: Some delays in the publication of the preliminary and operational reports may occur.

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

IYRs-2. When are the IYRs made available to the public?

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. If at least seven of the last 12 monthly IYRs, or at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the IYRs.*

Answer:

a. At least every month, and within one month of the period covered

Source:

Preliminary reports on the execution of the state budget:  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/szacunek-2020>

Operational reports on the execution of state budget:  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdania-miesieczne-2020>

Comment:

Preliminary Reports are published monthly usually within four weeks after reported period and Operational Reports within 40 days after reported period.

Publication dates of Operational Reports (February to December 2020 reports):

12.03.2020; 08.04.2020; 06.05.2020; 08.06.2020; 07.07.2020; 06.08.2020; 07.09.2020; 07.10.2020; 09.11.2020; 04.12.2020; (19.01.2021).

Publication dates of Preliminary Reports (January to December 2020 reports):

29.02.2020; 07.04.2020; 30.04.2020; 25.05.2020; 20.06.2020; 23.07.2020; 18.08.2020; 22.09.2020; 21.10.2020; 18.11.2020; 18.12.2020; (10.02.2021).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-3a. If the IYRs are published, what are the dates of publication of the IYRs?

*Specifically: if quarterly In-Year Reports are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least three of the last four IYRs that were publicly available. If monthly IYRs are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least seven of the last 12 IYRs that were publicly available.*

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD Month YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05 September 2020. If the document is not published or not produced, please mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Publication dates of Operational Reports (February-December 2020 reports):

12 March 2020; 08 April 2020; 06 May 2020; 08 June 2020; 07 July 2020; 06 August 2020; 07 September 2020; 07 October 2020; 09 November 2020; 04 December 2020; (19 January 2021).

Publication dates of Preliminary Reports (January-December 2020 reports):

29 February 2020; 07 April 2020; 30 April 2020; 25 May 2020; 20 June 2020; 23 July 2020; 18 August 2020; 22 September 2020; 21 October 2020; 18

November 2020; 18 December 2020; (10 February.2021).

Source:

Preliminary reports on the execution of the state budget  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/szacunek-2020>

Operational reports on the execution of state budget  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdania-miesieczne-2020>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the IYRs.

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

The IYRs publication dates are indicated on appropriate website of MoF/Servis RP (gov.pl) - see phrases: "Informacje o publikacji dokumentu".

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):  
Preliminary reports on the execution of the state budget  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/szacunek-2020>

Operational reports on the execution of state budget  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdania-miesieczne-2020>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-4. If the IYRs are published, what is the URL or weblink of the IYRs?

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Researchers should provide the weblink to the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the weblinks to older IYRs.*

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

Answer:

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdania-miesieczne-2020>

Source:

1) Operational reports on the execution of state budget:  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdania-miesieczne-2020>

2) Preliminary reports on the execution of the state budget:  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/szacunek-2020>

Comment:

Full list of Operational Reports is presented on website of Citation 1 and respectively the full list of Preliminary Reports is presented on website of Citation 2.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-5. If the IYRs are published, are the numerical data contained in the IYRs available in a machine readable format?

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>*

*Option "d" applies if the IYRs are not publicly available, therefore their machine readability cannot be assessed.*

Answer:

a. Yes, all of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Source:

Operational Reports:

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdania-miesieczne-2020>

Comment:

Operational Reports are presented in two versions, both in pdf and excel.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-6a. If the IYRs are not publicly available, are they still produced?

*If the IYRs are not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question IYRs-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question IYRs-2).*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

*If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.*

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

Comment:

IYRs are produced and published within acceptable time frame.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question IYRs-6a, please specify how you determined whether the IYRs were produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question IYRs-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

IYRs-7. If the IYRs are produced, please write the full title of the IYRs.

*For example, a title for the In-Year Report could be "Budget Monitoring Report, Quarter 1" or "Budget Execution Report January-March 2020."*

*If In-Year Reports are not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

*Researchers should provide the full title of the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the full titles of older IYRs.*

Answer:

Podstawowe informacje dotyczące wykonania budżetu państwa za poszczególne miesiące roku 2020.  
Sprawozdanie operatywne za I-X 2020

Source:

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdania-miesieczne-2020>

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/29b68e02-4066-42a2-afae-facaab72017e>

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/548f594e-3d52-46a0-b92e-575744cf0ec8>

Comment:

Older IYRs:

- Sprawozdanie operatywne za I-IX 2020,
- Sprawozdanie operatywne za I-VIII 2020,
- Sprawozdanie operatywne za I-VII 2020,
- Sprawozdanie operatywne za I-VI 2020,
- Sprawozdanie operatywne za I-V 2020,
- Sprawozdanie operatywne za I-IV 2020,
- Sprawozdanie operatywne za I-III 2020,



- Sprawozdanie operatywne za I-II 2020,
- Sprawozdanie operatywne za I 2020.

Titles have been provided for the Operational reports, but not for the "Preliminary reports on the execution of the state budget": <https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/szacunek-2020>. This is mostly because the Operational reports are more comprehensive than the Preliminary reports and will be referred to throughout the rest of the questionnaire.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

IYRs-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the IYRs?

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.*

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Comment:

Citizens version of the IYRs are not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

MYR-1. What is the fiscal year of the MYR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

Answer:

FY 2020

Source:

Comment:

MYR is produced but not published in Poland. It accessible by request.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

MYR-2. When is the MYR made available to the public?

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for an MYR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends (i.e., three months after the midpoint of the fiscal year). If the MYR is not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the MYR.*

Answer:

d. The MYR is not released to the public, or is released more than three months after the midpoint

Source:

Law on Public Finances:

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20091571240/U/D20091240Lj.pdf>

Comment:

The MYR is not published in Poland. According to the law, the MoF is to present it to the appropriate parliamentary committee and the SAI by the 10th of September of each year.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

MYR-3a. If the MYR is published, what is the date of publication of the MYR?

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

MYR is not published in Poland.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

MYR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the MYR.

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:  
n/a

Source:

Comment:  
MYR is not published in Poland.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

MYR-4. If the MYR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the MYR?

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

Answer:

Source:  
n/a

Comment:  
n/a

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

MYR-5. If the MYR is published, are the numerical data contained in the MYR available in a machine readable format?

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.*

*Option "d" applies if the MYR is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.*

Answer:  
d. Not applicable

Source:  
n/a

Comment:  
n/a

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

MYR-6a. If the MYR is not publicly available, is it still produced?

*If the MYR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question MYR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question MYR-2).*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

*If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.*

Answer:

b. Produced but made available only in hard copy or soft copy (not available online)

Source:

Comment:

MYR is produced, but not published. One may receive it in a soft copy, by request.

I asked for the document in question twice. The first time was on February 15, rather unofficially, while settling another matter, and this request remained unanswered. I sent the official e-mail for the second time on April 29, 2021 and received the requested document on May 6, 2021.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

MYR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question MYR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the MYR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question MYR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

MYR-7. If the MYR is produced, please write the full title of the MYR.

*For example, a title for the Mid-Year Review could be "Semi-annual Budget Performance Report, FY 2019/20" or "Mid-Year Report on the 2020 National Budget."*

*If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Informacja o przebiegu wykonania budżetu państwa za I półrocze 2020 [Information on the state budget execution in the first half of 2020]

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

MYR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the MYR?

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.*

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Comment:

Citizens version of the MYR is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-1. What is the fiscal year of the YER evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

*Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."*

Answer:  
FY 2019 FY 2019

Source:  
Servis RP (gov.pl):  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdanie-roczne-za-2019-rok>

Parliament website:  
<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=396>

Comment:  
The latest YER concerns FY 2019.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

YER-2. When is the YER made available to the public?

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for an YER to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than one year after the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the YER is not released to the public within one year after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the YER.*

Answer:  
a. Six months or less after the end of the budget year

Source:  
Servis RP (gov.pl):  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdanie-roczne-za-2019-rok>

Comment:  
The YER 2019 was published on portal Servis RP (gov.pl) on 1st June 2020.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

YER-3a. If the YER is published, what is the date of publication of the YER?

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

Answer:  
1/6/2020

Source:  
Servis RP (gov.pl):  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdanie-roczne-za-2019-rok>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

YER-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the YER.

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:  
The YER publication date is indicated on Servis RP (gov.pl) portal - see phrase: "Informacje o publikacji dokumentu".

Source:  
Servis RP (gov.pl):  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdanie-roczne-za-2019-rok>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

YER-4. If the YER is published, what is the URL or weblink of the YER?

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

Answer:  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdanie-roczne-za-2019-rok>

Source:  
Portal Servis RP (gov.pl).

Comment:  
Alternative and more integrated version of YER is accessible also from Parliament website:  
<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=396>

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-5. If the YER is published, are the numerical data contained in the YER available in a machine readable format?

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>*

*Option "d" applies if the YER is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.*

Answer:

a. Yes, all of the numerical data are available in a machine readable format

Source:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/54c6396b-3095-4a44-be2c-2621f64882fc>

Comment:

YER is published both in Excel and PDF format.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-6a. If the YER is not publicly available, is it still produced?

*If the YER is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question YER-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question YER-2)*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

*If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.*

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdanie-roczne-za-2019-rok>

Parliament website:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=396>

Comment:

YER is produced within acceptable time frame and published, both on governmental/MoF portal and Parliament website.

Peer Reviewer



Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

YER-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question YER-6a, please specify how you determined whether the YER was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question YER-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion:

Government Reviewer  
Opinion:

YER-7. If the YER is produced, please write the full title of the YER.

*For example, a title for the Year-End Report could be "Consolidated Financial Statement for the Year Ended 31 March 2020" or "Annual Report 2019 Published by the Ministry of Finance and Planning." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Sprawozdanie z wykonania budżetu państwa za okres od 1 stycznia do 31 grudnia 2019 r.  
(Annual report for 2019 - Basic information on the implementation of the state budget for the period from 1 January to 31 December 2019)

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl):  
<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdanie-roczne-za-2019-rok>  
<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/54c6396b-3095-4a44-be2c-2621f64882fc>

Comment:

-

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

YER-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the YER?

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the*

executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Comment:

"Citizens version" of the YER is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-1. What is the fiscal year of the AR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY 2019

Source:

SAI website:

<https://www.nik.gov.pl/kontrola/analiza-budzetu-panstwa/>

Parliament website:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?id=F2451D981FBC8868C125858800440461>

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=414>

Comment:

The most recently released AR concerns FY 2019.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-2. When is the AR made available to the public?

*Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.*

*The OBS methodology requires that for an AR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the AR is not released to the public at least 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the AR.*

Answer:

a. Six months or less after the end of the budget year

Source:

SAI website:

<https://www.nik.gov.pl/kontrola/analiza-budzetu-panstwa/>

Parliament website:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=414>

Comment:

The AR was published on 15th June 2020 on Parliament website.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-3a. If the AR is published, what is the date of publication of the AR?

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.*

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

*Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.*

Answer:

15/6/2020

Source:

Parliament website:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=414>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: 15/06/2020 (the answer was provided by the researcher in Q AR-3b) At 15/06/2020 the AR was received by the Parliament from the Audit Authority (NIK). There is no formal statement on the Parliament website that the AR was published at the same date, but it is consistent with the Parliament practice that the AR would be published within a very short time.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the AR.

*If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

The date of AR publication AR is indicated on Parliament website.

Source:

Parliament website:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=414>

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: At 15/06/2020 the AR was received by the Parliament from the Audit Authority (NIK). There is no formal statement on the Parliament website that the AR was published at the same date, but it is consistent with the Parliament practice that the AR would be published within a very short time.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-4. If the AR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the AR?

*Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.*

Answer:

<https://www.nik.gov.pl/plik/id,22414.pdf>

Source:

SAI website:

<https://www.nik.gov.pl/kontrola/analiza-budzetu-panstwa/>

Parliament website:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?id=F2451D981FBC8868C125858800440461>

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=414>

Comment:

-

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-5. If the AR is published, are the numerical data contained in the AR available in a machine readable format?

*Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>*

*Option "d" applies if the AR is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.*

Answer:

c. No

Source:

SAI version:

<https://www.nik.gov.pl/plik/id,22414.pdf>

Parliament version:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/A7E5E20859AA89F4C1258588004123EE/%24File/414.pdf>

Comment:  
The AR is published in PDF format only.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

AR-6a. If the AR is not publicly available, is it still produced?

*If the AR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question AR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.*

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question AR-2).*

*Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

*Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.*

*Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.*

*Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.*

*If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.*

Answer:  
e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:  
SAI website:  
<https://www.nik.gov.pl/kontrola/analiza-budzetu-panstwa/>

Parliament website:  
<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=414>

Comment:  
AR is produced and published within acceptable time frame.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

AR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question AR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the AR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

*If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question AR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion:

Government Reviewer  
Opinion:

AR-7. If the AR is produced, please write the full title of the AR.

*For example, a title for the Audit Report could be "Annual General Reports of the Controller and Auditor General." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."*

Answer:

Analiza wykonania budżetu państwa i założeń polityki pieniężnej w 2019 roku.

Source:

SAI website:

<https://www.nik.gov.pl/kontrola/analiza-budzetu-panstwa/>

Parliament website:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?id=F2451D981FBC8868C125858800440461>

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=414>

Comment:

-

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

AR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the AR?

*While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.*

Answer:

b. No

Source:

-

Comment:

There is no "citizens version" of the AR produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

GQ-1a. Are there one or more websites or web portals for disseminating government fiscal information? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation.

*GQ-1a asks the researcher to list any government websites or portals where fiscal information can be found. For example, in New Zealand the Treasury website (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/>) hosts important budget-related information, including the Pre-Budget Statement, the Executive's Budget Proposal, the Citizens Budget, In-Year Reports, the Mid-Year Review, and the Year-End Report. In addition, New Zealand's Parliamentary Counsel Office (<http://www.legislation.govt.nz/>) posts the Enacted Budget while the Controller and Auditor-General website (<http://www.oag.govt.nz/>) publishes the annual Audit Report. The New Zealand researcher would provide the links to each of these sites. Other countries have developed portals that include fiscal information, though not in the "documents" format. For example, these portals have been created by Mexico (<https://www.transparenciapresupuestaria.gob.mx/>) and Brazil (<http://www.portaltransparencia.gov.br/>). Some countries have both a website and a portal. The Brazilian government, for example, apart from the Transparency Portal, has a dedicated website for the federal budget, where all key documents and other information can be found ([www.orcamentofederal.gov.br/](http://www.orcamentofederal.gov.br/)). Researchers should include details about all of the relevant websites and/or portals that can be used to access budget information.*

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

<https://www.gov.pl/>

Parliament website:

<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/>

SAI website:

<https://www.nik.gov.pl/>

Central Bank website:

<https://www.nbp.pl/>

Portal open public data:

<https://dane.gov.pl/pl>

Comment:

The main place of disseminating data, documents and budget information is the Servis RP portal (gov.pl). Nevertheless, much of this information and documents or related data is also published on the websites or portals of other public institutions, such as the Parliament, the SAI or the National Bank of Poland. There is also a governmental open data portal where one can find numerous documents and information on public finances.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

GQ-1b. On these websites/portals, can revenue and/or expenditure data for the current fiscal year be downloaded as a consolidated, machine readable file (or set of files)? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation. For more information on machine readability, see: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.

*GQ-1b, GQ-1c, and GQ-1d ask about whether governments publish specific types of content on their websites/portals: (a) consolidated files that contain disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure information for the current fiscal year; (b) consolidated files that contain disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure information for multiple years in consistent formats; and (c) infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis. Researchers should provide the links to relevant webpages and some explanations of what they contain.*

Answer:

a. Yes, both revenue and expenditure data can be downloaded as a consolidated file

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

<https://www.gov.pl/>

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/informacja-kwartalna-o-stanie-finansow-publicznych-w-iii-kwartale-2020-r>

Open Data Portal:

<https://dane.gov.pl/pl>

<https://dane.gov.pl/pl/dataset/1678/resource/24212,sprawozdanie-z-wykonania-planu-wydatkow-budzetu-panstwa-za-rok-2019/table>

<https://dane.gov.pl/pl/dataset/494/resource/25079,plan-i-wykonanie-dochodow-budzetowych/table>

Central Statistical Office

<https://stat.gov.pl/wskazniki-makroekonomiczne/>

Comment:

Revenue /expenditure data for the current fiscal year in the form of consolidated machine-readable file/files can be downloaded from portal Servis RP (gov.pl), government Open Data Portal and websites of Central Statistical Office.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The most recent data that are published in a machine readable format would be the Operational reports on the execution of state budget (IYR) available at <https://www.gov.pl/>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

GQ-1c. On these websites/portals, can disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure data in consolidated, machine readable files be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation. For more information on machine readability, see: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.

Answer:

a. Yes, both revenue and expenditure data can be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

<https://www.gov.pl/>

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/informacja-kwartalna-o-stanie-finansow-publicznych-w-iii-kwartale-2020-r>

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/2a080088-65c7-445c-a84d-30f12a6741cb>

Central Statistical Office:

<https://stat.gov.pl/wskazniki-makroekonomiczne/>

[https://stat.gov.pl/download/gfx/portalinformacyjny/pl/defaultstronaopisowa/1772/1/5/roczne\\_wskazniki\\_makroekonomiczne\\_cz\\_iii.xlsx](https://stat.gov.pl/download/gfx/portalinformacyjny/pl/defaultstronaopisowa/1772/1/5/roczne_wskazniki_makroekonomiczne_cz_iii.xlsx)

Central Statistical Office/Macroeconomic Data Bank:

<https://bdm.stat.gov.pl/>

Comment:

Disaggregated revenue /expenditure data in consolidated, machine readable files for multiple years can be downloaded from portal Servis RP (gov.pl) and from websites of Central Statistical Office.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

GQ-1d. On these websites/portals, are infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation.



Answer:

b. No

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

<https://www.gov.pl/>

Portal open public data:

<https://dane.gov.pl/pl>

Central Statistical Office:

<https://stat.gov.pl/wskazniki-makroekonomiczne/>

Comment:

The indicated pages / portals do not contain any infographics/visualizations or similar tools to simplify data access and analysis.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: There are some graphs included in several budget reports (most recent would be the Preliminary reports on the execution of the state budget, being part of the IYR), but very limited in their scope.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

GQ-2. Are there laws in place guiding public financial management and/or auditing? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where the law(s) contains specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

*GQ-2 asks about the existence of any national laws governing public financial management and auditing. These may include a public finance act, a section of the constitution, or an organic budget law. In some countries, fiscal responsibility legislation may also be relevant. For example, the Kenya researcher may include the link to its Public Finance Management Act, 2012 (<http://kenyalaw.org:8181/exist/kenyalex/actview.xql?actid=No.%2018%20of%202012>), and the Macedonian researcher may include a link to its State Audit Law (<https://www.finance.gov.mk/files/u11/Audit%20law.pdf>). Researchers should provide links to websites where such laws are published, if possible, or an electronic copy of the law itself. They should also indicate if and where (e.g. which article) these laws include specific provisions for budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes.*

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

Constitution Republic of Poland:

<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/prawo/konst/polski/kon1.htm>

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU19970780483/U/D19970483Lj.pdf>

Law on Public Finances:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20091571240/U/D20091240Lj.pdf>

Law on Supreme Chamber of Control:

<https://www.nik.gov.pl/podstawy-prawne-dzialania-nik/akty-prawne/ustawa-o-najwyzszej-izbie-kontroli.html>

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU19950130059/U/D19950059Lj.pdf>

Comment:

The management and control of public finances are mainly regulated by three legal acts: the Constitution of the Republic of Poland (Articles 216-227), the Public Finance Act (eg Articles 42-71) and the Act on the Supreme Audit Office (Articles 3, 7, 23).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

GQ-3. Is there at least one additional law regulating: (1) access to information; (2) government transparency; or (3) citizens participation? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where these laws contain specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

*The third and last question asks researchers to list any additional laws regulating access to information, transparency, or citizens' participation that are relevant for the promotion of budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes. These might include legislation related to access to information, to planning processes, or to public administration more generally. India's Right to Information Act of 2005 (<https://www.ncss.gov.in/facilities/central-public-information-officer/rti-act-details.html>) is an example of this type of law. More information on access to information legislation (constitutional provisions, laws, and regulations), including examples of model laws, can be found here: <http://www.right2info.org/laws/constitutional-provisions-laws-and-regulations#section-1>.*

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

Ustawa o Radzie Dialogu Społecznego i innych instytucjach dialogu społecznego [Law on the Council for Social Dialogue and other institutions of social dialogue]:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20150001240/U/D20151240Lj.pdf>

Ustawa o dostępie do informacji publicznej [The Act on Access to Public Information]:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20011121198/U/D20011198Lj.pdf>

Act on lobbying in the law-making process:

<http://prawo.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20051691414/T/D20051414L.pdf>

Regulamin Sejmu RP [Regulations of the Sejm of the Republic of Poland]:

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WMP19920260185/U/M19920185Lj.pdf>

Comment:

The issues concerning access to information, government transparency and social participation are regulated by numerous legal acts. The most important of them are: the Act on the Social Dialogue Council and other social dialogue institutions, the Act on access to public information, the Act on lobbying in the law-making process and the Regulations of the Sejm of the Republic of Poland (with regard to participation in the works of parliamentary committees and institution of public hearing).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

1. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by administrative unit (that is, by ministry, department, or agency)?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 1 addresses the presentation of expenditure by administrative unit. This information indicates which government entity (ministry, department, or agency, or MDAs) will be responsible for spending the funds and, ultimately, held accountable for their use.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all administrative units, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the administrative units shown individually, in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation, must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. In other words, the sum of the expenditures assigned to the individual MDAs (education, health, infrastructure, interior, defense, etc.) must account for at least two-thirds of the total expenditure budgeted for that particular year. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents administrative units that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by administrative unit.*

Answer:

a. Yes, administrative units accounting for all expenditures are presented.

Source:

EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640->

ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf

Comment:

EBP presents administrative units accounting for all expenditures - see citation: pp. 44-148.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

2. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by functional classification?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 2 addresses the presentation of expenditure by functional classification. This classification indicates the programmatic purpose, sector, or objective for which the funds will be used, such as health, education, or defense. Administrative units are not necessarily aligned with functional classifications. For instance, in one country all functions connected with water supply (which fall into the "Housing" function) may be undertaken by a single government agency, while in another country they may be distributed across the Ministries of Environment, Housing, and Industrial Development. In the latter case, three ministries have programs addressing water supply, so three ministries contribute to one function. Similarly, some administrative units may conduct activities that cut across more than one function. For instance, in the example above, some programs of the Ministry of Environment would also be classified in the "environmental protection" function.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by functional classification.*

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by functional classification.

Source:

EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

Comment:

EBP presents expenditures by functional classification - see table on p. 43 of citation/Annex 2.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

3. If the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by functional classification, is the functional classification compatible with international standards?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 3 asks whether a country's functional classification meets international standards. To answer "a," a country's functional classification must be aligned with the OECD and the UN's Classification of the Functions of Government (COFOG), or provide a cross-walk between the national functional presentation and COFOG.*

*The OECD Best Practices for Budget Transparency can be viewed at <http://www.oecd.org/gov/budgeting/Best%20Practices%20Budget%20Transparency%20-%20complete%20with%20cover%20page.pdf>*

*COFOG can be viewed at [https://unstats.un.org/unsd/publication/SeriesM/SeriesM\\_84E.pdf](https://unstats.un.org/unsd/publication/SeriesM/SeriesM_84E.pdf) or*

at <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/ch6ann.pdf>.

Answer:

b. No, the functional classification is not compatible with international standards, or expenditures are not presented by functional classification.

Source:

EBP 2021:

[https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-](https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf)

[ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf](https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf)

(Table p. 43/Annex 2)

Comment:

Functional classification of EBP is not compatible with international standards since it is based on the principle of distinguishing 31 main functions of the state, while COFOG distinguishes 10.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

4. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by economic classification?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 4 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification. Economic classification provides information on the nature of the expenditure, such as whether funds are being used to pay for wages and salaries, capital projects, or social assistance benefits. Please note that a presentation of expenditures by current and capital expenditures without additional disaggregation or detail will not qualify as an economic classification.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification.*

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by economic classification.

Source:

EPB 2021:

[https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-](https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf)

[ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf](https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf)

Comment:

EBP presents expenditures by economic classification - see general juxtaposition (table on p. 43) and detailed presentation according administrative units (pp. 44-148).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

5. If the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by economic classification, is the economic classification compatible with international standards?

*GUIDELINES:*

Question 5 asks whether a country's economic classification meets international standards. To answer "a," a country's economic classification must be consistent with the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) 2001 Government Finance Statistics (GFS). The GFS economic classification is presented here: <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/app4.pdf>. To learn more about Government Finance Statistics also refer to the entire IMF 2001 GFS manual (<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf>).

Answer:

b. No, the economic classification is not compatible with international standards, or expenditures are not presented by economic classification.

Source:

EPB 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>  
(pp. 43, 44-148).

Comment:

The economic classification of EBP differs from GFS standards. For example it does not distinguish the category of "social benefits" and includes categories "grants" and "subsidy" jointly. Moreover, it distinguishes categories concerning EU funds and European programs.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

6. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 6 asks whether expenditures are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should treat the term "program" as meaning any level of detail below an administrative unit – that is, any programmatic grouping that is below the ministry, department, or agency level. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as *le plan comptable* or *le plan comptable detaillé*. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Budget decisions for the upcoming year can also affect the parameters of future budgets. It is therefore useful to estimate revenues and expenditures for multi-year periods, understanding that these estimates might be revised as circumstances change. Sometimes referred to as a Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF), a three-year period – that is, the budget year plus two more years – is generally considered an appropriate horizon for budgeting and planning.

Answer:

a. Yes, programs accounting for all expenditures are presented.

Source:

EPB 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>  
(pp. 43, 44-148)

Comment:

EBP 2021 present expenditures for individual programs. See the "Rozdział" [Chapter] category which, according to the OBS definition, covers the expenditure level below the administrative units.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

7. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 7 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," multi-year expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.*

Answer:

c. Yes, multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by only one of the three expenditure classifications.

Source:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-planowanie%20w%20uk%C5%82adzie%20zadaniowym%20na%202021%20rok.pdf>

Comment:

Performance-based EBP 2021 presents expenditure estimates for BY+2 period, but only by functional classification - see citation: pp. 7-143 and 146-157.

All the numbers presented there are of functional nature, as they are related to the functions of the state. The performance-based budget is organized according to the main functions of the state, and consequently the expenditures presented in individual parts / chapters of this document are essentially of such nature.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

7b. Based on the response to Question 7, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for a multi-year period in the Executive's Budget Proposal?

Answer:

Functional classification

Source:

Performance-based draft of the state budget for 2021:  
<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-planowanie%20w%20uk%C5%82adzie%20zadaniowym%20na%202021%20rok.pdf>

Comment:

Performance-based EBP 2021 presents expenditure estimates for the BY + 2 period by functional classification based on the distinction of 22 state functions. It is a specific classification, different from the traditional one used in the relevant EBP but covering the same span of public expenditures.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

8. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by program?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 8 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.*

*A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures over the multi-year period. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if multi-year estimates are not presented by program.*

*Revenues generally are separated into two major categories: "tax" and "non-tax" revenues. Taxes are compulsory transfers that result from government exercising its sovereign power. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes. The category of non-tax revenues is more diverse, ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services. Note that some forms of revenue, such as contributions to social security funds, can be considered either a tax or non-tax revenue depending on the nature of the approach to these contributions. Particularly because different revenues have different characteristics, including who bears the burden of paying the tax and how collections are affected by economic conditions, it is helpful when estimates for revenues are disaggregated and displayed based on their sources.*

*For more information, please refer to the 2001 GFS manual, in particular Appendix 4 (<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/app4.pdf>).*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, multi-year estimates for programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures are presented.

**Source:**

Performance-based draft of the state budget for 2021:  
<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-planowanie%20w%20uk%C5%82adzie%20zadaniowym%20na%202021%20rok.pdf>  
(pp. 7-144).

**Comment:**

Performance-based version of EBP present expenditure estimates by program for BY+2. It covers estimates accounting for all budget expenditures. In this question, however, we are looking for individual amounts for each year, and here the document shows the cumulative amounts for each program for 2021-22-23 as lump sums, \*together\*. Response "c" is therefore selected, to acknowledge that multiyear program expenditures are included, but they are not presented by year.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

9. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of tax revenue (such as income tax or VAT) for the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 9 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of tax revenue are not presented.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, individual sources of tax revenue accounting for all tax revenue are presented.

**Source:**

EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

**Comment:**

Individual sources of all tax revenue are presented - see citation table on p. 16 (Annex 1).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

10. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of non-tax revenue (such as grants, property income, and sales of government-produced goods and services) for the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 10 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "non-tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The category of non-tax revenues is diverse, and can include revenue ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of non-tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all non-tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of non-tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all non-tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of non-tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of non-tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of non-tax revenue are not presented.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, individual sources of non-tax revenue accounting for all non-tax revenue are presented.

**Source:**

EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

**Comment:**

All individual sources of non-tax revenue for the BY accounting for all non-tax revenue are presented - see general juxtaposition (table on p. 16) and by administrative classification (17-42).

Side note: "2.4. Dochody państwowych jednostek budżetowych i inne dochody niepodatkowe" on page 16, includes a "other" category and it's over 3% of the total revenue; however, this issue was already discussed in previous rounds of the OBS and response "a" was confirmed. The category "own income of budgetary units" indicates in fact the place where "income is collected", which is generally budgetary in nature, due to the so-called "gross budgeting" method.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The category "revenues of public budgetary units and other revenues" constitutes 72% of non-tax revenues and 6% of total revenues in the EBP for 2021. "Other" in this title refers to categories of revenues that are too numerous to be listed, but are explained in detail on pages 37-40 of citation <https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>



Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

11. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 11 evaluates whether revenue estimates are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year) by "category," that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of revenues classified by category for at least two years following the budget year in question.*

**Answer:**

b. No, multi-year estimates of revenue are not presented by category.

**Source:**

EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

**Comment:**

EBP does not present revenue estimates for a multi-year period. The only exception concerns the European funds budget covering c.a. 20% of budgetary revenues - see citation (pp. 623-625).

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

12. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates for individual sources of revenue presented for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 12 evaluates whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented for a multi-year period.*

**Answer:**

d. No, multi-year estimates for individual sources of revenue are not presented.

**Source:**

EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

Performance-based draft of the state budget for 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-planowanie%20w%20uk%C5%82adzie%20zadaniowym%20na%202021%20rok.pdf>

Explication to EBP

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

EBP documentation does not present estimates for individual sources of revenue for a multi-year period.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

13. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 13 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt that the budget should include:*

- the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and*
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

*Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF.*

*Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.*

*Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the budget year.*

Answer:

a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:

EBP 2021:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/5c34e277-800a-491c-948c-0863f7222560>

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-strategia%20zarz%C4%85dzania%20d%C5%82ugiem.pdf>

Explication to EBP

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

The Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy in the years 2021-24:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/5c34e277-800a-491c-948c-0863f7222560>

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/5c34e277-800a-491c-948c-0863f7222560>

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-strategia%20zarz%C4%85dzania%20d%C5%82ugiem.pdf>

This strategy is part of the EBP documentation.

Comment:

EBP documentation presents all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt:

- net borrowing required during the budget year - EBP (pp. 165-66);

- the central government's total debt burden at the end of BY - Explication to EBP (pp. 201-203); Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy - table 9 (p. 37);

- interest payments on the debt for the budget year - Explication to EBP, (pp. 64-65) Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy - debt servicing costs (p. 11).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Correct link for the EBP 2021: <https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf> net borrowing required during the budget year on pages 165-167)

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

13b. Based on the response to Question 13, check the box(es) below to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt are presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year

The central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year

The interest payments on outstanding debt for the budget year

Source:

EPB 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

Explication to EBP

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

The Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy in the years 2021-24:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/5c34e277-800a-491c-948c-0863f7222560>

Comment:

See response to Q13.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

14. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information related to the composition of the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year?"

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 14 focuses on the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:*

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

*The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 13, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens, banks, and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.*

*Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding at the end of the budget year.*

Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the composition of the total debt outstanding.

Source:

Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy in the years 2021-24:  
<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/5c34e277-800a-491c-948c-0863f7222560>

The strategy is part of the EBPP, please see the relevant link from the parliament website:  
<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=112>

Comment:

The public finance sector debt management strategy contains information on 2 out of 3 basic elements. See respectively the debt maturity profile - Figure 18 (p. 40) and the structure of debt (domestic / foreign) - Table 9 (p. 37). The strategy presents much information beyond core elements, however, there is no information about the interest rate on the debt.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: One of the core elements is not presented: there is information on interest rates (although the estimated cost of debt servicing is provided); but additional information beyond the core elements is presented.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

14b. Based on the response to Question 14, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the composition of the total debt outstanding are presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

Maturity profile of the debt  
Whether the debt is domestic or external  
Information beyond the core elements (please specify)

Source:

Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy in the years 2021-24:  
<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/5c34e277-800a-491c-948c-0863f7222560>

Comment:

See comment to Q14.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

15. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?"

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 15 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the budget's revenue and expenditure estimates, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

While the core macroeconomic information should be a standard feature of the Executive's Budget Proposal, the importance of some types of macroeconomic assumptions may vary from country to country. For example, the budget estimates of some countries are particularly affected by changes in the price of oil and other commodities.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short and long-term interest rates; rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, information beyond the core elements is presented for the macroeconomic forecast.

Source:

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

Explication to EBP 2021 documentation presents information on the macroeconomic forecast concerning budget projections:

- nominal GDP level – table 1, p. 219;
- inflation rate – table 1, p. 219; narrative discussion p. 11;
- real GDP growth – table 1, p. 219; narrative discussion p. 7-9;
- interest rates - table 1 on p. 219.

The mentioned document also contains information on macroeconomic forecasts that goes beyond core elements of forecast e.g.: rate of employment/unemployment, GDP deflator, exchange rate (see table 1 on p. 218); composition of GDP growth p components of aggregate demand (narrative discussion pp. 7-10).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

15b. Based on the response to Question 15, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast are included in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

Nominal GDP level  
Inflation rate  
Real GDP growth  
Interest rates

Information beyond the core elements (please specify)

Source:

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

See response to Q15.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

16. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions (i.e., sensitivity analysis) on the budget?"

(The core information must include estimates of the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 16 focuses on the issue of whether the Executive's Budget Proposal shows how different macroeconomic assumptions affect the budget estimates (known as a "sensitivity analysis"). It asks whether "core" information related to a sensitivity analysis is presented, estimating the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for:*

- *inflation rate;*
- *real GDP growth; and*
- *interest rates.*

*A sensitivity analysis shows the effect on the budget of possible changes in some macroeconomic assumptions, and is important for understanding the impact of the economy on the budget; for instance, what would happen to revenue collections if GDP growth were slower than what is assumed in the budget proposal? Or what would happen to expenditure if inflation were higher than estimated? Or how will revenue be affected by a decrease in the price of oil?*

*As noted for Question 15, changes in certain macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil and other commodities, can have a significant impact on the budget estimates. As a result, some sensitivity analyses may also examine the impact on the budget estimates of changes in assumptions such as the price of oil that are beyond the core elements of the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to a "sensitivity analysis" as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to a "sensitivity analysis" is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on "sensitivity analysis" is presented.*

Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented to show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions on the budget.

Source:

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

Explication to EBP presents information on possibly impact of different macroeconomic assumption on budget, both in terms of core elements and beyond. See citation respectively:

- comparison of inflation (CPI) forecasts in 2021 (chart, p. 11);
- GDP growth and the response of the amount of expenditure to the economic recovery (Figure 5, p. 21);
- short-term interest rate and the response of general government results and debt to a change in the short-term interest rate (Figure 4, p. 15);
- shock to the GDP growth rate  $y / y$  and the response of general government balance and debt to the deterioration of the economic situation (Figure 3, p. 13).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented to show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions on the budget.

Comments: No numerical values are presented in terms of sensitivity of expenditures, revenue, and debt to changes in inflation, real GDP growth and interest rates. However, general processes regarding the impact of real GDP growth and interest rates are presented on the charts and in the narrative part. Also, the analysis exceeds the core elements. As for the inflation rate, the Explication to EBP presents a comparison of different CPI prognoses (chart on page 11), but no budget sensitivity analysis is presented. Therefore answer B should be chosen (one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented).

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

The reviewer is right. Although the EBP contains several elements beyond the core elements, the presented information on inflation cannot be considered a sensitivity analysis. Hence the answer "b" is correct here (instead of the answer originally chosen, "a").

17. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect expenditures?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals – whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people's lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.*

*Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.*

Answer:

c. Yes, information that shows how some but not all new policy proposals affect expenditure is presented.

Source:

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

Explication to EBP presents some information on new policy proposals and their impact on budget expenditures, however it is fragmented and mixed with one concerning existing tasks and programs. See accordingly data with narrative discussion:

- discretionary measures in the field of taxes and social security contributions - pp. 19-21;
- socio-economic policy programs (new and continued) - pp. 44-45;
- capital expenditure in connection with the tasks of budget holders (new and continued) - pp. 58-63;
- multi-annual programs (new and continued) - Chapter VI, pp. 81-123).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

18. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect revenues?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals – whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a*

decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people's lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

Prior-year information constitutes an important benchmark for assessing the proposals for the upcoming budget year. Estimates of prior years should be presented in the same formats (in terms of classification) as the budget year to ensure that year-to-year comparisons are meaningful. For example, if the budget proposes shifting responsibility for a particular program from one administrative unit to another – such as shifting responsibility for the training of nurses from the health department to the education department – the prior-year figures must be adjusted before year-to-year comparisons of administrative budgets can be made.

Typically, when the budget proposal is submitted, the year prior to the budget year (BY-1), also known as the current year, has not ended, so the executive will provide estimates of the anticipated outcome for BY-1. The soundness of these estimates is directly related to the degree to which they have been updated to reflect actual expenditures to date, legislative changes that have occurred, and anticipated changes in macroeconomic, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

The first year that can reflect actual outcomes, therefore, is generally two years before the budget year (BY-2). Thus the OECD recommends that data covering at least two years before the budget year (along with two years of projections beyond the budget year) are provided in order to assess fully the trends in the budget.

Answer:

c. Yes, information that shows how some but not all new policy proposals affect revenues are presented.

Source:

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

Explication to EBP 2021 presents some information on how new policy proposals affect revenues, but it is perfunctory and fragmented. For example one can find data and information on revenue implications of following initiatives:

- corporate and personal income tax changes, p. 20;
- measures to improve tax collection, p. 27
- changes in tax parameters (e.g. increasing the tax base) p.28;
- increasing the share of municipalities<sup>1</sup> in the income from PIT (p. 33-34), excise tax (p. 32).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

19. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 19 asks if expenditure estimates for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) are presented by one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-1 by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates for BY-1 must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:

c. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by only one of the three expenditure classifications.

Source:



Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

Expenditure estimates for BY-1 presents Explication to EBP, but it concerns only economic classification. See citation - table on p. 46 (see columns "Projekt nowelizacji 2020".)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

20. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 20 asks if expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.*

*A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-1. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-1. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.*

Answer:

c. Yes, programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures are presented for BY-1.

Source:

EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

Comment:

Expenditures for individual programs for the BY-1 are presented only about programs co-financed by EU, which is less than two-thirds of expenditures presented for BY-1 - see citation Appendix 16 (pp. 642-650).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

21. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have expenditure estimates of the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated from the original enacted levels to reflect actual expenditures?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 21 asks whether the expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) have been updated from the original enacted levels. Updates can reflect actual experience to date; revised estimates due to shifting of funds by the executive, as permitted under the law; enactment of supplemental budgets; and revised assumptions regarding macroeconomic conditions, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.*

Answer "a" applies if the estimates have been updated; answer "b" applies if the original estimates are still being used.

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 have been updated from the original enacted levels.

Source:

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

Explication to EBP presents expenditure estimates for BY-1 updated from enacted levels - see tables on pp. 46 and 73. The column on pages 46 and 73 labelled "Projekt nowelizacji 2020" means "amended estimates." Also: a note that on page 73, expenditures by administrative classification are presented but only cover the "Offices of the highest organs of state authority, control and protection of law, judiciary and common court", so it's incomplete.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

22. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 22 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by any of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by any of the three classifications*

Answer:

d. No, expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by any expenditure classification.

Source:

EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

EBP documentation does not provide expenditure estimates for either BY-2 or previous years.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Expenditures for BY-2 are presented for the European Programs only

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf> pages 642-649

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

22b. Based on the response to Question 22, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year in the Executive Budget Proposal:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

EBP documentation.

Comment:

See comment to Q 22.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

23. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for more than one year preceding the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 23 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year before the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.*

*A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-2 and prior years. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-2 and prior years. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.*

Answer:

c. Yes, programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures are presented for BY-2 and prior years.

Source:

EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

Comment:

EBP 2021 presents expenditures for individual programs for more than one year preceding BY, but this only applies to EU co-financed programs that account for less than 2/3 of budget expenditure - see citation, pp. 642-649.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

24. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all expenditures reflect actual outcomes?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 24 asks for which year the actual outcomes for expenditures are shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated all its expenditure data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.*

*For an "a" answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.*

**Answer:**

d. No actual data for all expenditures are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

**Source:**

EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

**Comment:**

Neither EPB nor its supplementary documentation present actual outcomes of all expenditures for periods prior to BY.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

25. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax) for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-1 are presented by category.

**Source:**

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

**Comment:**

Revenue estimates by category for BY-1 presents Explication to EBP - see citation (Table 2, p. 220 "Table 2. State budget revenues").

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

26. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

**GUIDELINES:**

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue are presented for BY-1.

**Source:**

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

**Comment:**

Individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue categories for BY-1 presents Explication to EBP. See respectively:

- for tax-revenue - table on p. 29,
- for non-tax revenue - table on p. 36.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

27. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have the original estimates of revenue for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated to reflect actual revenue collections?

**GUIDELINES:**

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-1 have been updated from the original enacted levels.

**Source:**

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

**Comment:**

EBP presents revenue estimates for BY-1 which have been updated from the original enacted levels. See citation respectively, for tax revenue table on p. 29 and for non-tax revenue table on p. 36.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

28. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

**GUIDELINES:**

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

**Answer:**

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by category.

Source:

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

Explication to EBP presents revenue estimates by category for BY-2. See respective tables in citation, on p. 29 for tax revenue, and on p. 35 for non-tax revenue.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

29. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.*

Answer:

a. Yes, individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue are presented for BY-2 and prior years.

Source:

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

Explication to EBP presents individual sources of revenue for BY-2. See response to Q28.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

30. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all revenues reflect actual outcomes?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.*

Answer:

a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

Source:

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

See comment to Q. 28-29.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

31. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?"

(The core information must include the total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1; the amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1; interest payments on the debt; interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 31 focuses on prior-year debt information, rather than on prior-year expenditures or revenues, asking whether "core" information is provided on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1).*

*The "core" information includes:*

- *total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1;*
- *amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1;*
- *interest payments on the debt;*
- *interest rates on the debt instruments;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether it is domestic or external debt.*

*This core information for BY-1 is consistent with the budget year information for borrowing and debt, which is examined in Questions 13 and 14. Please note that for the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.*

*In addition, some governments provide information beyond the core elements, such as gross new borrowing required during BY-1; currency of the debt; whether the debt carries a fixed or variable interest rate; whether it is callable; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for BY-1 as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on government borrowing and debt for BY-1.*

**Answer:**

b. Yes, the core information is presented for government debt.

**Source:**

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

**Comment:**

Explication to EBP presents information on government borrowing and debt for BY-1. In addition, Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy presents information that goes beyond core elements.

For core elements see citation respectively:

- total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1 (table, p. 201-2);
- amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1 (table, p. 186);
- interest payments on the debt (chart, p. 15);
- interest rates on the debt instruments (table 1, p. 219);
- maturity profile of the debt (Figure 18, p. 40);
- whether it is domestic or external debt. the structure of debt (table 9, p. 37).

Sample element beyond the core - servicing costs of the State Treasury debt (table, p. 64).

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Disagree  
Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for government debt.

Comments: One of the core elements is not presented: there is no information on interest rates (although the estimated cost of debt servicing is provided); but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. Please compare answer to Q14. Sources: - total debt outstanding at the

end of BY-1 (table, p. 201-202 of Explication to EBP ); - amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1 (table, p. 186 of Explication to EBP ); - interest payments on the debt for BY-1 are presented on page 64 of the Explication to EBP (Obsługa długu Skarbu Państwa), and the detailed breakdown on pages 65-68 may serve as example of "information beyond the core elements" - information on maturity profile of the debt (Figure 18, p. 40 of Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy), - structure of domestic / external debt (table 9, p. 37 of Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy), <https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-strategia%20zarz%C4%85dzania%20d%C5%82ugiem.pdf> Interest rates on the debt instruments for BY-1 are not presented. The source called by the Researcher presents general external interest rate, which is not exactly the interest rate on the govt debt.

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

The reviewer is right. Indeed, the quoted source indicates the debt servicing, which is a derivative of the interest rate, but should not be equated with the interest rates on the debt instruments BY-1. Answer is changed, from "a" to "b".

32. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which the debt figures reflect actual outcomes?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 32 asks for which year the actual outcome for total debt outstanding is shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated its debt data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.*

*For an "a" answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.*

*It is essential that all government activities that may have an impact on the budget – in the current budget year or in future budget years – be fully disclosed to the legislature and the public in budget documents. In some countries, for instance, entities outside central government (such as public corporations) undertake fiscal activities that could affect current and future budgets. Similarly, activities that can have a significant impact on the budget, such as payment arrears and contingent liabilities, sometimes are not properly captured by the regular presentations of expenditure, revenue, and debt.*

Answer:

a. Two years prior to the budget year (BY-2).

Source:

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

The Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy in the years 2021-24:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/5c34e277-800a-491c-948c-0863f7222560>

Comment:

The most recent year presented in EBP documentation for which the debt figures reflect actual outcomes is BY-2. See respectively:

- table on p. 201-202 (Explication to EBP),

- tables on p. 37 and p. 57 (Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy).

For example: Wartość państwowego długu publicznego na koniec 2019 r. wyniosła 990,9 mld zł, a jego relacja do PKB wyniosła 43,6%. ("The value of the state public debt at the end of 2019 \*amounted\* to PLN 990.9 billion, and its relation to GDP was 43.6%").

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

33. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on extra-budgetary funds for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund; and complete income, expenditure, and financing data on a gross basis.)"

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 33 focuses on extra-budgetary funds, asking whether "core" information related to these funds, which exist outside the budget, are presented. These*



core components include:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund (i.e., why was a particular fund set up? what is it used for?); and
- estimates of its income, expenditure, and financing. (These estimates should be presented on a gross basis so that it is possible to tell how much money flows through each extra-budgetary fund.)

In most countries, governments engage in certain budgetary activities that are not included in the central government's budget. Known as extra-budgetary funds, they can range in size and scope. For example, countries frequently set up pension and social security programs as extra-budgetary funds, where the revenues collected and the benefits paid are recorded in a separate fund outside the budget. Another example of an extra-budgetary fund can be found in countries dependent on hydrocarbon/mineral resources, where revenues from producing and selling those resources are channeled through systems outside the annual budget.

In some cases, the separation engendered by an extra-budgetary fund serves a legitimate political purpose, and the finances and activities of these funds are well documented. In other cases, however, this structure is used for obfuscation, and little or nothing is known about a fund's finances and activities.

The availability of information related to extra-budgetary funds is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the government's true fiscal position. In addition to the core information, other information about extra-budgetary funds is also desirable. Such information includes a discussion of the risks associated with the extra-budgetary fund; expenditures classified by economic, functional, or administrative unit; and the rules and procedures that govern the operations and management of the extra-budgetary fund.

For more information about extra-budgetary funds, see the *Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget* (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and Principle 2.1.1 of the IMF's *Fiscal Transparency Handbook* (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9/781484331859/24788-9/781484331859/24788-9/781484331859.xml>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to extra-budgetary funds as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. A "b" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation presents all of the core information. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to extra-budgetary funds is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on extra-budgetary funds.

Please provide in the comments a list of all known extra-budgetary funds.

Answer:

a. Yes, information beyond the core elements is presented for all extra-budgetary funds.

Source:

EPB 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

EBP and supporting documentation present information on extra-budgetary funds that goes beyond core elements. See respectively:

- for financial data - see appendix 13, pp. 379-437 (EBP),
- narrative discussion - see chapter VIII, pp. 147-170 (Explication to EBP).

Examples of extra-budgetary funds:

- Fundusz Wspierania Rozwoju Społeczeństwa Obywatelskiego – data (EBP, pp. 379-380), narrative discussion (Explication to EBP, pp. 166-167);
- Funduszu Gospodarki Zasobem Geodezyjnym I Kartograficznym - data (EBP, pp. 381-382), narrative discussion (Explication to EBP, pp. 164-165);
- Funduszu Reprywatyzacji – data (EBP, pp. 382-383), narrative discussion (Explication to EBP, pp. 157-158).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

34. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 34 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documents present the finances of the central government on a consolidated basis,

showing both its budgetary and extra-budgetary activities. Virtually all of the questions in the OBS questionnaire focus on budgetary central government – the activities of the ministries, departments, or agencies of central government. In addition, Question 33 asks about extra-budgetary funds, such as social security funds that are not included in the budget.

Coverage is an important aspect of fiscal reporting. Budget documents should cover the full scope of government's financial activity. In many countries, extra-budgetary activities are substantial, and can represent a sizable share of the central government's activities. To get a full picture of the central government's finances, therefore, it is necessary to examine both activities that are included in the budget and those that are extra-budgetary. This question asks whether such a consolidated presentation of central government finances is provided.

The central government is only one component of the overall public sector. The public sector also includes other levels of government, such as state and local government, and public corporations. (See Box 2.1 under Principle 1.1.1 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018): <https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>). For the purpose of answering this question, please consider only the central government level.

In order to answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year.

Answer:

a. Yes, central government finances are presented on a consolidated basis.

Source:

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

Explication to EBP presents information on consolidated central government finances. See citation - column 5, table no 3, p. 221.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

35. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of intergovernmental transfers for at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 35 asks about intergovernmental transfers. In many cases, the central government supports the provision of a good or service by a lower level of government through an intergovernmental transfer of funds. This is necessary because, independent from the level of administrative decentralization that exists in a given country, the capacity for revenue collection of a local government is unlikely to be sufficient to pay for all its expenses. However, because the activity is not being undertaken by an administrative unit of the central government, it is unlikely to receive the same level of review in the budget. Thus it is important to include in the budget proposal a statement that explicitly indicates the amount and purposes of these transfers.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all intergovernmental transfers and a narrative discussing these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all intergovernmental transfers are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, intergovernmental transfers (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of intergovernmental transfers are presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates of all intergovernmental transfers are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:

EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

Estimates of all intergovernmental transfers presents EBP - see Citation 1, table on p. 43 column 4, appendix 2.

For data and narrative discussion see Explication to EBP, - see Citation 2, pp. 46-57 and table on p. 221.

Intergovernmental transfers are scattered across the entire Appendix 2. Regardless of this, some more specific information can be found, e.g. Annex 9 presents the scope and amounts of specific and targeted subsidies.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

36. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present alternative displays of expenditures (such as by gender, by age, by income, or by region) to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens, for at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 36 asks about "alternative displays" of expenditures that highlight the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens. As discussed above, expenditures are typically presented by at least one of three classifications – administrative, functional, and economic classifications (see Questions 1-5) – and by individual program (Question 6). In addition, governments can provide alternative displays to emphasize different aspects of expenditure policies and to show who benefits from these expenditures.*

*For the purpose of answering this question, the alternative presentation must differ from the presentations (such as administrative, functional, or economic classifications or presentation by program) used to answer other questions. The alternative display can cover all expenditures or only a portion of expenditures. For instance, it can show how all expenditures are distributed according to geographic region or it can show how selected expenditures (such as the health budget or the agriculture budget) are distributed to different regions. But such a geographic display must be something different than the presentation of intergovernmental transfers used to answer question 35. One exception is when a country includes a special presentation of all policies intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (and is used to answer Question 52) then that can be considered an alternative display for purposes of answering this question as well. Finally, brief fact sheets showing how proposals in the budget benefit particular groups would be insufficient; only more detailed presentations would be considered.*

*The IBP Budget Brief, "How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget's Impact on Poverty and Inequality?" (<https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf>) includes a discussion of the importance of alternative displays of budget information and provides a number of examples. For instance,*

- *Bangladesh in its 2017-18 Budget included a detailed supplementary Gender Budgeting Report, which presents the spending dedicated to advancing women across various departments. (<https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/3bb14732-b5b1-44df-9921-efedf1496295>).*
- *The UK's 2017 budget included a supplementary analysis that provided a distributional analysis of the budget by households in different income groups (see [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/661465/distributional\\_analysis\\_autumn\\_budget\\_2017.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/661465/distributional_analysis_autumn_budget_2017.pdf)).*
- *South Africa's 2017 Budget Review goes beyond the standard presentation of intergovernmental transfers, discussing the redistribution that results from national revenue flowing to the provinces and municipalities and presenting the allocations on a per capita basis (see chapter 6, <http://www.treasury.gov.za/documents/national%20budget/2017/review/FullBR.pdf>).*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least three different presentations that illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens for at least the budget year. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least two different alternative displays of expenditures. A "c" applies if only one type of alternative display of expenditure is presented. Answer "d" applies if no alternative display of expenditure is presented.*

Answer:

d. No, alternative displays of expenditures are not presented to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.

Source:

EBP documentation

Comment:

EBP documentation does not present any alternative displays of expenditures to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

36b. Based on the response to Question 36, select the box(es) below to identify which types of alternative displays are included in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:  
None of the above

Source:

Comment:  
See response to Q36.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

37. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of transfers to public corporations for at least the budget year?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 37 asks about transfers to public corporations. It is often the case that governments have a stake in enterprises that manage resources that are particularly relevant for the public good (such as electricity, water, and oil). While these public corporations can operate independently, in some cases the government will provide direct support by making transfers to these corporations, including to subsidize capital investment and operating expenses.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all transfers to public corporations and a narrative discussing the purposes of these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all transfers to public corporations are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, transfers to public corporations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "c" also applies if estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented as a single line item. Answer "d" applies if no estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented.*

*Please provide in the comments a list of all known public corporations.*

Answer:  
b. Yes, estimates of all transfers to public corporations are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Source:  
EBP 2021:  
<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

Comment:  
Estimates of transfers to public corporations presents EBP - see Appendix 8 (p.246 and following) & Appendix 9 (p.271 and following). There is no narrative discussion concerning the matter in question in the EBP documentation.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

38. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity and the intended beneficiaries.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 38 focuses on quasi-fiscal activities, asking whether "core" information related to such activities is presented. These core components include:

- A statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity (i.e., what is the reason for engaging in this activity?);
- The identification of intended beneficiaries of the quasi-fiscal activity.

The term "quasi-fiscal activities" refers to a broad range of activities that are fiscal in character and could be carried out through the regular budget process but are not. For example, a quasi-fiscal activity could take place if, instead of providing a direct subsidy through the budget for a particular activity, a public financial institution provides an indirect subsidy by offering loans at below-market rates for that activity. Similarly, it is a quasi-fiscal activity when an enterprise provides goods or services at prices below commercial rates to certain individuals or groups to support the government's policy goals.

The above examples are policy choices that may be approved by the government and legislature. However, quasi-fiscal activities can also involve activities that violate or circumvent a country's budget process laws or are not subject to the regular legislative approval process for expenditures. For example, the executive may issue an informal order to a government entity, such as a public commercial enterprise, to provide the executive with goods and services that normally would have to be purchased with funding authorized by the legislature. All quasi-fiscal activities should be disclosed to the public and subject to public scrutiny.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about quasi-fiscal activities, including for example: the anticipated duration of the quasi-fiscal activity; a quantification of the activity and the assumptions that support these estimates; and a discussion of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the activity, including the impact on the entity carrying out the activity. Principle 3.3.2 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>) provides examples of quasi-fiscal activities that can be consulted as needed. And more details on quasi-fiscal activities can be found in the Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to all quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to quasi-fiscal activities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on quasi-fiscal activities.

If quasi-fiscal activities do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark "e." However, please exercise caution in answering this question.

Answer:

d. No, information related to quasi-fiscal activities is not presented.

Source:

EBP documentation

Comment:

EBP documentation does not present information on quasi-fiscal activities.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

39. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on financial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a listing of the assets, and an estimate of their value.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 39 focuses on financial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. These core components include:

- A listing of the financial assets; and
- An estimate of their value.

Governments own financial assets such as cash, bonds, or equities. Unlike private sector businesses, however, few governments maintain balance sheets that show the value of their assets and liabilities.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about financial assets, including for example: a discussion of their purpose; historical information on defaults; differences between reported values and market values; and a summary of financial assets as part of the government's balance sheet.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to all financial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to financial assets is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on financial assets held by the government.

Answer:

d. No, information related to financial assets is not presented.

Source:

EBP 2021

Comment:

EBP 2021 and supporting documentation does not present any information on financial assets held by the government.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

40. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on nonfinancial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a listing of the assets by category.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 40 focuses on nonfinancial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. The core information is a listing of nonfinancial assets, grouped by the type (or category) of asset.

Nonfinancial assets are things of value that the government owns or controls (excluding financial assets) such as land, buildings, and machinery. The valuation of public nonfinancial assets can be problematic, particularly in cases where the asset is not typically available on the open market (such as a government monument). In these cases, it is considered acceptable to provide summary information in budget documents from a country's register of assets. But, in some cases, governments are able to value their nonfinancial assets; some present a summary of nonfinancial assets as part of their balance sheets. For an example of how nonfinancial assets are presented in one of the many supporting documents to the New Zealand Executive's Budget Proposal, see the Forecast Financial Statement 2011, Notes to the Financial Statements (Continued), Note 14, accessible here: <https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2011-05/befu11-pt6of8.pdf>.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year a listing by category of all nonfinancial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to nonfinancial assets is presented, but some nonfinancial assets are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on nonfinancial assets held by the government.

Answer:

d. No, information related to nonfinancial assets is not presented.

Source:

EBP 2021

Comment:

EBP 2021 and supporting documentation does not present any information on non-financial assets held by the government.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

41. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure arrears for at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 41 asks about estimates of expenditure arrears, which arise when government has entered into a commitment to spend funds but has not made the payment when it is due. (For more information see sections 3.49-3.50 of the IMF's GFS Manual 2001, <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf> (page 29)). Though equivalent to borrowing, this liability is often not recorded in the budget, making it difficult to assess fully a government's financial position. Moreover, the obligation to repay this debt affects the government's ability to pay for other activities.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all expenditure arrears and a narrative discussing the arrears. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all expenditure arrears are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, expenditure arrears (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of expenditure arrears are presented. Answer "d" also applies if information is only available for the changes in arrears, and not the stock or balance of arrears.

If expenditure arrears do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark "e." However, please exercise caution in answering this question. Public expenditure management laws and regulations often will allow for reasonable delays, perhaps 30 or 60 days, in the routine payment of invoices due. Expenditure arrears impacting a small percentage of expenditure that are due to contractual disputes should not be considered a significant problem for the purpose of answering this question.

Answer:

e. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Source:

Law on Public Finances:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/Download?id=WDU20091571240&type=3>

Act on liability for violation of public finance discipline:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20050140114/U/D20050114Lj.pdf>

Comment:

The law in Poland does not provide for the possibility of expenditure arrears. Law on public finances (Art. 51.1) provides that "inclusion in the state budget of revenues from specific sources or expenses for specific purposes shall not constitute grounds for claims or obligations of the state towards third parties or claims of these persons against the state ". On the other hand, the Act on Public Finance Discipline (Art. 16.1.) treats failure to meet the obligations of a public finance sector unit on time as breach. Hence the choice of the answer " e ".

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

42. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on contingent liabilities, such as government loan guarantees or insurance programs, for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability; the new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments (the gross exposure) at the end of the budget year.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 42 focuses on contingent liabilities, asking whether "core" information related to these liabilities is presented. These core components include:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability;
- the new contingent liabilities for the budget year, such as new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and
- the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments at the end of the budget year. This reflects the gross exposure of the government in the case that all guarantees or commitments come due (even though that may be unlikely to occur).

Contingent liabilities are recognized under a cash accounting method only when the contingent event occurs and the payment is made. An example of such liabilities is the case of loans guaranteed by the central government, which can include loans to state-owned banks and other state-owned commercial enterprises, subnational governments, or private enterprises. Under such guarantees, government will only make a payment if the borrower defaults. Thus a key issue for making quantitative estimates of these liabilities is assessing the likelihood of the contingency occurring.

In the budget, according to the OECD, "[w]here feasible, the total amount of contingent liabilities should be disclosed and classified by major category reflecting their nature; historical information on defaults for each category should be disclosed where available. In cases where contingent liabilities cannot be quantified, they should be listed and described."

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about contingent liabilities, including for example: historical default rates for each program, and likely default rates in the future; the maximum guarantee that is authorized by law; any special financing associated with the guarantee (e.g., whether fees are charged, whether a reserve fund exists for the purpose of paying off guarantees, etc.); the duration of each guarantee; and an estimate of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the guarantees.

For more details on contingent liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and page 59 (Box 11) and Principle 3.2.3 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to contingent liabilities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to contingent liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on contingent liabilities.

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements or some contingent liabilities.

Source:

1. EPB 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

2. Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

3. Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy in the years 2021-24:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/5c34e277-800a-491c-948c-0863f7222560>

Comment:

EBP documentation presents some information on contingent liabilities, but it is mostly general in nature and does not include core elements according to the OBS methodology. In particular, the EBP specifies only the maximum amounts of contingent liabilities for BY (Art. 6-7, citation 1, p.

2). Explication to EBP presents estimated totals of contingent liabilities with a brief narrative discussion on the following issues (citation 2):

- settlements for sureties and guarantees granted by the State Treasury (p. 64),
- granting loans for export insurance (pp. 196-197),
- forecast of the State Treasury's receivables due to guarantees and sureties granted (p. 198),
- receivables due to granted public aid in the form of guarantees and sureties of the State Treasury (p. 198).

More detailed information is included in the Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy presenting the strategy of granting guarantees and sureties, forecast of totals of contingent liabilities and list of most important public beneficiaries (citation 3, pp. 43-44).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

43. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present projections that assess the government's future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer term?

(The core information must cover a period of at least 10 years and include the macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used and a discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 43 focuses on government's future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer-term, asking whether "core" information related to



these issues is presented. These core components must include:

- Projections that cover a period of at least 10 years.
- The macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used in making the projections.
- A discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections. Good public financial management calls for budgets to include fiscal sustainability analyses.

The IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>) recommends that governments regularly publish the projected evolution of the public finances over the longer term (see Principle 3.1.3.). Future liabilities are a particularly important element when assessing the sustainability of public finances over the long term. Future liabilities are the result of government commitments that, unlike contingent liabilities, are virtually certain to occur at some future point and result in an expenditure. A typical example consists of government obligations to pay pension benefits or cover health care costs of future retirees. Under a cash accounting system, only current payments associated with such obligations are recognized in the budget. To capture the future impact on the budget of these liabilities, a separate statement is required.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about the sustainability of their finances, including for example: projections that cover 20 or 30 years; multiple scenarios with different sets of assumptions; assumptions about other factors (such as the depletion of natural resources) that go beyond just the core macroeconomic and demographic data; and a detailed presentation of particular programs that have long time horizons, such as civil service pensions.

For more details on future liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of government finances over the longer term as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to future liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on future liabilities and the sustainability of government's finances

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:

Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy in the years 2021-24:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/5c34e277-800a-491c-948c-0863f7222560>

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-strategia%20zarz%C4%85dzania%20d%C5%82ugiem.pdf>

Comment:

Projections of future government liabilities are the subject of analyzes of the Multiannual Financial Plans, documents used among others in the preparation of the EBP, but not constituting part of its documentation. The EBP itself and the supporting documents present little information about the government's future liabilities and the sustainability of public finances. Only Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy presents some projections for the period BY + 3, for example forecasts of public debt and service costs (Table 9, p. 37) and macroeconomic assumptions of strategy (Table 8, p. 22).

The Public Finance Sector Debt Management Strategy is part of the EBP package.

<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=640>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

44. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of the sources of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind, for at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 44 asks about estimates of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind assistance. Such assistance is considered non-tax revenue, and the sources of this assistance should be explicitly identified. In terms of in-kind assistance, the concern is primarily with the provision of goods (particularly those for which there is a market that would allow goods received as in-kind aid to be sold, thereby converting them into cash) rather than with in-kind aid like advisors from a donor country providing technical assistance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all donor

assistance and a narrative discussing the assistance. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all donor assistance are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, donor assistance (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "c" also applies if the sources of donor assistance are not presented, but the total amount of donor assistance is presented as a single line item. Answer "d" applies if no estimates of donor assistance are presented. Select answer "e" if your country does not receive donor assistance.

Answer:

b. Yes, estimates of all sources of donor assistance are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Source:

EPB 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

Explication to EPB 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

Comment:

EPB 2021 presents estimates of donor assistance for BY coming from EU and EFTA, but without narrative discussion. See respectively, - revenues of the European funds (table on p. 149-150, Appendix 3) - planned income of the budget of European funds within the scope of Common Agricultural Policy and programs of EFTA (Appendix 15). Explication to EPB presets forecasted balance of financial flows in 2021 between Poland and the EU and EFTA p. 173).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

45. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on tax expenditures for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each tax expenditure, the intended beneficiaries, and an estimate of the revenue foregone.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 45 focuses on tax expenditures, asking whether "core" information related to these tax preferences is presented. These core components must include for both new and existing tax expenditures:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale;
- a listing of the intended beneficiaries; and
- an estimate of the revenue foregone.

Tax expenditures arise as a result of exceptions or other preferences in the tax code provided for specified entities, individuals, or activities. Tax expenditures often have the same impact on public policy and budgets as providing direct subsidies, benefits, or goods and services. For example, encouraging a company to engage in more research through a special tax break can have the same effect as subsidizing it directly through the expenditure side of the budget, as it still constitutes a cost in terms of foregone revenues. However, expenditure items that require annual authorization are likely to receive more scrutiny than tax breaks that are a permanent feature of the tax code.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about tax expenditures, including for example: the intended beneficiaries by sector and income class (distributional impact); a statement of the estimating assumptions, including the definition of the benchmark against which the foregone revenue is measured; and a discussion of tax expenditures as part of a general discussion of expenditures for those program areas that receive both types of government support (in order to better inform policy choices). For more details on tax expenditures, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and Principle 1.1.4 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, all of the core information related to tax expenditures as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to tax expenditures is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on tax expenditures.

Answer:

d. No, information related to tax expenditures is not presented.

Source:  
EBP documentation

Comment:  
EBP documentation does not present information concerning tax expenditures for BY 2021.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

46. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of earmarked revenues for at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 46 asks about estimates of earmarked revenues, which are revenues that may only be used for a specific purpose (for example, revenues from a tax on fuel that can only be used for building roads). This information is important in determining which revenues are available to fund the government's general expenses, and which revenues are reserved for particular purposes.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all earmarked revenues and a narrative discussing the earmarks. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all earmarked revenues are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, earmarked revenues (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of earmarked revenues are presented. An "e" response applies if revenue is not earmarked or the practice is disallowed by law or regulation.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, estimates of all earmarked revenues are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

**Source:**

EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-ustawa%20i%20za%C5%82%C4%85czniki%20do%20ustawy.pdf>

**Explication to EBP 2021:**

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

**Comment:**

EBP presents estimates of earmarked revenues for BY 2021 (Appendix 13). Narrative discussion is presented in Explication to EBP (pp. 147-170).

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

47. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government's policy goals. The budget is the executive's main policy document, the culmination of the executive's planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget – that is, an explicit explanation of how the government's policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government's policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand's 2011 Statement of Intent (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16>), one of the many documents supporting its budget.*

*In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive's Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are "translated" into revenue*

and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government's policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government's policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government's policy goals.

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates that show how the proposed budget is linked to all the government's policy goals for the budget year are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:

Performance-based draft of the state budget for 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-planowanie%20w%20uk%C5%82adzie%20zadaniowym%20na%202021%20rok.pdf>

Comment:

Information on how the proposed budget is linked to government's policy goals for BY is presented in performance-based version of EBP. The document presents the objectives of public policy and their financing in the structure of the main functions of the state.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

48. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government's policy goals for a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?

**GUIDELINES:**

Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government's policy goals. The budget is the executive's main policy document, the culmination of the executive's planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget – that is, an explicit explanation of how the government's policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government's policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand's 2011 Statement of Intent (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16>), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive's Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are "translated" into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government's policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government's policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government's policy goals.

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates that show how the proposed budget is linked to all the government's policy goals for a multi-year period are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:

Performance-based draft of the state budget for 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-planowanie%20w%20uk%C5%82adzie%20zadaniowym%20na%202021%20rok.pdf>

Comment:

Information and discussion presented in performance-based version of EBP covers period BY+2.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: performance-based version of EBP presents expenditures in two formats: for 2021 (BY) and a total value for 2021-2023 (BY + BY+1 + BY+2).

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

49. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on inputs to be acquired for at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 49 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on inputs for the budget year. (Nonfinancial data on outputs and outcomes are addressed in Question 50.)

The budget should disclose not only the amount of money that is being allocated on a program but also any information needed to analyze that expenditure. Nonfinancial data and performance targets associated with budget proposals are used to assess the success of a given policy. For example, even when allocated funds are spent according to plan, there remains the question of whether the policy delivered the results that it aimed to achieve.

Nonfinancial data can include information on:

*Inputs* - These are the resources assigned to achieve results. For example, in regards to education, nonfinancial data on inputs could include the number of books to be provided to each school or the materials to be used to build or refurbish a school.

*Outputs* - These are products and services delivered as a result of inputs. For example, the number of pupils taught every year; the number of children that received vaccines; or the number of beneficiaries of a social security program.

*Outcomes* - These are the intended impact or policy goals achieved. For example, an increase in literacy rates among children under 10, or a reduction in rates of maternal mortality.

In addition, governments that set performance targets must use nonfinancial data for outputs and outcomes to determine if these targets have been met.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program is organized by functions. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on inputs for all administrative units or all functions, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on inputs are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on inputs is presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, nonfinancial data on inputs are presented for some programs and/or some administrative units (or functions).

Source:

Performance-based draft of the state budget for 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-planowanie%20w%20uk%C5%82adzie%20zadaniowym%20na%202021%20rok.pdf>

Comment:

Nonfinancial data on inputs are presented in Performance-based version of EBP. The presentation applies only to some specific programs or task within distinguished functions of the state.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, nonfinancial data on inputs are presented for some programs and/or some administrative units (or functions).

Comments: Performance-based version of EBP presents narrative discussion and performance indicators for all functions of the state. These are a mixture of the outputs and outcomes, but rarely inputs.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

Indeed, unlike outputs and outcomes, information on inputs appears relatively less frequently and is not presented in relation to all state functions. The change to "c" seems to be justified.

IBP Comment

Following the exchange between researcher and peer reviewer, the response has been downgraded, from "b" to "c".

50. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on results (in terms of outputs or outcomes) for at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 50 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 49).*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on results for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on results for each individual program is organized by functional classification. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on results for all administrative units or all functional classifications, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on results are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on results is presented.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, nonfinancial data on results are provided for each program within all administrative units (or functions).

**Source:**

Performance-based draft of the state budget for 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-planowanie%20w%20uk%C5%82adzie%20zadaniowym%20na%202021%20rok.pdf>

**Comment:**

Performance-based version of EBP presents nonfinancial data on results for each program within all defined functions of the state.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

51. Are performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results in the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 51 asks about performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. The question applies to those nonfinancial results shown in the budget, and that were identified for purposes of Question 50.*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to all nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget for at least the budget year. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to a majority (but not all) of the nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget. A "c" response applies performance targets are assigned only to less than half of the nonfinancial data on results. Answer "d" applies if no performance targets are assigned to nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget, or the budget does not present nonfinancial results.*

**Answer:**

b. Yes, performance targets are assigned to most nonfinancial data on results.

**Source:**

Performance-based draft of the state budget for 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-planowanie%20w%20uk%C5%82adzie%20zadaniowym%20na%202021%20rok.pdf>

**Comment:**

Performance targets are assigned to most nonfinancial data on results - see tabular summary in citation (annex 1, pp. 146-157).

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

52. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations in at least the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 52 asks whether the budget highlight policies, both new and existing, that benefit the poorest segments of society. This question is intended to assess only those programs that directly address the immediate needs of the poor, such as through cash assistance programs or the provision of housing, rather than indirectly, such as through a stronger national defense. This information is of particular interest to those seeking to bolster government's commitment to anti-poverty efforts. For purposes of answering this question, a departmental budget (such for the Department of Social Welfare) would not be considered acceptable. In general, this question is asking whether the EBP includes a special presentation that pulls together estimates of all the relevant policies in one place. However, if the country uses "program budgeting," where programs are presented as expenditure categories with specific and identified objectives, and it identifies anti-poverty programs within each administrative unit, then that is also acceptable for this question.*

*The IBP Budget Brief, "How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget's Impact on Poverty and Inequality?" (<https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf>) includes a discussion of countries that have provided information on how its policies affect the poor.*

*For instance, Pakistan provides a detailed breakdown of pro-poor expenditure as part of its 2017-18 budget proposal. In one document, the government sets out policy priorities, expected outputs, and estimates of past and future spending for several programs aimed at poverty alleviation. Another supporting document provides a comprehensive overview of ongoing policies, including a chapter on social safety nets, covering both financial and performance information of poverty alleviation schemes over a period of eight years. ([http://www.finance.gov.pk/budget/mtbf\\_2018\\_21.pdf](http://www.finance.gov.pk/budget/mtbf_2018_21.pdf) and [http://www.finance.gov.pk/survey\\_1718.html](http://www.finance.gov.pk/survey_1718.html)).*

*To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must for at least the budget year both present estimates covering all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations and include a narrative discussion that specifically addresses these policies. (For countries using program budgeting that breaks out individual anti-poverty programs, there should be a separate narrative associated with each such program.) Answer "b" if a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented. Answer "c" if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" if no estimates of policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented.*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, estimates of some but not all policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations are presented.

**Source:**

Explication to EBP 2021:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/917EBF408A48713EC12585F30052D856/%24File/640-uzasadnienie.pdf>

**Comment:**

Estimates of policies / programs intended to benefit directly most impoverished populations in BY presents Explication to EBP. The issue of actions for these populations has not been specifically distinguished, but it is presented either on listing government priorities (indicating the amounts of individual programs) or the tasks of special purpose funds (only general amounts of expenditure). For example, see:

- a list of government priorities in BY 2021, including programs for the poor, disabled and families (pp. 44-45);
- overview of the budget and tasks of the Labor Fund financing benefits for the unemployed (pp. 153-154);
- overview of the budget and tasks of the Solidarity Fund offering support for disabled and elderly people (pp. 155-156).

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

53. Does the executive release to the public its timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal (that is, a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation)?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 53 asks about the budget timetable. An internal timetable is particularly important for the executive's management of the budget preparation process, in order to ensure that the executive accounts for the views of the different departments and agencies in the proposed budget. The timetable would, for instance, set deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. So that civil society is aware of the various steps in the budget formulation process, and when opportunities may exist to engage the executive, it is essential that this timetable be made available to the public.

To answer "a," the executive must prepare a detailed budget timetable and release it to the public. A "b" answer applies if the timetable is made public, but some details are not included. A "c" response applies if the timetable is made public, but many important details are excluded, reducing its value for those outside government. Answer "d" applies if no timetable is made available to the public. As long as a timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal is released, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Executive's Budget Proposal is not made publicly available.

Answer:

a. Yes, a detailed timetable is released to the public.

Source:

Budgetary Note:

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/nota-budzetowa--nowelizacja-lipiec-2020-r>.

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/ea706553-e4d1-445e-b3e5-704ce7b156f5>

Comment:

MoF releases so called Budgetary Note which defines the EBP preparation process including, inter alia, a detailed action plan of the other government entities involved.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

54. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 54 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short- and long-term interest rates; the rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the macroeconomic forecast.

Source:

Assumptions to the draft of state budget 2021:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/1ca90e3a-fff6-46c9-8565-e0ea5d162dc6>

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>



Comment:

PBS presents information on macroeconomic forecast constituting the basis for budget preparation, both core elements, in accordance with OPS methodology, such as real GDP level (p. 7), nominal GDP (p. 10), inflation rate (p. 9), and beyond core elements: the size of investment in the economy (p. 7), the nominal growth rate of the average wage (p. 8) or the exchange rate p. 9. However, there is no information on one of the core elements, namely estimates of interest rates.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the macroeconomic forecast.

Comments: Since one of the core elements (interest rates) is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented, answer B should be chosen.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

Due to the significant information content going beyond the core elements, it seems acceptable to accept the answer "b" rather than "c" (originally chosen).

55. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government's expenditure policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities and an estimate of total expenditures.)

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 55 focuses on the government's expenditure policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:*

- *a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities; and*
- *an estimate of total expenditures.*

*Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed programmatic proposals (such detailed information is typically only presented in the budget itself), it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least total expenditures associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can include some detail, for instance, estimates provided by any of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications.*

*To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the government's expenditure policies and priorities is presented.*

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:

Assumptions to the draft of state budget 2021:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/1ca90e3a-fff6-46c9-8565-e0ea5d162dc6>

Available also at: <https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>

Comment:

PBS presents some general information concerning expenditure policies and priorities for the upcoming budget (see discussion on determinants of budgetary expenses, pp. 12-13), but it does not include one of core elements, namely estimate of total expenditures.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Estimate of total expenditures is not provided and the discussion of expenditure policies is rather limited.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

56. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government's revenue policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of revenue policies and priorities and an estimate of total revenues.)

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 56 focuses on the government's revenue policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:*

- a discussion of revenue policies and priorities; and
- an estimate of total revenue.

*Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed revenue proposals, it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least the total revenue associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can also include more detail, for instance, with estimates provided by revenue category – tax and non-tax – or some of the major individual sources of revenue, such as the Value Added Tax or the income tax.*

*To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the government's revenue policies and priorities is presented.*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

**Source:**

Assumptions to the draft of state budget 2021:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/1ca90e3a-fff6-46c9-8565-e0ea5d162dc6>

Available also at: <https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>

**Comment:**

PBS presents some general information concerning revenue policies and priorities for the upcoming budget (see discussion on basic determinants of revenue forecast, p.12-), but it does not include one of core elements, namely estimate of total revenue.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Estimate of total revenues is not provided and the discussion of revenue policies covers only selected topics.

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

57. Does Pre-Budget Statement present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 57 asks whether the Pre-Budget Statement includes three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:*

- the amount of net new borrowing needed in the upcoming budget year;
- the central government's total debt burden at the end of the upcoming budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the upcoming budget year.

*Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments,*

or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

*Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.*

*Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.*

*To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt for at least the upcoming budget year. For a "b" answer, the Pre-Budget Statement must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the PBS must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the PBS.*

Answer:

d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.

Source:

Assumptions to the draft of state budget 2021:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/1ca90e3a-fff6-46c9-8565-e0ea5d162dc6>

Available also at: <https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>

Comment:

PBS does not present any information concerning government borrowing and debt.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

58. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present estimates of total expenditures for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 58 asks about multi-year expenditure estimates in the Pre-Budget Statement.*

*To answer "a," expenditure estimates for at least two years beyond the upcoming budget year must be presented. The estimates must be for at least total expenditures, but could include more detail than just the aggregate total.*

Answer:

b. No, multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented.

Source:

Assumptions to the draft of state budget 2021:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/1ca90e3a-fff6-46c9-8565-e0ea5d162dc6>

Available also at: <https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/zalozenia-do-ustawy>

Comment:

PBS does not present any information concerning estimates of total expenditures for a multi-year period.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

59. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 59 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.*

*To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates must be presented by two of the three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents expenditure estimates by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

**Source:**

EB 2021:

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20200000571>

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20200000571/O/D20200571.pdf>

**Comment:**

EB 2021 presents expenditure estimates by all three expenditure classifications. See citation for estimates, respectively by administrative and economic classification (pp. 37-141) and by functional classification (table, p. 36).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

59b. Based on the response to Question 59, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Enacted Budget:

**Answer:**

Administrative classification

Economic classification

Functional classification

**Source:**

EB 2021:

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20200000571>

**Comment:**

See comment to Q59.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

60. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 60 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.*

*A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)*

*To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all programs, which account for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Enacted Budget.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents estimates for programs accounting for all expenditures.

**Source:**

EB 2021:

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20200000571>

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20200000571/O/D20200571.pdf>

**Comment:**

EB presents expenditure estimates for individual programs accounting for all expenditures - see citation pp. 37-141.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

61. Does the Enacted Budget present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 61 asks whether revenue estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.*

*To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present revenue estimates classified by category.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents revenue estimates by category.

**Source:**

EB 2021:

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20200000571>

**Comment:**

EB 2021 presents revenue estimates by category – see citation, table p. 9.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

62. Does the Enacted Budget present individual sources of revenue?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 62 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Enacted Budget. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.*

*To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue that account for less than two-thirds of revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue.

**Source:**

EB 2021:

<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20200000571>

**Comment:**

EB presents individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue - see citation, table p. 9.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

63. Does the Enacted Budget present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 63 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:*

- *the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- *the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year;*
- *the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

*Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.*

*Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.*

*Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.*

*To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the Enacted Budget must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Enacted Budget must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the Enacted Budget.*

**Answer:**

b. Yes, two of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:  
EB 2021:  
<https://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20200000571>

Comment:  
EB presents two estimates related to government borrowing and deb, required net new borrowing (table, p. 159) and interest payments on the outstanding debt (p. 71). There is no information concerning total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

64. What information is provided in the Citizens Budget?

(The core information must include expenditure and revenue totals, the main policy initiatives in the budget, the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based, and contact information for follow-up by citizens.)

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 64 focuses on the content of the Citizens Budget, asking whether "core" information is presented. These core components include:*

- *expenditure and revenue totals;*
- *the main policy initiatives in the budget;*
- *the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based; and*
- *contact information for follow-up by citizens.*

*To answer "a," the Citizens Budget or supporting documentation must present all of the above core information as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Citizens Budget must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if the Citizens Budget includes some of the core components above, but other core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if a Citizens Budget is not published.*

Answer:  
d. The Citizens Budget is not published.

Source:  
Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:  
The Citizens Budget is not published in Poland.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

65. How is the Citizens Budget disseminated to the public?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 65 asks how the Citizens Budget is disseminated to the public. Citizens Budgets should be made available to a variety of audiences. Therefore paper versions and an Internet posting of a document might not be sufficient.*

*To answer "a," the executive must use three or more different types of creative media tools to reach the largest possible share of the population, including those who otherwise would not normally have access to budget documents or information. Dissemination would also be pursued at the very local level, so that the coverage is targeted both by geographic area and population group (e.g., women, elderly, low income, urban, rural, etc.). Option "b" applies if significant*

dissemination efforts are made through a combination of two means of communications, for instance, both posting the Citizens Budget on the executive's official website and distributing printed copies of it. Option "c" applies if the Citizens Budget is disseminated through only posting on the executive's official website. Option "d" applies when the executive does not publish a Citizens Budget.

Answer:

d. A Citizens Budget is not published.

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:

CB is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

66. Has the executive established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information prior to publishing the Citizens Budget?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 66 asks whether the executive has established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information before publishing a Citizens Budget. What the public wants to know about the budget might differ from the information the executive includes in technical documents that comprise the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget; similarly, different perspectives might exist on how the budget should be presented, and this may vary depending on the context. For this reason the executive should consult with the public on the content and presentation of the Citizens Budget.*

*To answer "a," the executive must have established mechanisms to consult with the public, and these mechanisms for consultation are both accessible and widely used by the public. Such mechanisms can include focus groups, social networks, surveys, hotlines, and meetings/events in universities or other locations where people gather to discuss public issues. In countries where Citizens Budgets are consistently produced and released, it may be sufficient for the government to provide the public with contact information and feedback opportunities, and subsequently use the feedback to improve its management of public resources.*

*Option "b" applies if the executive has established mechanisms for consultation that are accessible to the public, but that the public nonetheless does not use frequently. That is, the public does not typically engage with the executive on the content of the Citizens Budget, even though the executive has created opportunities for such consultation. Option "c" applies if the executive has established mechanism for consultation with the public, but they are poorly designed and thus not accessible to the public. Option "d" applies if the executive has not created any mechanisms to seek feedback from the public on the content of the Citizens Budget.*

Answer:

d. No, the executive has not established any mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information in the Citizen's Budget.

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

67. Are "citizens" versions of budget documents published throughout the budget process?

*GUIDELINES:*



Question 67 asks if “citizens” versions of budget documents are published throughout the budget process. While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive’s Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a “citizens” version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle.

To answer “a,” a citizens version of at least one budget document is published for each of the four stages of the budget process (budget formulation, enactment, execution, and audit) – for a total of at least four citizens budget documents throughout the process. Option “b” applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least two of the four stages of the budget process. Option “c” applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least one of the four stages of the budget process. Select option “d” if no “citizens” version of budget documents is published.

Answer:

d. No citizens version of budget documents is published.

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:

CB is not produced in Poland.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

68. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 68 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above.

Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer “a,” In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer “b,” actual expenditures must be presented by two of these three classifications. A “c” answer applies if actual expenditures are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” applies if actual expenditures are not presented by any of the three classifications in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

a. Yes, the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:

Operational IYRs for 2020:

<https://www.gov.pl/web/finanse/sprawozdania-miesieczne-2020>

Operational IYR for period I-X 2020:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/548f594e-3d52-46a0-b92e-575744cf0ec8>

Comment:

Operational IYRs present actual expenditures by all three expenditure classifications, see citation respectively:

- by administrative classification - table 8 (pp. 34-47),
- by economic classification - table 6 (p. 27),
- functional classification table 7 (p. 31-33).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: - by administrative classification - table 8 (pp. 34-47) = page 27-40 of the pdf file - by economic classification - table 6 (p. 27) = page 20 of the pdf file - functional classification table 7 (p. 31-33) = page 24-26 of the pdf file

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

68b. Based on the response to Question 68, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the In-Year Reports:

Answer:

Administrative classification  
Economic classification  
Functional classification

Source:

Operational IYR for period I-X 2020:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/548f594e-3d52-46a0-b92e-575744cf0ec8>

Comment:

See response to Q68.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

69. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for individual programs?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 69 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.*

*A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)*

*To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if actual expenditures are not presented by program in In-Year Reports.*

Answer:

c. Yes, the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for programs accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures.

Source:

Operational IYR for period I-X 2020:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/548f594e-3d52-46a0-b92e-575744cf0ec8>

Comment:

IYRs present actual expenditures for individual programs only for programs co-financed by EU, which account for less than two-thirds of expenditures (see citation, table 9, pp. 69-81).

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree  
Comments: pages 62-74 of the pdf file

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

70. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date expenditures with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 70 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual expenditures to-date with either the enacted levels or actual expenditures for the same period in the previous year.*

*The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date expenditures in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast expenditures (based on enacted levels) for the same period.*

*To answer "a," comparisons must be made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports*

Answer:

a. Yes, comparisons are made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports.

Source:

Operational IYR for period I-X 2020:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/548f594e-3d52-46a0-b92e-575744cf0ec8>

Comment:

IYRs compare actual year-to-date expenditures both with original estimate for that period and the same period in the previous year - see citation, table 6 (pp 26-27).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: pages 19-20 of the pdf file

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

71. Do In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Questions 71 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual revenues by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.*

*To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present revenue estimates classified by category.*

Answer:

a. Yes, In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category.

Source:

Operational IYR for period I-X 2020:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/548f594e-3d52-46a0-b92e-575744cf0ec8>

Comment:

IYRs present actual revenue by category - see table 3 (pp. 16-17) and table 18 (p. 67-68).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: table 3: pages 9-12 of the pdf file table 18: pages 60-61 of the pdf file

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

72. Do In-Year Reports present the individual sources of revenue for actual revenues collected?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 72 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual collections of individual sources of revenue (such as income taxes, VAT, etc.). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.*

*To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual collections for all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual collections for individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue collected, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present individual sources of actual revenue that account for less than two-thirds of all revenue collected. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of actual revenue are not presented.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, In-Year Reports present individual sources of actual revenue accounting for all revenue.

**Source:**

Operational IYR for period I-X 2020:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/548f594e-3d52-46a0-b92e-575744cf0ec8>

**Comment:**

IYRs present individual sources of revenue for actual revenues collected - see table 3 (pp. 16-17) and table 18 (p. 67-68).

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

73. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date revenues with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 73 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual revenues to-date with either the enacted levels or actual revenues for the same period in the previous year.*

*The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date revenues in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast revenues (based on enacted levels) for the same period.*

*To answer "a," comparisons must be made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, comparisons are made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

**Source:**

Operational IYR for period I-X 2020:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/548f594e-3d52-46a0-b92e-575744cf0ec8>

**Comment:**

IYRs compare actual year-to-date revenues with the original estimate for that period based on the EB - see table 3 (pp. 16-17) and table 18 (p. 67-68).

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion:

Comments: The IYR compares actual year-to-date revenues with the original estimate for the budget year, based on the enacted budget.

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

74. Do In-Year Reports present three estimates related to actual government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing; the total debt outstanding; and interest payments?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 74 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:*

- *the amount of net new borrowing so far during the year;*
- *the central government's total debt burden at that point in the year; and*
- *the interest payments to-date on the outstanding debt.*

*Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.*

*Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.*

*Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.*

*To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, In-Year Reports must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, IYRs must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in In-Year Reports.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

**Source:**

Operational IYR for period I-X 2020:

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/548f594e-3d52-46a0-b92e-575744cf0ec8>

State Treasury Debt Bulletin (10/2020):

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/595e3a68-7598-4168-9752-d981e2f85df4>

**Comment:**

IYRs present information only on interest payments to-date on the outstanding debt [citation 1, table 6, p. 26 (20)].

More information present State Treasury Debt Bulletins, published monthly, which can be treated as a kind of equivalent of IYRs concerning public debt. The Bulletins present information on the amount of net new borrowing during the year (citation 2, table 1, p. 1) and the central government's total debt burden at a point in the year (citation 2, Tables 2 & 3, p. 2).

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Updated link to Citation 2: <https://www.gov.pl/attachment/a11c3343-a39a-4ce4-b73c-86f58b51092a>

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

75. Do In-Year Reports present information related to the composition of the total actual debt outstanding?

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 75 focuses on the composition of government debt, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:*

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

*The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 74, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens and banks and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.*

*Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.*

*To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt to-date as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding in In-Year Reports.*

Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the composition of the total actual debt outstanding.

Source:

State Treasury Debt Bulletin (10/2020):

<https://www.gov.pl/attachment/595e3a68-7598-4168-9752-d981e2f85df4>

Comment:

The State Treasury Debt Bulletins present information on 2 core elements, namely maturity profile of the debt (pp. 14-15) and whether the debt is domestic or external (table 2 & 3, p 2). The Bulletins also present comprehensive information beyond the core elements, but does not present information on one of them, i.e. interest rates on the debt.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

76. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 76 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update.*

*Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal.*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated macroeconomic forecast and explain all of the differences between the initial forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal and the updated forecast. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the macroeconomic forecast must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated forecasts are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the macroeconomic forecast has not been updated.*

Answer:

d. No, the estimates for macroeconomic forecast have not been updated.

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:

MYR is considered not publicly available according to OBS standards.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

77. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 77 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of expenditure for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated expenditure estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. The expenditure estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated expenditure estimates, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the expenditure estimates have not been updated.*

Answer:

d. No, expenditure estimates have not been updated.

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:

MYR is considered not publicly available according to OBS standards.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

78. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 78 asks if expenditure estimates for the budget year underway in the Mid-Year Review are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.*

*Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Mid-Year Review.*

Answer:

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by any expenditure classification.

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:

MYR is considered not publicly available according to OBS standards.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

78b. Based on the response to Question 78, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Mid-Year Review:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:

MYR is considered not publicly available according to OBS standards.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

79. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway for individual programs?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 79 asks if expenditure estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by program for the budget year underway. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.*

*A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Mid-Year Review.*

Answer:

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by program.

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:

MYR is considered not publicly available according to OBS standards.



Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

80. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 80 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of revenue for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated revenue estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the revenue estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated revenue estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the revenue estimates have not been updated.*

Answer:

d. No, revenue estimates have not been updated.

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:

MYR is considered not publicly available according to OBS standards.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

81. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 81 asks whether revenue estimates for the budget year underway in the Mid-Year Review are presented by "category"—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present revenue estimates classified by category.*

Answer:

b. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present revenue estimates by category.

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:

MYR is considered not publicly available according to OBS standards.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

82. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 82 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway are presented in the Mid-Year Review. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenues, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Mid-Year Review.*

Answer:

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present individual sources of revenue.

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:

MYR is considered not publicly available according to OBS standards.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

83. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 83 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update.*

*Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:*

- *The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- *The central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and*
- *The interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

*Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:*

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

*To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, and explain all of the differences between the initial estimates presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the*

*estimates of borrowing and debt must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the estimates of borrowing and debt have not been updated.*

Answer:

d. No, estimates of government borrowing and debt have not been updated.

Source:

Servis RP (gov.pl)

Comment:

MYR is considered not publicly available according to OBS standards.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

84. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for expenditures?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 84 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual expenditures for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all expenditures, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report*

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:

YER 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

Comment:

YER presents the differences both between enacted levels of expenditures and the actual outcome and in-year changes approved by the legislature, for all expenditures and with a narrative discussion. For figures see citation pp. 43- 205, and for narrative discussion pp. 1141-1214.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

85. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 85 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question:*

*administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. Answer "b" if expenditure estimates are presented by two of these three classifications. Answer "c" if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Year-End Report.*

Answer:

a. Yes, the Year-End Report presents expenditure estimates by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:

YER 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

Comment:

YER presents expenditure estimates by all three expenditure classifications. See for expenditure estimates, respectively:

- by administrative classification – citation, pp. 47-205;

- by economic and functional classification – citation, pp. 43-46.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

85b. Based on the response to Question 85, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

Administrative classification

Economic classification

Functional classification

Source:

YER 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

Comment:

See comment to Q85.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

86. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 86 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.*

*A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. Answer "c" if the Year-End Report presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" if expenditures are not presented by program in the Year-End Report.*

Answer:

a. Yes, the Year-End Report presents estimates for programs accounting for all expenditures.

Source:

YER 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

Comment:

YER presents expenditure estimates for individual programs – see citation, pp. 47-205 and estimates for programs defined by performance version of BY, pp. 1654- 2033.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

87. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for revenues?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 87 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual revenues for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all revenues, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report.*

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:

YER 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

Comment:

YER shows the differences between the approved and actual figures for all revenues, along with a narrative overview. See information on domestic (pp.1112–1135) and foreign/EU income (pp.1296–1304), respectively.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Main information on the enacted levels and the actual outcome of revenues are presented in table on page 16.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

88. Does the Year-End Report present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 88 asks whether revenue estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by "category"—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present revenue estimates classified by category.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the Year-End Report presents revenue estimates by category.

**Source:**

YER 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

**Comment:**

YER presents revenue estimates by category – see citation respectively:

- domestic revenues – Table (p.16) and figures and narrative discussion (pp. 1113-1114);
- foreign revenues – Table (pp. 207-211) and narrative discussion (pp. 1292-1293).

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

Comments:

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

89. Does the Year-End Report present individual sources of revenue?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 89 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Year-End Report. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. Answer "c" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Year-End Report.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the Year-End Report presents individual sources of revenue accounting for all revenue.

**Source:**

YER 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

**Comment:**

YER presents individual sources of revenue – see citation respectively:

- domestic revenues – Table (p.16) and figures and narrative discussion (pp. 1113-1114);
- foreign revenues – Table (pp. 207-211) and narrative discussion (pp. 1292-1293).

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

90. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 90 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates and the actual outcome for the fiscal year for borrowing and debt, including its composition, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.*

*Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:*

- *the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- *the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and*
- *the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

*Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:*

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.*

**Answer:**

c. Yes, estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of government borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented.

**Source:**

YER 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

**Comment:**

YER presents differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt, and the actual outcome, but excludes two core elements/categories, namely interest rates on the debt and maturity profile of the debt. For other estimates see respectively:

- amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year (p. 230 and pp. 1390-1392);
- central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year (pp. 1402-1404);
- interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year (pp. 45, 151 and 1290);
- distinction between debt is domestic or external (p. 1405).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

90b. Based on the response to Question 90, check the box(es) to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, have the differences between the original forecast and the actual outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

**Answer:**

The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year

The central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year

The interest payments on outstanding debt for the budget year

Whether the debt is domestic or external

Source:  
YER 2019:  
<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

Comment:  
See comment to Q90.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

91. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 91 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.*

*Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast in the Executive's Budget Proposal. Core components include estimates of the nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates, although the importance of other macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil, can vary from country to country.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:  
YER 2019:  
<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

Comment:  
YER presents the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast and the actual outcome for BY, both in relation to core elements and beyond. See the numbers along with narrative discussion concerning core elements: nominal GDP level and real GDP growth (p.1088), inflation rate (p. 1090) and interest rates (1091).  
Other macroeconomic assumptions concern: external environment (p.1088), balance of payments (pp. 1090-91), labor market (p. 1089) and exchange rate (p. 1091).

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

91b. Based on the response to Question 91, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast have the differences between the original forecast and the outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:  
Nominal GDP level



Inflation rate  
Real GDP growth  
Interest rates  
Information beyond the core elements

Source:  
YER 2019:  
<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

Comment:  
See comment to Q91.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

92. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 92 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.*

*Refer to Question 49 for the nonfinancial data on inputs included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:  
YER 2019:  
<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

Comment:  
YER presents the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome - see citation figures with narrative discussion (pp. 1438-1644).

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

93. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 93 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 92).*

*Refer to Question 50 for the nonfinancial data on results included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.*

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:

YER 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

Comment:

YER presents the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome- see citation figures along with a narrative discussion (pp. 1438-1644).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

94. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 94 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 52 for assistance to the most impoverished populations in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between the enacted level for some but not all of the policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:

YER 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

Comment:

The YER shows the differences between the enacted level of resources for policies targeting the most deprived populations and the actual outcome - see figures with narrative overview (pp. 1136-65).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Narrative discussion on pages 1316-1322 and 1545-1564.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

95. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 95 asks whether the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.*

*Refer to Question 33 for estimates of extra-budgetary funds in the Executive's Budget Proposal.*

*To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

**Source:**

YER 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/D9B60DBA8C779614C1258577004D78B1/%24File/396.pdf>

**Comment:**

YER presents the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome – the numbers along with a narrative discussion (pp. 1306-1347).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

96. Is a financial statement included as part of the Year-End Report or released as a separate report?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 96 asks whether a financial statement is included as part of the Year-End Report, or whether it is released as a separate report. The financial statement can include some or all of the following elements: a cash flow statement, an operating statement, a balance sheet, and notes on accounting. For purposes of responding to this question, the financial statement in question does not need to be audited. For an example of a financial statement, see the document "Financial Statements of the Government of New Zealand 2013" (<https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2013-10/fsgnz-year-jun13.pdf>)*

*To answer "a," a financial statement must either be included in the Year-End Report or must be released as a separate report. Answer "a" applies if a financial statement is released as a separate report, even if the Year-End Report is not publicly available. Answer "b" applies if no financial statement is released either as part of the Year-End Report or as a separate report.*

**Answer:**

b. No, a financial statement is neither part of the Year-End Report nor released as a separate report.

**Source:**

YER documentation.

**Comment:**

The YER documentation does not contain financial statement, nor is it published separately.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

97. What type of audits (compliance, financial, or performance) has the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) conducted and made available to the public?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 97 asks about the types of audits conducted by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). There are three basic types of audits:*

- *Financial audits are intended to determine if an entity's financial information is accurate (free from errors or fraud) and presented in accordance with the applicable financial reporting and regulatory framework. See ISSAI 200 (<http://www.issai.org/issai-framework/3-fundamental-auditing-principles.htm>) for more detail.*
- *Compliance audits look at the extent to which the relevant regulations and procedures have been followed. See ISSAI 400 (<https://www.issai.org/pronouncements/issai-400-compliance-audit-principles/>) for more details.*
- *Performance audits assess whether activities are adhering to the principles of economy, efficiency, and effectiveness. See ISSAI 300 (<https://www.issai.org/pronouncements/issai-300-performance-audit-principles/>) for more details.*

*Financial and compliance audits are more common than performance audits, which usually occur only once a performance framework has been agreed upon. In some countries, the SAI's mandate limits the type of audit it can conduct.*

*To answer "a," the SAI must have conducted all three types of audit – financial, compliance, and performance – and made all of them available to the public. A "b" response applies if the SAI has conducted two of the three audit types, and a "c" applies if it has conducted only one type of audit. Answers "b" and "c" may be selected even if the Audit Report is not publicly available, as long as the SAI has conducted compliance or performance audits and made them available to the public. A "d" response applies if the SAI has not conducted any of the three types of audits, or has not made them available to the public.*

**Answer:**

a. The SAI has conducted all three types of audits (compliance, financial, or performance) and made them available to the public.

**Source:**

Law on Supreme Chamber of Control:  
<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/Download?id=WDU19950130059+art.+14+ust.+1&type=3>

**AR 2019:**

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/A7E5E20859AA89F4C1258588004123EE/%24File/414.pdf>

**Comment:**

Pursuant to the law, SAI (art. 5. 1.) conducts a budget audit in terms of legality, efficiency, purposefulness, and reliability. It means that all three kinds of audits are presented in AR. The SAI publishes each yearly report concerning analysis of the execution of the state budget and monetary policy guidelines (AR). The scope of the AR 2019 includes all three types of audits which, but they are not presented as a separate parts of the document. AR in twelve chapters presents conditions, course, results, and effects of implementation of the state budget and monetary policy assumptions in 2019, in accordance with the audit criteria defined in Art. 5 of the SAI Act.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

98. What percentage of expenditures within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 98 focuses on the coverage of audits by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), asking what percentage of expenditures within the SAI's mandate has been audited.*

*The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to budgetary central government (ministries, departments, and agencies) that are within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 99 addresses audits of extra-budgetary funds.) Further, the question does not apply to "secret programs" (for example, security-related expenditures that are confidential). Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.*

*Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. Financial audits and compliance audits, or a hybrid of the two, can be taken into account to answer this question. Performance audits should not be considered for this question.*

To answer "a," all expenditures within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if at least two-thirds, but not all, expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response is appropriate when less than two-thirds of expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies when no expenditures have been audited.

Answer:

a. All expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited.

Source:

AR 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/A7E5E20859AA89F4C1258588004123EE/%24File/414.pdf>

Comment:

All budget expenditures of BY 2019 have been audited by SAI - see citation, Table 12, p. 77(68).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

99. What percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 99 focuses on audits of extra-budgetary funds, asking what percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited. These funds, although technically outside the budget, are governmental in nature and thus should be subject to the same audit requirement as other government programs.*

*The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 98 addresses audits of budgetary central government.) Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.*

*To answer "a," all extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies if extra-budgetary funds have not been audited.*

Answer:

a. All extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited.

Source:

AR 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/A7E5E20859AA89F4C1258588004123EE/%24File/414.pdf>

Comment:

SAI audited all extra-budgetary funds. See citation - general juxtaposition (Table 57, p. 244/235), followed by narrative discussion [pp. 246 (237) - 269 (260)].

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

100. Does the annual Audit Report(s) prepared by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) include an executive summary?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 100 asks whether the annual Audit Report includes an executive summary. Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. The Audit Report can be a fairly technical document, and an executive summary of the report's findings can help make it more accessible to the media and the public.*

*To answer "a," the Audit Report must include at least one executive summary summarizing the report's content. Answer "b" applies if the Audit Report does not include an executive summary, or the Audit Report is not made publicly available.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the annual Audit Report(s) includes one or more executive summaries summarizing the report's content.

**Source:**

AR 2019:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/A7E5E20859AA89F4C1258588004123EE/%24File/414.pdf>

**Comment:**

AR includes an executive summary - see citation pp. 16 (7) - 34 (25).

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

101. Does the executive make available to the public a report on what steps it has taken to address audit recommendations or findings that indicate a need for remedial action?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 101 asks whether the executive reports to the public on the steps it has taken to address audit recommendations made by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). The ultimate purpose of audits is to verify that the budget was executed in a manner consistent with existing law, and to hold the government accountable for this execution and its future improvement. The extent to which audits achieve the latter depends on whether there is adequate and timely follow-up on the recommendations provided in the SAI's audit reports.*

*To answer "a," the executive must report publicly on the steps it has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address audit finding, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if the executive does not report at all on its steps to address audit findings.*

**Answer:**

d. No, the executive does not report on steps it has taken to address audit findings.

**Source:**

Constitution Republic of Poland:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/prawo/konst/angielski/kon1.htm>

**Comment:**

Pursuant to the constitution (Art. 226. (2)), the SAI report has only an informative role, while the implementation of its recommendations rests with the executive and the legislature.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

102. Does either the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or legislature release to the public a report that tracks actions taken by the executive to address audit

recommendations?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 102 asks whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or the legislature track actions by the executive to address audit recommendations. After audit results and recommendations are discussed and validated by the legislature, the executive is normally asked to take certain actions to address the audit findings. For accountability purposes, the public needs to be informed about the status of those actions, and steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations. In addition to the executive reporting on its actions (see Question 101), the SAI and legislature – as the key oversight institutions – have a responsibility to keep the public informed by tracking the executive's progress in addressing audit recommendations.

To answer "a," the SAI or legislature must report publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the SAI or legislature reports publicly on the steps the executive has taken, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if neither the SAI nor the legislature reports on the executive's steps to address audit findings.

Answer:

d. No, neither the SAI nor legislature reports on steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations.

Source:

Constitution Republic of Poland:  
<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/prawo/konst/angielski/kon1.htm>

Comment:

ARs have only an informative role. Compare response to Q101.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

103. Is there an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) that conducts budget analyses for the budget formulation and/or approval process?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 103 examines whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) exists that contributes budget analyses to the budget formulation and/or approval process. According to the Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions, adopted by the OECD Council in 2014, "independent fiscal institutions are publicly funded, independent bodies under the statutory authority of the executive or the legislature which provide non-partisan oversight and analysis of, and in some cases advice on, fiscal policy and performance", and with "a forward-looking ex ante diagnostic task". In practice, they come in two main forms:

- Parliamentary budget offices (also known as PBOs) such as the Congressional Budget Office in the United States (<https://www.cbo.gov/>), the Parliamentary Budget Office in South Africa (<https://www.parliament.gov.za/parliamentary-budget-office>), and the Center for Public Finance Studies in Mexico (Centro de Estudios de las Finanzas Públicas, <http://www.cefp.gob.mx/>); or
- Fiscal councils such as the Office for Budget Responsibility in the United Kingdom (<https://obr.uk/>) and the High Council for Public Finances in France (Haut Conseil des finances publiques, <https://www.hcfp.fr/>).

For more information, see von Trapp et al. 'Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions and Case Studies', OECD Journal on Budgeting 15:2 (special issue, 2016), <https://doi.org/10.1787/budget-15-5jm2795tv625>.

To answer "a," there must be an IFI, and its independence must be set in law. In addition, it must have sufficient staffing and resources, including funding, to carry out its tasks. Answer "b" applies if an IFI exists, but either its independence is not set in law or its staffing and resources are insufficient to carry out its tasks. Answer "c" applies if an IFI exists, but its independence is not set in law and it lacks sufficient staffing and resources. Answer "d" applies if no IFI exists.

If the answer is "a," "b," or "c," please specify in the comments the name and type of IFI that exists (e.g., parliamentary budget office or fiscal council). If the answer is "a" or "b," identify the law that guarantees its independence, and provide evidence in support of the assessment of the adequacy of its staffing and resources. This can include the IFI's total budget allocation over recent years, any press reports that discuss perceived funding shortfalls, assessments by international organizations, and/or information from interviews with staff of the IFI.

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI.

Source:

<https://businessinsider.com.pl/finanse/makroekonomia/rada-polityki-fiskalnej-tocza-sie-zaawansowane-prace-w-polsce/d3qh16c>

Comment:

There is no Independent Fiscal Institution exists in Poland. For several years, with varying intensity, there has been a debate in Poland on the sense of establishing this type of entity, but so far no serious legislative proposal has been officially presented. According to recent press reports, the conceptual work carried out at the Ministry of Finance seems to be quite advanced.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

104. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 104 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a role in producing the macroeconomic forecast (e.g., GDP growth, inflation, interest rates, etc.) and/or the fiscal forecast (revenues, expenditure, deficits, and debt), and if so, what kind of role it has. Macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasting is a typical core function across IFIs, but their role in forecasting takes several forms (von Trapp et al. 2016, p. 17 and Table 2). Some IFIs produce just a macroeconomic forecast, while others produce a complete fiscal forecast (which also typically requires an underlying macroeconomic forecast). In some cases, the fiscal forecast reflects continuation of current budget policies; such forecasts can be used by the legislature, the media, or the public to assess the projections in the executive's budget reflecting the government's policy proposals.*

*Some IFIs produce the official macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts used in the executive's budget. In other cases, IFIs do not prepare their own independent forecasts, but rather produce an assessment of the official estimates, or provide an opinion on, or endorsement of, the government's forecasts. Some others have no role at all in forecasting.*

*To answer "a", there must be an IFI that publishes both its own macroeconomic AND fiscal forecasts. Answer "b" applies if an IFI publishes its own macroeconomic OR fiscal forecast (but not both). Answer "c" applies if the IFI does not publish a macroeconomic or fiscal forecast, but rather publishes an assessment of the official forecasts produced by the executive and used in the budget. Choose option "d" if there is no IFI; or if there is an IFI that neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts for the budget.*

*Macroeconomic forecasts may include indicators relating to economic output and economic growth, inflation, and the labor market, amongst others. Fiscal forecasts may include estimates of revenues, expenditures, the budget balance, and debt. If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify which indicators and estimates are included in the forecasts and whether the forecast is used by government as the official forecast. If the answer is "c," please describe the nature and depth of the assessment (e.g., the length of the commentary, or whether it covers both economic and fiscal issues).*

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts produced by the executive.

Source:

Comment:

There is no IFI in Poland. Compare response to Q 103.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

105. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish its own costings of new policy proposals, to assess their impact on the budget?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 105 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a costing function that involves assessing the budgetary implications of new policy proposals for both revenues and expenditures, and if so, what kind of role it has. Many IFIs have a costing role, but with substantial diversity in the nature and*



extent of this work (von Trapp et al 2016, pp. 17-18 and Table 2). Some assess virtually all new policy proposals, while others cost only a selection of new policy proposals. Others only publish opinions on, or scrutinize the costings of, budget measures produced by the executive.

To answer "a," the IFI must publish its own costings of all (or virtually all) new policy proposals. Answer "b" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only for major new policy proposals – for instance, only those proposals that cost or save above a certain amount. Answer "c" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only on a limited number of proposals. This could occur, for instance, if the IFI lacked the capacity to assess proposals dealing with certain sectors. Instead of producing a cost estimate, it can also publish an assessment of the estimates produced by the executive. Answer "d" applies if there is no IFI; or if the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals or provide an assessment of the official costings of new policy proposals.

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals.

Source:

Comment:

There is there is no IFI in Poland.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

106. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 106 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Almost all IFIs interact with the legislature in some form (von Trapp et al 2016, p. 18), but the intensity of the interaction varies. This question assesses this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the IFI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the IFI staff member in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). As evidence to support your answer, you can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the IFI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; and "c" for once or twice. Answer "d" should be selected if the head or a senior staff member of the IFI never took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature, or if there is no IFI.

Answer:

d. Never, or there is no IFI.

Source:

Comment:

There is no IFI in Poland.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

107. Does the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 107 asks whether the legislature debated budget policies prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal for the most recent budget year before the research cut-off date. In general, prior to discussing the Executive's Budget Proposal for the coming year, the legislature should have an opportunity to review the government's broad budget priorities and fiscal parameters. Often times this information is laid out in a Pre-Budget Statement, which the executive presents to the legislature for debate. (See Questions 54-58.)

A number of countries conduct a pre-budget debate in the legislature around six months before the start of the budget year. In some cases, they adopt laws that guide the upcoming budget, for example the Budget Guidelines Law in Brazil and the Spring Fiscal Policy Bill in Sweden. A pre-budget debate can serve two main purposes: 1) to allow the executive to inform the legislature of its fiscal policy intentions by presenting updated reports on its annual and medium-term budget strategy and policy priorities; and 2) to establish "hard" multi-year fiscal targets or spending ceilings, which the government must adhere to when preparing its detailed spending estimates for the upcoming budget year.

To answer "a," the full legislature must debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal and approve recommendations for the upcoming budget.

Answer "b" applies if a legislative committee (but not the full legislature) debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal, and approves recommendations for the budget. Option "b" also applies if, in addition to the action by the committee, the full legislature also debates budget policy in advance of the budget, but does not approve recommendations.

Answer "c" applies if the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal, but does not approve recommendations for the budget. Answer "d" applies if neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.

In your comment, please indicate the dates of the budget debate, and if both the full legislature and a legislative committee held a debate. Note that a debate does not need to be open to the public, but a public record of the meeting or a public notice that the meeting occurred is required. In addition, please indicate whether the budget debate was focused on a Pre-Budget Statement published by the Executive. If the Executive did not publish a Pre-Budget Statement, then please indicate what served as the focus of the legislature's debate (for instance, a report released by an IFI or some other institution).

Answer:

d. No, neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Source:

Constitution Republic of Poland:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/prawo/konst/angielski/kon1.htm>

Comment:

Pursuant to the Constitution (Article 221), legislative initiative within the scope of the Budget Act is the exclusive competence of the government. Hence, the law leaves no space for any interference by the legislature in budgetary matters in the period before its official submission to parliament.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

108. How far in advance of the start of the budget year does the legislature receive the Executive's Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 108 examines how far in advance of the start of the most recent budget year the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be submitted to the legislature far enough in advance to allow the legislature time to review it properly, or at least three months prior to the start of the fiscal year. (See, for instance, Principle 2.2.2 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

For the purposes of responding to this question, if – and only if – the most recent budget submission occurred later than usual as a result of a particular event, such as an election, please use a more normal year as the basis for the response. If, however, delays have been observed for more than one budget year, and the legislature has not received the Executive's Budget Proposal in a timely manner on more than one occasion in the last three years, then "d" will be the appropriate answer.

To answer "a," the legislature must receive the Executive's Budget Proposal at least three months in advance of the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least two months, but less than three months, before the start of the budget year. Answer "c" applies if the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month, but less than two months, before the start of the budget year. Answer "d" applies if the legislature does not receive the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month prior to the start of the budget year, or does not receive it at all.

Answer:

a. The legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least three months before the start of the budget year.

Source:

Constitution Republic of Poland:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/prawo/konst/angielski/kon1.htm>

Comment:

Pursuant to the Constitution (Article 222), the Council of Ministers shall submit to the legislature a EBP no later than 3 months before the commencement of the fiscal year. The 2021 EBP was tabled on September 30, 2020 (See: <http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=640>).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

109. When does the legislature approve the Executive's Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 109 examines when the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be approved by the legislature before the start of the fiscal year the budget proposal refers to. This gives the executive time to implement the budget in its entirety, particularly new programs and policies.*

*In some countries, the expenditure and revenue estimates of the Executive's Budget Proposal are approved separately; for purposes of this question, at least the expenditure estimates must be approved. Further, approval of the budget implies approval of the full-year budget, not just a short-term continuation of spending and revenue authority.*

*To answer "a," the legislature must approve the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month before the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year. Answer "c" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month after the start of the budget year. Answer "d" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal more than one month after the start of the budget year, or does not approve the budget.*

Answer:

b. The legislature approves the budget less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year.

Source:

Constitution Republic of Poland:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/prawo/konst/angielski/kon1.htm>

Course of legislative process BY 2020:

<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/Sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=112>

Course of legislative process BY 2021:

<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/Sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=112>

Comment:

According to the Constitution (Art. 225), the budget act should be adopted by the parliament and presented to the President for signature within 4 months from the date of submission of the EBP. Given that the EBP should be submitted at the latest 3 months before the start of BY, the usual procedure assumes voting it at the latest within one month after the start of the BY.

EB 2020 was voted on 14.02.2020, but the Budget for BY 2021 was voted on 17th December 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. The legislature approves the budget less than one month after the start of the budget year.

Comments: The Researcher's comment regarding the deadline for is correct. Therefore answer C should be chosen. As for the dates, the budget for BY 2021 was enacted on 20/01/2021 and signed by President at 28/01/2021. Source: <https://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=640>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

The procedure for working on the budget is extensive and consists of various stages. The budget for 2021 was voted by the lower house of parliament on December 17, 2020, then on January 12, 2021, it was dealt with by the upper house, then its opinions were voted by the lower house (January 20, 2021), and on January 22, 2021, it was signed by the president. The crucial question is which moment is to be regarded as moment of the adoption of the law by parliament. I believe that this should be the 3rd reading in the lower house on 17 December 2020 and in such a case the response "b" should be maintained.

110. Does the legislature have the authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 110 examines the legislature's power to amend—as opposed to simply accept or reject—the budget proposal presented by the executive. This question is about legal authority rather than actions the legislature takes in practice. The legislature's powers to amend the budget can vary substantially across countries.*

*The "a" response is appropriate only if there are no restrictions on the right of the legislature to modify the Executive's Budget Proposal, including its right to change the size of the proposed deficit or surplus. The "b" response would be appropriate if, for instance, the legislature is restricted from changing the deficit or surplus, but it still has the power to increase or decrease funding and revenue levels. The more limited "c" response would apply if, for instance, the legislature can only re-allocate spending within the totals set in the Executive's Budget Proposal or can only decrease funding levels or increase revenues. Finally, response "d" would apply if the legislature may not make any changes (or only small technical changes), or if amendments must first be approved by the executive. In these cases, the legislature is essentially only able to approve or reject the budget as a whole. If the answer is "b" or "c", please indicate the nature of the amendment powers available to the Parliament and how they are limited.*

Answer:

b. Yes, the legislature has authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, with some limitations.

Source:

Constitution Republic of Poland:  
<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/prawo/konst/angielski/kon1.htm>

Comment:

The legislature has the right to amend the EBP, but it is not unlimited. The Constitution stipulates (Art. 220) that an increase in expenditure or a reduction in revenues by the Parliament may not result in establishing a budget deficit greater than that provided for in the EBP. Moreover, the budget act may not provide for the coverage of the budget deficit by incurring liabilities with the Central Bank.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

111. During the most recent budget approval process, did the legislature use its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 111 assesses whether any formal authority of the legislature to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal is used in practice. The responses to this question should be determined based on action by the legislature related to the Enacted Budget used in the OBS. Choose answer "a" if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal during the most recent budget approval process, and amendments were adopted (all, or at least some of them). Answer "a" also applies if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, but the amendments were rejected by executive veto. Answer "b" applies if the legislature used its authority in law to propose amendments to the Executive's Budget Proposal, but none of these amendments were adopted. Answer "c" applies if the legislature has the authority in law to amend the budget, but no amendments were proposed during its consideration. Answer "d" applies when the legislature does not have any authority to amend the budget (that is, Question 110 is answered "d").*

*If the answer is "a" or "b", please specify in the comments the number of amendments introduced by the legislature (and in the case of an "a" response, the number adopted, or if applicable, information about an executive veto) and describe their nature. For example, did the amendments result in an increase or decrease of the deficit? What were the most significant amendments to revenues and to expenditures in terms of the sums involved? How did amendments affect the composition of expenditures? If the answer is "a", please specify which amendments were adopted, and provide evidence for it.*

Answer:

a. Yes, the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, and (at least some of) its amendments were adopted.

Source:

1) Course of legislative process:

<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/Sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=112>

2) Additional report of the Public Finance Committee on the government's draft budget act for 2020.

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=204-A>

Comment:

Legislature does use its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal. During the so-called "third reading", ie at the official session of Parliament, the entire budget act for 2020 was adopted / voted along (Citation 1) with the amendments recommended by the Public Finance Committee (Citation 2).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

112. During the last budget approval process, did a specialized budget or finance committee in the legislature examine the Executive's Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 112 assesses the role of a specialized budget or finance committee during the budget approval stage. Effective committee involvement is an essential condition for legislative influence in the budget process. Specialized committees provide opportunities for individual legislators to gain relevant expertise, and to examine budgets and policy in depth. Yet, the involvement of committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures have separate committees to examine spending and tax proposals, while others have a single finance committee. Not all legislatures have a specialized budget or finance committee to examine the budget. In addition, there can be differences in the time available for the committee's analysis of the budget.*

*A report with the committee's findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, therefore it must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget.*

*Response "a" requires that, in the last budget approval process, a specialized budget or finance committee had one month or more to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and it published a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such a committee examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if a committee examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where a specialized budget or finance committee did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.*

*Please specify in your comment the name of the committee and the number of days it had available to examine the budget. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a copy of the report. Please note also if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.*

Answer:

b. Yes, a specialized budget or finance committee had less than one month to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and it published a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

Source:

Report of the Public Finance Committee on BY for 2020:

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/Druki9ka.nsf/0/5E4470834215F30DC125850400483980/%24File/204.pdf>

Course of legislative process:

<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/Sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=112>

Comment:

Typically, the work of the public finance committee on budget evaluation lasts well over 1 month, as the EBP is to be submitted at least 3 months before BY starts and the budget is usually voted on at the end of BY-1. The adoption of the 2020 budget was disrupted by the parliamentary elections held in October 2019 (<https://www.euronews.com/2019/10/13/poland-head-to-the-polls-with-conservative-ruling-law-justice-party-in-the-lead>). According to the so-called the principle of discontinuation, the new government, brought a new/old EBP to parliament only on December 24, 2019 (<https://www.reuters.com/article/us-poland-budget-idNKBN1YR14L>). This situation influenced the extremely express manner of work on the budget. It was referred to the parliamentary committee for evaluation after the parliamentary session on January 9, 2020, and the work of the committee was completed on January 30, 2020. In the next round, the committee worked on the amendments in one meeting only on February 13, 2020.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

113. During the last approval process, did legislative committees, responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.), examine spending in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the sector for which they are responsible?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 113 assesses the role of committees of the legislature that are responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.) during the budget approval stage. The role of sectoral committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures do not involve them in the budget approval process, while others do. In addition, the time available for committee analysis differs.*

*A report with the committee's findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, so therefore must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget. Response "a" requires that sector committees had one month or more to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and published a report with findings and recommendations prior the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such committees examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if sectoral committees examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where sectoral committees did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.*

*Please note that the examination of sectoral budgets by a specialized budget or finance committee is assessed in Question 112 and should not be considered for this question.*

*Please provide in the comments a brief overview of the committee structure and specify the number of days that sectoral committees had available to examine the budget and to publish their reports. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a sample copy of at least one of the reports. Please note if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.*

*For purposes of responding to this question, use those sectoral committees that are best performing – that is, the ones that examine the budget the longest and that publish reports.*

**Answer:**

b. Yes, sector committees had less than one month to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and they published reports with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

**Source:**

Works of the Public Finance Committee regarding the budget 2020:  
<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/SQL2.nsf/poskomprocall?OpenAgent&9&112>

**Comment:**

Sector committees did examine EBP 2020 - see list of Public Finance Committee meetings concerning the discussion of opinions of other parliamentary committees on EBP 2020. Due to the non-standard pace of work on the budget (see commentary to Q. 112), sector committees exceptionally had a period shorter than 1 month to examine EBP 2020.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

114. In the past 12 months, did a committee of the legislature examine in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 114 is about legislative oversight of budget execution. It assesses whether and how often a committee examined the implementation of the budget during the budget execution period (i.e., financial year) for which it was approved, and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. This question does not apply to the ex post review of implementation following the end of the budget year as part of the audit stage, which is assessed separately. Nor does it apply to the legislature's review of the budget that it may undertake as part of the process of considering a supplemental*

budget during the year. In-year monitoring by the legislature will be affected by the frequency that the executive publishes In-Year Reports.

To answer "a," a committee must have examined in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget at least three times during the course of the relevant budget year and published reports with findings and recommendations. Answer "b" applies where this occurred only once or twice during the year.

Exception: If a legislature is in session only twice during the year, and it examines the implementation of the budget during both sessions, then it would be eligible for an "a" response.

Choose "c" if a committee examined in-year implementation (without regard to frequency), but did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer "d" applies where no committee examined in-year implementation.

If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation.

For purposes of responding to this question, if more than one committee holds in-year reviews of the budget, use the committee that is best performing – that is, the one that examines in-year implementation the most times and that publishes a report.

Answer:

c. Yes, a committee examined in-year implementation, but it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations.

Source:

Standing subcommittee to control the budget implementation:

<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/agent.xsp?symbol=PRACEPODKOMST&NrKadencji=9&KodPodKom=FPB01S>

<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/sejm9.nsf/biuletynny.xsp?view=3&komisja=FPB01S>

Comment:

Standing subcommittee to control budget implementation carries out the examination of in-year budget implementation, but it does not publish any report with findings and recommendations.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Minutes of the subcommittee are published on the Parliament website (see eg.

<https://orka.sejm.gov.pl/zapisy9.nsf/0/54AC6F57654DC748C1258565002F9954/%24File/0007309.pdf>), but these do not constitute a "report with findings and recommendations".

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

115. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units that receive explicit funding in the Enacted Budget, and is it legally required to do so?

**GUIDELINES:**

Question 115 examines whether the executive seeks approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and whether it is legally required to do so.

In some countries, the executive has the power in law to adjust funding levels for specific appropriations during the execution of the budget. This question examines rules around shifting funds between administrative units (ministries, departments, or agencies) or whatever funding unit (or "vote") is specified in the Enacted Budget.

The conditions under which the executive may exercise its discretion to shift funds should be clearly defined in publicly available regulations or law. In addition, the amount of funds that the executive is allowed to transfer between administrative units should not be so excessive as to undermine the accountability of the executive to the legislature.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before shifting funds, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to shift funds between administrative units and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. Answer "d" also applies if the executive is authorized to shift an amount considered so excessive as to undermine accountability (roughly equal to 3 percent of total budgeted expenditures). A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the shifting of funds after it has already occurred.

In the comments, please indicate any law or regulation that provides the executive with standing authority to shift funds between administrative units and, if so, describe that authority. Similarly, legislative approval for shifting funds between administrative units typically occurs with the adoption of legislation such as a supplemental budget. But if other formal procedures for gaining approval from the legislature exist, then please provide information about that approval process.

Answer:

a. The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and it does so in practice.

Source:

Law on Public Finances:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/Download?id=WDU20091571240&type=3>

Comment:

The executive needs formal approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, unless it concerns shifts between administrative units administered by the same minister or abolition/transformation of the ministry, by the Prime Minister.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: This is regulated by Article 171-172 of the Law on Public Finances.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

116. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue (that is, amounts higher than originally anticipated) that may become available during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 116 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if additional revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, which often happens in oil/mineral-dependent countries, and it was not accounted for in the Enacted Budget, there should be a procedure in place to ensure that the legislature approves any proposed use of these "new" funds. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might deliberately underestimate revenue in the budget proposal it submits to the legislature, in order to have additional resources to spend at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.*

*To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before spending any funds resulting from higher-than-expected revenues, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if prior legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to spend excess revenue and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the additional spending after it has already occurred.*

*Typically, legislative approval of additional spending beyond what was reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur with the adoption of a supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.*

Answer:

a The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenues, and it does so in practice.

Source:

Law on Public Finances:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/Download?id=WDU20091571240&type=3>

Comment:

Pursuant to the Public Finance Act (Art. 162), expenses may be made only within the limits set in the budget. Thus, spending excess revenues will not be possible without the prior amendment of the budget, requiring the consent of the legislature.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

117. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls



(that is, revenues lower than originally anticipated) or other reasons during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 117 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to cutting spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls or for any other reason, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if less revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, the legislature should approve or reject any proposed reductions in expenditures that are implemented as a result. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might substantially change the composition of the budget at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.*

*Typically, legislative approval of proposals to reduce spending below the levels reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur as part of the supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.*

*To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before implementing spending cuts in response to revenue shortfalls or for other reasons, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive received legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to obtain legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to implement such cuts and the executive does not obtain such approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the spending cuts after they have already occurred.*

**Answer:**

a. The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below the enacted levels in response to revenue shortfalls or other reasons, and it does so in practice.

**Source:**

Constitution Republic of Poland:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/prawo/konst/angielski/kon1.htm>

**Law on Public Finances:**

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/Download?id=WDU20091571240&type=3>

**Comment:**

In the case of revenue shortfalls or other situations of serious threats to the implementation of the budget, generally two scenarios are possible: blocking expenses or amending the budget act. Blocking is carried out by the government after obtaining the prior consent of the relevant parliamentary committee (Article 179 of the Public Finance Act). The government also has the exclusive initiative in the event of a budget amendment, which involves the adoption of a new budget by the parliament (Article 221 of the Constitution).

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

118. Did a committee of the legislature examine the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 118 is about ex post oversight following the implementation of the budget. It probes whether a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. A key issue is how soon after the SAI releases the report does it legislature review it. This question does not apply to the legislative scrutiny of in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period, which is assessed separately. Also, the question is asking specifically about the SAI's annual report on the execution of the budget, not about other audit reports that the SAI may produce. (This is the Audit Report used for responding to Question 98.)*

*To answer "a," a legislative committee must have examined the annual Audit Report within three months of it being released by the SAI, and then published a report (or reports) with findings and recommendations. (Note that the three-month period should only take into account time when the legislature is in session.)*

*Answer "b" applies when the committee examines it within six months of it being released (but more than three months), and then published a report with its findings and recommendations. Choose "c" if a committee examined the annual Audit Report more than six months after it became available or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer "d" applies where no committee examined the annual Audit Report.*

*If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed the Audit Report, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation. Answers "a," "b," or "c" may be selected if the Audit Report is produced by the SAI but not made publicly available.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget within three months of its availability, and it published a report with findings and recommendations.

Source:

Consideration of AR BY 2019 at committee and subcommittee meetings:  
<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/SQL2.nsf/poskomprocall?OpenAgent&9&414>

Report of the Public Finance Committee:

<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/Sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=481>

AR 2019 - Course of legislative process:

<https://www.sejm.gov.pl/Sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?nr=414>

Comment:

The AR for 2019 was submitted to the parliament on 15 June 2020 and was considered by various parliamentary committees. On July 14, 2020, the public finance committee published a final report with its recommendations.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The Public Finance Committee of the Parliament examined the Audit Report and accepted its findings and recommendations. The Committee did not present its own findings and recommendations, as there was no need for any. Researcher's answer is correct.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

119. Was the process of appointing (or re-appointing) the current head of the SAI carried out in a way that ensures his or her independence?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 119 concerns the appointment process of the current head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). Appointment procedures vary greatly across countries, as well as across different types of SAIs. Moreover, conventions and informal practices can greatly affect the de facto independence of the head of the SAI. While these factors make it difficult to devise a single metric against which all SAIs can be assessed with regard to this particular aspect, this question focuses on whether the legislature or judiciary must appoint or approve the appointment of the head of the SAI as a way to ensure the SAI's independence from the executive. However, if the appointment is carried out in another way that nonetheless ensures the independence of the SAI head, then that approach could be also considered.*

*To answer "a," the legislature or judiciary must appoint (or re-appoint) the head of the SAI, or approve the recommendation of the executive, as a way that ensure his or her independence from the executive. (As noted above, alternative approaches may also be acceptable.) Choose "b" if the appointment process does not ensure the independence of the head of the SAI, e.g. the executive may appoint the head of the SAI without the final consent of the legislature or judiciary.*

*Irrespective of which answer you selected, provide a description of how the head of the SAI is appointed.*

Answer:

a. Yes, the head of the SAI may only be appointed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before the appointment takes effect.

Source:

Law on Supreme Chamber of Control:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU19950130059/U/D19950059Lj.pdf>

Comment:

The President of the SAI (Supreme Audit Office) is appointed by the parliament (lower chamber) by an absolute majority of votes with the consent of the upper chamber (Senat), at the request of the Marshal of the parliament or a group of at least 35 deputies (art. 14. of the SAI Act).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Official English name of the Polish SAI is Supreme Audit Office, but we may stay with the Supreme Chamber of Control used by the Researcher.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

120. Must a branch of government other than the executive (such as the legislature or the judiciary) give final consent before the head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) can be removed from office?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 120 covers the manner in which the head or senior members of the SAI may be removed from office. This question draws on best practices identified in the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf>), including measures intended to guarantee the office's independence from the executive.*

*To answer "a," the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before the head of the SAI is removed. For example, the legislature or judiciary may give final consent following a certain external process, such as a criminal proceeding. So while the executive may initiate a criminal proceeding, the final consent of a member of the judiciary – or a judge – is necessary to render a verdict of wrongdoing that may lead to the removal from office of the head of the SAI. Answer "b" applies if the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.*

**Answer:**

a. Yes, the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before he or she is removed.

**Source:**

Law on Supreme Chamber of Control:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU19950130059/U/D19950059Lj.pdf>

**Comment:**

Pursuant to the Act on SAI (Article 17 par. 2), in order to dismiss the President of the Supreme Audit Office, a procedure similar to his appointment is followed, i.e. including the respective competences of both chambers of parliament.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The Head of SAI may be removed only in specific cases listed in the Act on SAI, eg. if he/she has resigned, is unable to perform the duties, was convicted of a crime etc. Still, the full procedure must be executed by the Parliament.

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

121. Who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 121 asks who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). To ensure objective audits of government budgets, another important component of the SAI's independence from the executive is the extent to which the SAI's budget is determined by a body other than the executive, and whether the SAI has adequate resources to fulfill its mandate.*

*Answer "a" applies if the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate, AND either the SAI determines its own budget and then submits it to the executive (which accepts it with little or no change) or directly to the legislature, or the budget of the SAI is determined directly by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body). Answer "b" applies if the SAI's budget is determined by the executive (absent a recommendation from the SAI), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "c" applies if the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body) determines the SAI's budget, but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "d" applies if the executive determines the SAI's budget, and the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Please provide evidence in support of the assessment that the funding level is or is not broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.*

**Answer:**

a. The SAI determines its own budget (i.e., submits it to the executive, which accepts it with little or no change, or directly to the legislature), or the budget of the SAI is determined by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

**Source:**

Law on Supreme Chamber of Control:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU19950130059/U/D19950059Lj.pdf>

Comment:

The draft budget of the Supreme Audit Office as adopted by its respective authorities is incorporated without any changes, ie in the original wording, by the MoF into the EBP, which is then presented to the Parliament (art. 26 of the SAI Act).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

122. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) have the discretion in law to undertake those audits it may wish to?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 122 explores the scope of the investigative powers of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) as prescribed in law.*

*Question 97 asks which of the three types of audits – financial, compliance, and performance – the SAI conducts. This question asks if the SAI is constrained by law (rather than by a lack of capacity or an inadequate budget) from undertaking any form of audit or investigating irregularities in any program or activity.*

*There are numerous examples of limitations. For instance, some SAIs are not permitted by their legal mandate to audit joint ventures or other public-private arrangements. Others are only allowed to undertake financial audits, precluded from conducting performance or value-for-money audits. The SAIs in some countries do not have the legal mandate to review arrangements involving oil or stabilization funds, or other types of special or extra-budgetary funds. The SAI may also not have the ability to audit commercial projects involving the public and private sector.*

*To answer "a," the SAI must have full discretion in law to decide which audits to undertake. Answer "b" applies if some limitations exist, but the SAI enjoys significant discretion to undertake those audits it wishes to. Answer "c" applies if the SAI has some discretion, but significant legal limitations exist. Answer "d" applies if the SAI has no power at all to choose which audits to undertake*

*Consulting the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf>) may be useful in answering this question as its provisions serve to define the appropriate scope of a SAI's legal mandate and jurisdiction.*

Answer:

a. The SAI has full discretion to decide which audits it wishes to undertake.

Source:

Law on Supreme Chamber of Control:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU19950130059/U/D19950059Lj.pdf>

Comment:

Pursuant to the Act (Article 6), the Supreme Audit Office undertakes inspections at the request of the Parliament or its organs, at the request of the President of the Republic of Poland, the Prime Minister and on its own initiative. The Supreme Audit Office performs its tasks on the basis of an annual work plan, which is submitted to the Sejm; it can also carry out ad hoc controls.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

123. Are the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) reviewed by an independent agency?

**GUIDELINES:**

*Question 123 assesses whether and to what extent the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) are subject to review by an independent agency. The latter could be a peer SAI, an international organization, an academic institution with relevant expertise, or an independent domestic agency with quality assurance functions in the area of financial reporting.*

*To answer "a," an independent agency must conduct and publish a review of the audit processes of the SAI on an annual basis. Answer "b" applies if a review was carried out within the past five years, and published, but it is not conducted annually, but. Choose answer "c" if the SAI has an internal unit that reviews the audit processes of the SAI on a regular basis, but an independent agency does not conduct such a review. Answer "d" applies if the audit processes of the SAI*

are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.

If the answer is either "a" or "b," please specify the name of the independent agency and when last it conducted such a review, and provide a copy of the published report. If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the unit within the SAI that is tasked with conducting such reviews.

Answer:

b. Yes, an independent agency conducts and publishes a review of the audit processes of the SAI at least once in the past five years, but not annually.

Source:

INTOSAI audit report:

<http://www.intosaicbc.org/download/poland-2012-eng>

Comment:

SAI's activities are audited on a non-regular basis by INTOSAI. The last report was published in 2016.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

124. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

*GUIDELINES:*

*Question 124 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Many SAIs interact with the legislature in some form, but the nature and intensity of the interaction varies. This question probes this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the SAI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the SAI representative in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). You can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the SAI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; "c" for once or twice, and "d" for never.*

Answer:

a. Frequently (i.e., five times or more).

Source:

SAI website:

<https://www.nik.gov.pl/o-nik/wspolpraca-z-sejmem/>

Comment:

SAI senior staff members permanently take part and testify in hearings of a committees of the legislature. According to information from SAI, its representatives take part in hundreds of meetings of parliamentary committees and subcommittees each year. They present the results and provide explanations regarding the conducted inspections, as well as submit opinions to parliamentary legislative initiatives. The NIK constantly cooperates with the State Control Committee.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: According to information on SAI website, in 2020 SAI has presented to the Parliament 213 statements regarding the controls performed.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

125. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation of the annual budget (prior to the budget being tabled in parliament)?

**GUIDELINES:**

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:

[https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations\\_course/IAP2\\_P2\\_Spectrum\\_FINAL.pdf](https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf).

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in the formulation of the annual budget, including annual pre-budget discussions. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as spending and tax policy, funding and revenue levels, and macro-fiscal planning.

To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government officials. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that the all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

**Answer:**

c. Yes, the executive uses participation mechanisms during the budget formulation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some ad-hoc views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

**Source:**

Law on the Council for Social Dialogue and other institutions of social dialogue:  
<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20150001240>  
<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20150001240/U/D20151240Lj.pdf>

**Comment:**

The law provides for only one formal participation mechanism in the process of preparing the EBP. Pursuant to the Act on the Social Dialogue Council (Art. 17. 1.), the government, by June 15 of each year, sends the assumptions of the draft state budget for the next year to the Social Dialogue Council in order for employees and employers to take a position, by up to 30 days. Moreover, the government, no later than 30 days before presenting the EBP to the parliament, sends it together with the justification to the Dialogue Council for the public to take a position (Art.18).

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

126. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive take concrete steps to include vulnerable and under-represented parts of the population in the formulation of the annual budget?

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on the executive's efforts to seek out the views of members of the public from socially vulnerable groups and/or who are underrepresented in the process.*

*To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from at least one vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organization representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives of vulnerable/underrepresented groups.*

*Answer "b" applies if the executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.*

**Answer:**

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

**Source:**

Law on the Council for Social Dialogue and other institutions of social dialogue:  
<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20150001240>  
<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20150001240/U/D20151240Lj.pdf>

**Comment:**

Pursuant to the Act on Council for Social Dialogue, the subject of interest of the Council are issues of participation and social solidarity in the field of employment relations, and not issues related to vulnerable and under-represented parts of the population.

**Peer Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

**Government Reviewer**

Opinion: Agree

127. During the budget formulation stage, which of the following key topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the formulation of the annual budget.*

*Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics -- and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive's engagement with the public during budget formulation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above -- for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the executive's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.*

*Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.*

*If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.*

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

b. The executive's engagement with citizens covers at least three (but less than six) of the above-mentioned topics.

Source:

Law on the Council for Social Dialogue and other institutions of social dialogue:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20150001240>

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20150001240/U/D20151240Lj.pdf>

Comment:

Participatory mechanisms applied during the formulation stage of EBP include a discussion focused mainly on issues related to the amount of wages, welfare and the shaping of selected macroeconomic values. The key topics in question are respectively: Macroeconomic issues (point 1), Social spending policies (point 2) and public services (point 6).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: The list of topics covered by the The Multi-year Financial Plan of the State that are subject to the opinion of the Council for Social Dialogue is listed in Art 16 of the Act on the Council for Social Dialogue and includes: macroeconomic assumptions and prognosis on raise of wages, minimal wage and pensions levels. The Multi-year Financial Plan of the State contains also several other topics that may be addressed by the Council. Note that the Plan was not prepared in 2020 due to the COVID crisis, which was in line with European Commission recommendation. This was, however, an exceptional situation.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

128. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.*

*The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:*

[https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations\\_course/IAP2\\_P2\\_Spectrum\\_FINAL.pdf](https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf)

*Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget. If the executive has designated a central coordinating agency to implement participation mechanisms throughout the national budget process, researchers may consider these mechanisms. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the implementation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenue administration, public service delivery, public investment project implementation, including procurement, and the administration of social transfer schemes.*

*To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings, online, deliberative exchanges, procurement complaint mechanisms, and social monitoring and dialogue.*

*Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input on the implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include public hearings, surveys, focus groups, report cards, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.*

*Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to provide input on budget implementation, but:*

1) *The mechanisms are not structured, happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.*

*and/or*

2) *The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or*



partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", the researcher must have evidence that the government is holding participation mechanisms that have some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that all CSOs and members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples include hotlines, Facebook announcements, one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Law on Public Finances:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/Download?id=WDU20091571240&type=3>

Law on Supreme Chamber of Control:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU19950130059/U/D19950059Lj.pdf>

Law on the Council for Social Dialogue and other institutions of social dialogue:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20150001240>

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20150001240/U/D20151240Lj.pdf>

Comment:

There are no participatory mechanisms in Poland through which members of the public and government officials could exchange views on budget implementation.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

129. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive take concrete steps to receive input from vulnerable and underrepresented parts of the population on the implementation of the annual budget?

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on national government's efforts to obtain input from members of the public who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes during the implementation of the annual budget.*

*To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from at least one vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organization representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives from vulnerable/underrepresented groups.*

*Answer "b" applies if the national executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.*

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Comment:

See response to Q. 128.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

130. During the implementation of the annual budget, which of the following topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Changes in macroeconomic circumstances
2. Delivery of public services
3. Collection of revenue
4. Implementation of social spending
5. Changes in deficit and debt levels
6. Implementation of public investment projects

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the implementation of the annual budget.*

*Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics -- and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive's engagement with the public during budget implementation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above -- for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be ONLY the ones listed above. If the executive's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.*

*Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.*

*If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.*

*Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.*

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

See comment to Q. 128.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

131. When the executive engages with the public, does it provide comprehensive prior information on the process of the engagement, so that the public can participate in an informed manner?

Comprehensive information must include at least three of the following elements:

1. Purpose
2. Scope
3. Constraints
4. Intended outcomes
5. Process and timeline

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question relates to the GIFT principle of "Openness," and addresses whether the executive provides relevant information on the process of the engagement before public participation takes place, in order to help citizens engage effectively. The question addresses whether the "rules of the public engagement" are clearly spelled out, in advance and in detail, so that those members of the public who want to engage know how to do so, in terms of when they can do so, what they are expected to provide input on, by when, to whom, etc. This question does not cover the substance of the engagement, which is covered by questions 127 and 130.*

*Non-comprehensive information means that the government provides information that includes at least one but less than three of the elements listed above.*

*Purpose refers to a brief explanation of why the public engagement is being undertaken, including the executive's objectives for its engagement with the public.*

*Scope refers to what is within the subject matter of the engagement as well as what is outside the subject matter of the engagement. For example, the scope may include how a current policy is administered but exclude the specifics of the policies themselves.*

*Constraints refers to whether there are there any explicit limitations on the engagement. An example of a constraint could be that any policy changes must not cost (or forgo revenue) more than a specific amount or have no net fiscal cost.*

*Intended outcomes refers to what the executive hopes to achieve as a result of the engagement. Examples of intended outcomes could be revising a policy to better reflect citizen or service-user views or to improve the way in which a particular program is administered.*

*Process refers to the methods by which the public engagement will take place and the discrete steps in the process. For example, the process may simply be a one-off Internet-based consultation, with a summary published of public inputs and the official response. The process may involve simultaneous or overlapping steps, and may be conducted in one round or in two or more rounds of engagement.*

*Timeline refers to the specific dates on which the discrete steps in the process will take place, or during which they will be completed, and clear start and end dates for the overall engagement.*

*Answer "d" applies if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation or formulation stage.*

**Answer:**

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

Law on the Council for Social Dialogue and other institutions of social dialogue:

<http://prawo.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20150001240>

<http://prawo.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20150001240/U/D20151240Lj.pdf>

**Comment:**

Considering that citizens engagement concerns only the formulation phase and only to a limited extent, as it concerns selected issues and entities (compare response to Q 125 and 128), the answer "d" seems to be correct.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

132. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive provide the public with feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used in the formulation of the annual budget?

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used in the formulation of the annual budget, and how/why.*

*By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.*

*Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:*

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)*

*Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:*

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used.

Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

<http://prawo.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20150001240>

<http://prawo.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20150001240/U/D20151240Lj.pdf>

Comment:

The social partners identified according to response to Q 125 are not informed about how their inputs can be used in the formulation of the annual budget.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

133. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive provide the public with information on how citizens' inputs have been used to assist in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received during the implementation of the annual budget, which ones are taken into account to improve budget monitoring, and how/why.

By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and
- A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)

Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received (e.g., a written transcript) from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were or were not taken into account by the executive during budget monitoring.

Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a “c” response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

See comment to Q. 128.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

134. Are participation mechanisms incorporated into the timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal?

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles on “Sustainability,” “Timeliness” and “Complementarity” and addresses whether the executive is able to link participation mechanisms to the administrative processes that are used to create the annual budget.*

*Please note that “timetable” refers to a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. This document is sometimes referred to as the budget calendar and is the same document referenced in Question 53.*

*Answer “a” applies if the national executive establishes a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget. For answer choice “a”, the timetable must be available to the public prior to the budget preparation process beginning.*

*Answer “b” applies if the executive does not establish a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation or implementation stage.*

Answer:

a. Yes, the executive incorporates participation into its timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal and the timetable is available to the public.

Source:

Law on the Council for Social Dialogue and other institutions of social dialogue:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20150001240>

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU20150001240/U/D20151240Lj.pdf>

Comment:

The Act on Council for Social Dialogue defines the timetable for the mechanisms of participation in the process of formulating the EBP.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

135. Do one or more line ministries use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation or implementation of the annual budget?

**GUIDELINES**

*While questions 125 – 134 focus only on participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency currently use to allow the public to participate in the national budget process, this question asks about participation mechanisms used by line ministries to allow the public to participate in national budget processes. Thus, participation mechanisms used by the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by a line ministry or if multiple line ministries use participation*

mechanisms, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the formulation and/or implementation of the annual budget.

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:

[https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations\\_course/IAP2\\_P2\\_Spectrum\\_FINAL.pdf](https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf)

To answer "a," a line ministry must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which a line ministry seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if a line ministry has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) A line ministry consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the line ministry determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that the all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Comment:

In Poland, there are no participation mechanisms used solely by individual ministers.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

136. Does the legislature or the relevant legislative committee(s) hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the formulation of the annual budget (pre-budget and/or approval stages)?

**GUIDELINES:**

This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislature are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) has put in place and is using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the annual budget. This includes deliberations during the pre-budget phase (i.e., when the executive is still in the process of formulating the draft budget) and the budget discussions after the budget has been tabled to parliament and before it is approved. In the comment box, please specify during which stage of the budget cycle the legislature has put in place a public participation mechanism.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual Members of Parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

If there is more than one mechanism, please select a mechanism that best shows/reflects the legislature's efforts to incorporate citizens into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenues, policy selection, and macro-fiscal planning (please note that the issue of coverage is covered in a subsequent question).

To answer "a," the legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs; but
- There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs;
- No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, but
- The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)
- The legislature has a provision (via standing orders or in law) through which the public can submit their inputs, and members of the public or CSOs actively use it to submit opinions on the budget.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Legislative process of EB for 2021 - works of parliamentary committees and subcommittees  
<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/SQL2.nsf/poskomprocall?OpenAgent&9&640>

Comment:

In the works of parliamentary committees relating to the formulation of the budget, no public hearing is organized. These bodies consist essentially of parliamentarians, MoF representatives and representatives of financial institutions.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Comments: In theory, the members of CSOs may be invited to the works of parliamentary budget committees (e.g. as being invited by a parliament member) or even insist on public hearing ("wysłuchanie publiczne") at the parliament. In practice this procedures are virtually not used in the course of works on the state budget. No members of the CSOs were invited to speak during any of the meetings of the parliamentary committees working on EBP. All conditions necessary for answer C are fulfilled except one: "members of the public or CSOs actively use [a provision to submit their inputs] to submit opinions on the budget" - as they do not. Therefore answer D must be chosen.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

According to the Regulations of the Parliament (Sejm), only invited experts may participate in the work of parliamentary committees, in addition to parliamentarians or government representatives. This means that the requirements for answer "c" are not met. Regulations of the Parliament (Sejm) <https://www.sejm.gov.pl/prawo/regulamin/kon7.htm>

IBP Comment

Following the dialogue between the researcher and the peer reviewer, to maintain consistency with the Survey methodology, and in agreement with the researcher, the response has been changed from "c" to "d."

137. During the legislative deliberations on the annual budget (pre-budget or approval stages), which of the following key topics does the legislature's (or relevant legislative budget committee) engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider the range of mechanisms currently used by the legislature to promote public participation during legislative deliberations on the annual budget.*

*Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics, for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the legislature's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.*

*Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.*

*If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.*

*Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.*

**Answer:**

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

**Source:**

Legislative process of EB for 2021 - works of parliamentary committees and subcommittees  
<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/SQL2.nsf/poskomprocall?OpenAgent&9&640>

**Comment:**

During the legislative deliberation, there is generally no participation from citizens. Compare response to Q. 136.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

138. Does the legislature provide feedback to the public on how citizens' inputs have been used during legislative deliberations on the annual budget?

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the legislature provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received and how inputs were used during legislative deliberations (please note that these deliberations can refer to the pre-budget and approval phases). By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the legislature.*

*Answer "a" applies when the legislature provides a written document with:*

- *The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).*

*Answer "b" applies when the legislature provides a written document that includes:*



- *The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and*
- *A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used in legislative deliberations on the annual budget (please note that these deliberations refer to the pre-budget and approval phases).*

*Answer "c" applies when the legislature makes available a video recording of the relevant legislative session or provides a written document that includes:*

- *The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) or*
- *A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.*

*Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.*

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Legislative process of EB for 2021 - works of parliamentary committees and subcommittees  
<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/SQL2.nsf/poskomprocall?OpenAgent&9&640>

Comment:

Legislature/relevant legislative committee(s) does not use any participation mechanisms through which the public could provide input during its public deliberations on the formulation of the annual budget.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

139. Does the legislature hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the Audit Report?

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislature are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.*

*A key constitutional role of the legislature in almost all countries is to oversee the government's management of public resources. While the Supreme Audit Institution is responsible for checking the government's accounts and publishing the outcome of their audits, for accountability purposes it is essential that the legislature reviews and scrutinizes those reports, and checks on whether the executive is taking the appropriate corrective actions based on the Supreme Audit Institution's recommendations.*

*Holding public hearings to review audit findings allows the public to learn more about how the government has managed its resources for the budget years that have ended, and demand accountability in case of mismanagement and irregularities. Reviewing and discussing those reports in public is therefore a key responsibility of a legislature.*

*Please note that by "Audit Report" we refer to the same audit report assessed in the transparency section of this Survey, i.e., one of the eight key budget documents that all governments (in this case, the Supreme Audit Institution) must produce, according to best practice.*

*Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) have put in place and using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the Audit Report.*

*Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual members of parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.*

*To answer "a," the national legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).*

*Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:*

- *The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;*
- *No testimony is allowed from the public; BUT*
- *There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise*

discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- No testimony is allowed from the public;
- No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, BUT
- The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the Audit Report.

Answer:

c. Yes, public hearings on the Audit Report are held. No testimony from the public is provided during the hearings and there are no other mechanisms through which public contributions are received, but the legislature invites specific individuals or groups to testify or provide input (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

Source:

Consideration of AR at the meetings of committees and subcommittees:  
<http://www.sejm.gov.pl/SQL2.nsf/poskomprocall?OpenAgent&9&414>

Comment:

The Audit Report is under consideration/scrutiny in committee and subcommittee meetings, however no testimony from the public is provided during the hearings and there are no other mechanisms through which public contributions are received. Apart from parliamentarians and representatives of the Supreme Audit Office, the committee meetings are attended by invited representatives of various organizations and units whose activities involve certain categories of budgetary expenditure.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

140. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggest issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program (for example, by bringing ideas on agencies, programs, or projects that could be audited)?

**GUIDELINES:**

*This question assesses whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has established mechanisms through which the public can provide suggestions on issues/topics to be included in its audit program. When deciding its audit agenda, the SAI may undertake audits for a sample of agencies, projects, and programs in the country; and such a selection could be based on complaints and suggestions made by members of the public. To receive such suggestions, the SAI may create formal mechanisms, like setting up a website, hotline, or office (or assigning staff to liaise with the public).*

*Please note that formal mechanisms that do not explicitly seek the public's input in the audit program (such as general comment submission boxes on the SAI's website) should not be considered for this question.*

Answer:

a. Yes, the SAI maintains formal mechanisms through which the public can suggest issues/topics to include in its audit program.

Source:

1. Law on Supreme Chamber of Control:  
<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU19950130059/U/D19950059Lj.pdf>

2. Report on the activities of the Supreme Audit Office  
<https://www.nik.gov.pl/o-nik/sprawozdania-z-dzialalnosci-nik/>  
<https://www.nik.gov.pl/plik/id,22569.pdf>

Comment:

Citizens can directly request an inspection by the SAI, as well as submit a complaint or motions, via its website. Moreover, the SAI has the power to initiate ad hoc inspections in response to citizens' reports.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

141. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) provide the public with feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used to determine its audit program?

*GUIDELINES:*

*This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the Supreme Audit Institution provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used to determine the Supreme Audit Institution's audit program. By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the Supreme Audit Institution.*

*Answer "a" applies when the Supreme Audit Institution provides a written document with:*

- *The inputs received from the public and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).*

*Answer "b" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:*

- *The inputs received from the public and*
- *A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used to determine the SAI's annual audit program.*

*Answer "c" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:*

- *The received from the public or*
- *A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.*

*Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggests issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program.*

Answer:

b. Yes, the SAI provides a written record which includes both the list of inputs received and a summary of the how the inputs were used to determine its audit program.

Source:

Reports on the activities of the Supreme Audit Office in 2019:  
<https://www.nik.gov.pl/o-nik/sprawozdania-z-dzialalnosci-nik/>  
<https://www.nik.gov.pl/plik/id,22569.pdf>

Comment:

SAI provides feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used in its activities in the Report on the Activities of the Supreme Audit Office. See pp. 166-176 of the citation.

Peer Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer  
Opinion: Agree

142. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can contribute to audit investigations (as respondents, witnesses, etc.)?

*GUIDELINES:*

*This question mirrors question 140, but instead of covering public assistance in formulating the SAI's audit program, it focuses on whether the Supreme Audit Institution has established mechanisms through which the public can participate in audit investigations. In addition to seeking public input to determine its audit agenda, the SAI may wish to provide formal opportunities for the public and civil society organizations to participate in the actual audit investigations, as witnesses or respondents.*

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Law on Supreme Chamber of Control:

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/download.xsp/WDU19950130059/U/D19950059Lj.pdf>

Comment:

The law on SAI (art. 42) provides for only one and relatively limited mechanism by which the public can participate in control investigations. It consists in the possibility of the auditors summoning employees of inspected units or other persons to testify as witnesses in the control proceedings. This mechanism is in principle arbitrary and not open.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree