

Open Budget Survey 2021

Questionnaire

Madagascar

May 2022

Country Questionnaire: Madagascar

PBS-1. What is the fiscal year of the PBS evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY 2021

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Recherche au titre de l'OBS 2021

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-2. When is the PBS made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for a PBS to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration. If the PBS is not released to the public at least one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is submitted to the legislature for consideration, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the PBS.

Answer:

d. The PBS is not released to the public, or is released less than one month before the Executive's Budget Proposal is introduced to the legislature

Source:

Page de DGfAG (document non trouvé)

<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

La Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Economie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020. En revanche, sur la page de la DGfAG (cf. source) apparaît un lien d'accès sur la "Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021", mais renvoyant le message 404 Oops! Page Not Found. Le document n'est donc pas disponible au grand public jusqu'au 14 février 2021, c'est à dire avant le 31 décembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Meme constat sur l'indisponibilité en ligne de la lettre de cadrage sur le site de la DGfAG, a la date du 5 aout 2021.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-3a. If the PBS is published, what is the date of publication of the PBS?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:

Page de DGFAG (document non trouvé)
<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

La Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Economie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020. En revanche, sur la page de la DGAG (cf. source) apparaît un lien d'accès sur la "Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021", mais renvoyant le message 404 Oops! Page Not Found. Le document n'est donc pas disponible au grand public jusqu'au 14 février 2021, c'est à dire avant le 31 décembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Meme constat sur l'indisponibilité en ligne de la lettre de cadrage pour le budget 2021 a la date du 5 aout 2021

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the PBS.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Page de DGFAG (document non trouvé)
<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

La Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Economie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020. En revanche, sur la page de la DGAG (cf. source) apparaît un lien d'accès sur la "Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021", mais renvoyant le message 404 Oops! Page Not Found. Le document n'est donc pas disponible au grand public jusqu'au 14 février 2021, c'est à dire avant le 31 décembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-4. If the PBS is published, what is the URL or weblink of the PBS?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:

Page de DGFAG (document non trouvé)
<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

La Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Economie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020. En revanche, sur la page de la DGAG (cf. source) apparaît un lien d'accès sur la "Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021", mais renvoyant le message 404 Oops! Page Not Found. Le document n'est donc pas disponible au grand public jusqu'au 14 février 2021, c'est à dire avant le 31 décembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-5. If the PBS is published, are the numerical data contained in the PBS available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) or HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the PBS is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

d. Not applicable

Source:

Page de DGFAG (document non trouvé)
<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

choix: d

La Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Economie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020. En revanche, sur la page de la DGAG (cf. source) apparaît un lien d'accès sur la "Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021", mais renvoyant le message 404 Oops! Page Not Found. Le document n'est donc pas disponible au grand public jusqu'au 14 février 2021, c'est à dire avant le 31 décembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-6a. If the PBS is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the PBS is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question PBS-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question PBS-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

c. Produced for internal purposes/use only

Source:

Page de DGFAG

<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

Le document existe, mais seulement non publié. En effet, le titre apparaît dans le lien de la page de DGFAG (<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>) mais le document y associé n'est pas accessible en affichant une page d'erreur. Aucune information n'a été non plus fournie concernant sa date de publication.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Le message d'erreur emis par le site DGFAG fait bien reference a un document, qui semble avoir ete mis en ligne en octobre 2020 sans toutefois que le lien fonctionne correctement, comme explique dans les reponses precedentes. http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Lettre-de-cadrage_revise%CC%81e_vf.pdf

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question PBS-6a, please specify how you determined whether the PBS was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question PBS-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Sur la page principale de DGFAG

<http://www.dgfag.mg/>

Sous le Menu (titre) Textes de Lois, puis sous-titre Budget, il y a Lettre de cadrage dont le lien d'accès au document est le suivant, mais affiche une page d'erreur (404).

NB : date de vérification : 20 JANVIER 2021 ET 14 FEVRIER 2021

Source:

Page de DGFAG (document non trouvé)

<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

La Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Economie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020. En revanche, sur la page de la DGAG (cf. source) apparaît un lien d'accès sur la "Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021", mais renvoyant le message 404 Oops! Page Not Found. Le document n'est donc pas disponible au grand public jusqu'au 14 février 2021, c'est à dire avant le 31 décembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Meme constat en date du 5 aout 2021

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-7. If the PBS is produced, please write the full title of the PBS.

For example, a title for the Pre-Budget Statement could be "Proposed 2021 State Budget" or "Guidelines for the Preparation of Annual Plan and Budget for 2020/21."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021

Source:

Page de DGfAG (document non trouvé)

<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

La Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Economie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020. En revanche, sur la page de la DGfAG (cf. source) apparaît un lien d'accès sur la "Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021", mais renvoyant le message 404 Oops! Page Not Found. Le document n'est donc pas disponible au grand public jusqu'au 14 février 2021, c'est à dire avant le 31 décembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

PBS-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the PBS?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see:

<https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

aucune

Comment:

La version citoyenne de Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Economie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EBP evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:
FY 2021

Source:
http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Comment:
Document publié le 17/11/2020

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EBP-1b. When is the EBP submitted to the legislature for consideration?

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:
30/10/2020

Source:
<https://fanainga.mg/societe-civile-lfi-transparence/>

Comment:
Fanaigna est une OSC engagée en matière de transparence et suit avec intérêt la transparence budgétaire à Madagascar. L'article qu'elle a publié le 16 novembre 2020 a permis de constater sur sa page web la date du dépôt du projet de loi de finances pour 2021 en ces termes "...Il a pourtant été déposé à l'Assemblée le 30 octobre, mais il n'est pas encore publié."

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Comments: La décision rendue par la Haute Cour Constitutionnelle confirme que le PLFI a été transmis à l'Assemblée Nationale dans le respect de la date butoir constitutionnelle du 30 octobre. <http://www.hcc.gov.mg/d3/decision-n18-hcc-d3-du-24-decembre-2020-concernant-la-loi-n2020-013-portant-loi-de-finances-pour-2021/> "7. Considérant que le quatrième alinéa de l'article 92 de la Constitution impose que le projet de loi de finances soit examiné en premier par l'Assemblée Nationale ; que l'article 46 de la loi organique n° 2004-007 du 26 juillet 2004 dispose que « le projet de loi de finances de l'année, y compris le rapport et les annexes explicatives prévues à l'article 44, est déposé et distribué au plus tard le 30 octobre de l'année qui précède l'année d'exécution du budget. Il est immédiatement renvoyé à l'examen de la commission des finances de l'Assemblée Nationale » ; que le projet de loi de finances pour 2021 a été déposé sur le bureau de l'Assemblée Nationale dans le délai prescrit et ce conformément aux prescriptions de l'article 46 de la loi organique sus visée ;"

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EBP-2. When is the EBP made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an EBP to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public while the legislature is still considering it and before the legislature approves (enacts) it. If the EBP is not released to the public before the legislature approves it, option "d" applies.

Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the EBP.

The OBS definition of an Executive's Budget Proposal is a document(s) that (i) the executive submits to the legislature as a formal part of the budget approval process and (ii) the legislature either approves or on which it approves proposed amendments.

The OBS will treat the Executive's Budget Proposal as "Not Produced," in the following cases:

- The executive does not submit the draft budget to the legislature; or
- The legislature receives the draft budget but does not approve it or does not approve recommendations on the draft budget;
- The legislature rejects the draft budget submitted by the executive, but the executive implements it without legislative approval; or
- There is no legislature, or the legislature has been dissolved.

Answer:

c. Less than two months in advance of the budget year, but at least in advance of the budget being approved by the legislature

Source:

Page de présentation du MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Page Assemblée Nationale

<https://assemblee-nationale.mg/adoption-de-la-loi-de-finances-pour-2021/>

Page RFI

<https://www.rfi.fr/fr/afrique/20201129-madagascar-adopt%C3%A9-par-l-assembl%C3%A9e-nationale-le-projet-de-loi-de-finances-suscite-la-controverse>

Comment:

Sur la page du MEF la publication a eu lieu le 17/11/2020 c'est à dire à moins de 2 mois du début de l'année de l'exécution budgétaire (01/01/2021) Mais le document est publié avant la date de son adoption (la soirée du vendredi 27 novembre 2020) tel que présenté dans le lien de source (pages de l'Assemblée Nationale et de RFI)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-3a. If the EBP is published, what is the date of publication of the EBP?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their date of publication.

Answer:

17/11/2020

Source:

Page du MLEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Comment:

Sur la page du MEF (cf. source), il est précisé que la publication du projet de loi a eu lieu le 17/11/2020

En outre, la date de la dernière modification de la page qui héberge le PLF est également le 17 novembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: D'accord avec le constat. La version malagasy du PLFI semble toutefois avoir été publiée après le 17/11 puisque les propriétés du document pdf en malagasy indiquent la date du 18/11/2020.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EBP.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Depuis quelques années, les textes de loi sur les finances publiques sont présentés par le MEF sur une page prévue à cet effet. La publication précise également les dates de publication de ces documents. Il suffit donc de les consulter.

Source:

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Comment:

cf. explication plus haut

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: L'examen des propriétés des documents mis en ligne montre toutefois que certains ont été modifiés après la date du 17/11 (version du projet de loi en malagasy ; tome 3 sur le cadre à moyen terme, pour lesquels les pdf ont été modifiés pour la dernière fois le 18/11).

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-4. If the EBP is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EBP?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

In the comment boxes below, researchers should also list any supporting documents to the EBP and their URL or weblink.

Answer:

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Source:

Corps du projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Ouvrir d'abord le lien principal (http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17) indiqué dans la petite case pour consulter un à un le corps du projet de loi et ses annexes (Tome1 à 3 et l'errata)

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EBP-5. If the EBP is published, are the numerical data contained in the EBP or its supporting documents available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.

Option "d" applies if the EBP is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

Corps du projet

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Tous les documents ((corps de la loi et ses annexes) sont présentés au format PDF

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EBP-6a. If the EBP is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the EBP is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EBP-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EBP-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

Corps du projet

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf
les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Question non applicable car le document et ses annexes sont accessibles par les liens indiqués dans la source:

Corps du projet

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EBP-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EBP was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EBP-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

EBP-7. If the EBP is produced, please write the full title of the EBP.

For example, a title for the Executive's Budget Proposal could be "Draft Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure for BY 2020-21, produced by the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development."

If there are any supporting documents to the EBP, please enter their full titles in the comment box below.

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

PROJET DE LOI N° 016/2020 PORTANT LOI DE FINANCES POUR 2021

Source:

Corps du projet

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Le même document comprend en même temps une version en langue malagasy dont l'intitulé est: VOLAVOLAN-DALÀNA LAHARANA FAHA 016/2020MOMBA NY LALÀNA MIFEHY NYFITANTANAM-BOLAM-PANJAKANA HO AMIN'NY TAONA 2021

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EBP-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EBP?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

aucune source disponible

Comment:

Le Gouvernement (MEF) ne prend pas comme bonne pratique de produire une version pour les citoyens par rapport aux projets de loi.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-1a. What is the fiscal year of the EB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY 2020 FY2020

Source:

Ordonnance 2020 et publié le 14/01/2020

Corps de texte

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFL_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf

Annexe Tome1

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-I-DOCUMENT-DE-PERFORMANCE.pdf

Annexe Tome2

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-II-DOCUMENT-BUDGETAIRE.pdf

Annexe Tome3

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-III-CADRE-A-MOYEN-TERME.pdf

Comment:

Pour 2020, l'EB disponible avant le 31 décembre 2020 est l'ORDONNANCE N°2019-016PORTANT LOI DE FINANCES POUR 2020. Il est publiée le 14/01/2020

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-1b. When was the EB approved (enacted) by the legislature?

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

23/12/2019 23/12/2019

Source:

Décision de la Haute Cour Constitutionnelle (HCC)

<http://www.hcc.gov.mg/decisions/d3/decision-n18-hcc-d3-du-21-decembre-2019-concernant-lordonnance-n2019-016-portant-loi-de-finances-pour-2020/>

Comment:

La Décision sortie par la HCC ci-dessus, a permis de confirmer en se référant SUR LES PROCEDURES D'ADOPTION ET D'EXAMEN DU PROJET DE LOI DE FINANCES, Point 8 que "...les deux Chambres ne sont pas parvenues à adopter un texte unique"

Autrement dit, le texte n'a pas été adopté par le parlement en 2020; le gouvernement est obligé de faire passer le Budget par voie d'ordonnance

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Le budget 2020 a en effet adopte par voie d'ordonnance faute d'accord entre les deux chambres, suivant la procedure prevue a l'article 49 de la loi organique 2004-007 du 26 juillet 2004 relative aux lois de finances.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Étant donné que l'ordonnance budgétaire du gouvernement a été promulguée le 23 décembre 2019 (veuillez consulter sa dernière page :

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFL_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf), la réponse vide est révisée à "23/12/2019".

EB-2. When is the EB made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an EB to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public three months after the budget is approved by the legislature. If the EB is not released to the public at least three months after the budget is approved by the legislature, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the EB.

Answer:

b. Between two weeks and six weeks after the budget has been enacted

Source:

Site du MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Avis (décision de la HCC)

<http://www.hcc.gov.mg/decisions/d3/decision-n18-hcc-d3-du-21-decembre-2019-concernant-lordonnance-n2019-016-portant-loi-de-finances-pour-2020/>

Comment:

Sur le site du MEF, il est indiqué que l'ORDONNANCE_N°2019-016 DU 23-12-2019_LFI_2020 version FRANCAISE (y compris ses documents annexes) a été publié le 14 janvier 2020.

Toutefois, faute d'adoption du texte par le parlement, c'est la date de la lettre n°393/PRM/SG/DEJ-19 du 19 décembre 2019 de la Présidence de la République saisissant la HCC que nous proposons comme date de référence de départ permettant de constater la durée de publication jusqu'au 14 janvier 2020, sus-indiquée.

Voir également : le budget et ses annexes ont été mis en ligne à partir du 5 février 2020

https://web.archive.org/web/20200205023532/http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-3a. If the EB is published, what is the date of publication of the EB?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

14/1/2020 14/1/2020

Source:

Site du MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Contenus

Corps de l'Ordonnance

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFI_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf

Annexe Tome1

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-I-DOCUMENT-DE-PERFORMANCE.pdf

Annexe Tome2

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-II-DOCUMENT-BUDGETAIRE.pdf

Annexe Tome3

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-III-CADRE-A-MOYEN-TERME.pdf

Comment:

Sur le site du MEF, il est indiqué que cette ordonnance (y compris ses annexes) a été publiée le 14 janvier 2020

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the EB.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Il y a un horodatage sur la page du MEF, et le "Wayback Machine" sur Internet Archive montre que les fichiers avaient été publiés avant le 5 février 2020. Il y a un horodatage sur la page du MEF, et le "Wayback Machine" sur Internet Archive montre que les fichiers avaient été publiés avant le 5 février 2020.

Source:

Site du MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Comment:

Depuis quelques années, le MEF a pris l'initiative de publier sur son site (Cf. Source), tous les textes de lois relatives au budget de l'État Central (date de publication y comprise).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

EB-4. If the EB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the EB?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFL_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFL_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf

Source:

Corps de l'Ordonnance

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFL_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf

Annexe Tome1

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-I-DOCUMENT-DE-PERFORMANCE.pdf

Annexe Tome2

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-II-DOCUMENT-BUDGETAIRE.pdf

Annexe Tome3

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-III-CADRE-A-MOYEN-TERME.pdf

Comment:

Accès principal

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EB-5. If the EB is published, are the numerical data contained in the EB available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the EB is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

c. No

Source:

Corps de l'Ordonnance

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFI_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf

Annexe Tome1

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-I-DOCUMENT-DE-PERFORMANCE.pdf

Annexe Tome2

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-II-DOCUMENT-BUDGETAIRE.pdf

Annexe Tome3

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-III-CADRE-A-MOYEN-TERME.pdf

Comment:

Tous les documents (Corps et annexes) sont présentés sous format PDF

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EB-6a. If the EB is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the EB is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question EB-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question EB-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:
Corps de l'Ordonnance
http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFL_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf
Annexe Tome1
http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-I-DOCUMENT-DE-PERFORMANCE.pdf
Annexe Tome2
http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-II-DOCUMENT-BUDGETAIRE.pdf
Annexe Tome3
http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-III-CADRE-A-MOYEN-TERME.pdf

Comment:
Non applicable car le document est publié

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EB-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question EB-6a, please specify how you determined whether the EB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question EB-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion:

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

EB-7. If the EB is produced, please write the full title of the EB.

For example, a title for the Enacted Budget could be "Appropriation Act n. 10 of 2018."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:
ORDONNANCE N°2019-016 PORTANT LOI DE FINANCES POUR 2020 ORDONNANCE N°2019-016 PORTANT LOI DE FINANCES POUR 2020

Source:
Corps de l'Ordonnance
http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFL_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf
Annexe Tome1
http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-I-DOCUMENT-DE-PERFORMANCE.pdf
Annexe Tome2
http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-II-DOCUMENT-BUDGETAIRE.pdf
Annexe Tome3
http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-III-CADRE-A-MOYEN-TERME.pdf

Comment:
Titre du document
ORDONNANCE N°2019-016PORTANT LOI DE FINANCES POUR 2020

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

EB-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the EB?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:
a. Yes

Source:
Site de DGFAG
<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/2020>
Contenu PDF
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view

Comment:
Ce document est publié le 9 mars 2020

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: A noter que ce document est publie en trois langues : francais, anglais et malagasy.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

CB-1. What is the fiscal year of the CB evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for each CB please indicate the document the CB simplifies/refers to, and the fiscal year.

Answer:
FY 2020 FY 2020

Source:
Site de DGFAG
<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/2020>
Contenu PDF
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view

Comment:
L'évaluation concerne l'année 2020. Le Budget des citoyens concerne la loi de finances initiale (établie par voie d'ordonnance)

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

CB-2a. For the fiscal year indicated in CB-1, what is the public availability status of the CB?

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document (Executive's Budget Proposal or Enacted Budget) you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its public availability status.

Remember that publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

*Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology
Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.*

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

Answer:

e. Not applicable (the document is publicly available)

Source:

Site de DGFAG

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/20201>

Contenu PDF

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view

Comment:

Le document est publié le Publié le 9 mars 2020. A ce titre il est publié dans les trois mois suivant l'adoption du budget (lettre de transmission de l'Ordonnance en date du 19 décembre 2019).

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

CB-2b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question CB-2a, please specify how you determined whether the CB was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question CB-2a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer
Opinion:

Government Reviewer
Opinion:

CB-3a. If the CB is published, what is the date of publication of the CB?

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.
Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its dates of publication.

Answer:

9/3/2020 9/3/2020

Source:

Site de DGFAG

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/20201>

Contenu PDF

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view

Comment:

La date de publication est indiquée sur la page principale de ce lien (DGFAG)

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/20201>

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

CB-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the CB.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

La date de publication est indiquée directement sur la page du lien (DGFAG) suivant
<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/20201> Cf. commentaire et sources

Source:

Site de DGFAG

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/20201>

Contenu PDF

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view (les "détails" de cette page montrent également une date du 9 mars 2020)

Comment:

La DGFAG avait l'initiative de publier tous les documents budgétaires dans sa rubrique de transparence budgétaire. Toutefois, ce lien n'est plus disponible depuis la dernière mise à jour de son lien principal suivant:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/>

La DGFAG est donc encouragée à reconsidérer cette rubrique de TRANSPARENCE BUDGETAIRE

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

CB-4. If the CB is published, what is the URL or weblink of the CB?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

If more than one Citizens Budget is published, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and its URL or weblink.

Answer:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view

Source:

Site de DGFAG
<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/2020>
Contenu PDF
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view

Comment:

Document au FORMAT PDF

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

CB-5. If the CB is produced, please write the full title of the CB.

For example, a title for the Citizens Budget could be "Budget 2020 People's Guide" or "2021 Proposed Budget in Brief: A People's Budget Publication."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, for the other CB, indicate the document the CB refers to and, next to it, its full title.

Answer:

Budget des Citoyens OLF 2020 Budget des Citoyens OLF 2020

Source:

Site de DGFAG
<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/2020>
Contenu PDF
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view

Comment:

Titre du document: Budget des Citoyens OLF 2020

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

CB-6. If the CB is produced, please indicate which budget document it corresponds to.

If more than one Citizens Budget is produced, please complete this question for one of them, specifying in the comment box below which document you are referring to, and – in the same comment box – which other Citizens Budget is produced and which budget document it simplifies.

Answer:

Le Budget des citoyens intéresse l'ordonnance portant Loi de finances 2020 Cf. commentaire et source

Source:

Site de DGFAG

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/20201>

Contenu PDF

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view

Comment:

Sur la page de couverture de ce document il a été précisé que "Ce document citoyen relatif à l'ordonnance portant Loi de finances 2020 est une traduction budgétaire des promesses présidentielles pour cette année d'exercice et mettra ainsi en exergue les perspectives macroéconomiques et les grandes orientations budgétaires pour l'année 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-1. What is the fiscal year of the IYRs evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY 2019-20 FY 2019-20

Source:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019

• Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Deuxième Trimestre 2019

• Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Premier Trimestre 2019

Comment:

Le MEF organise trimestriellement des revues regroupant tous ministres et institutions afin de présenter les situations sur l'exécution budgétaire en cours.

Pour 2020, aucune revue n'a été organisée en raison des mesures de reconfinement liées à la pandémie. L'essentiel des activités peuvent être décrites dans le chapitre lié aux COVID 19, une situation exceptionnelle traversée par tous les pays du monde. Toutefois, on peut toujours considérer le document du quatrième trimestre 2019 dans le cadre de cette évaluation.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Les comptes rendus d'exécution des quatre trimestres de 2019 sont en effet les seuls disponibles à la date du 5 août 2021, sans aucun document pour l'année 2020.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-2. When are the IYRs made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for IYRs to be considered publicly available, IYRs must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends. If at least seven of the last 12 monthly IYRs, or at least three of the last four quarterly IYRs are not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the IYRs.

Answer:

d. The IYRs are not released to the public, or are released more than three months after the period covered

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019 (1er et 2ème trimestre)

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Les derniers documents disponibles depuis 2019

- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Deuxième Trimestre 2019
- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Premier Trimestre 2019

Autrement dit, depuis ces deux dernières publication jusqu'à la fin de 2020, il n'y avait aucune publication de revue en cours d'année.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-3a. If the IYRs are published, what are the dates of publication of the IYRs?

Specifically: if quarterly In-Year Reports are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least three of the last four IYRs that were publicly available. If monthly IYRs are published, indicate the dates of publication of at least seven of the last 12 IYRs that were publicly available.

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD Month YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05 September 2020. If the document is not published or not produced, please mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Les derniers documents disponibles depuis 2019

- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Deuxième Trimestre 2019
- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Premier Trimestre 2019

Autrement dit, depuis ces deux dernières publication jusqu'à la fin de 2020, il n'y avait aucune publication de revue en cours d'année.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Les documents pour le 3T 2019 et le 4T2019 sont bien disponibles en ligne a la date du 5 aout 2021 mais rien pour l'annee 2020.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the IYRs.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Les derniers documents disponibles depuis 2019

- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Deuxième Trimestre 2019
- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Premier Trimestre 2019

Autrement dit, depuis ces deux dernières publication jusqu'à la fin de 2020, il n'y avait aucune publication de revue en cours d'année.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-4. If the IYRs are published, what is the URL or weblink of the IYRs?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Researchers should provide the weblink to the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the weblinks to older IYRs.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Les derniers documents disponibles depuis 2019

- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Deuxième Trimestre 2019
- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Premier Trimestre 2019

Autrement dit, depuis ces deux dernières publication jusqu'à la fin de 2020, il n'y avait aucune publication de revue en cours d'année.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-5. If the IYRs are published, are the numerical data contained in the IYRs available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the IYRs are not publicly available, therefore their machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

d. Not applicable

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkWLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Les derniers documents disponibles depuis 2019

- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Deuxième Trimestre 2019
- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Premier Trimestre 2019

Autrement dit, depuis ces deux dernières publication jusqu'à la fin de 2020, il n'y avait aucune publication de revue en cours d'année.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-6a. If the IYRs are not publicly available, are they still produced?

If the IYRs are not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question IYRs-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question IYRs-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

d. Not produced at all

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Les derniers documents disponibles depuis 2019

- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Deuxième Trimestre 2019
- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Premier Trimestre 2019

Autrement dit, depuis ces deux dernières publication jusqu'à la fin de 2020, il n'y avait aucune publication de revue en cours d'année. Pour 2020 aucune revue en raison des mesures de confinement.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question IYRs-6a, please specify how you determined whether the IYRs were produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question IYRs-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Document pas du tout publié

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019 (1er et 2ème trimestre)

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Les derniers documents disponibles depuis 2019

- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Deuxième Trimestre 2019
- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Premier Trimestre 2019

Autrement dit, depuis ces deux dernières publication jusqu'à la fin de 2020, il n'y avait aucune publication de revue en cours d'année.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Document non produit en 2020, car son mode d'elaboration reposait sur des reunions physiques entre la DGFAG et les ministeres sectoriels qui n'ont pu se tenir dans le contexte COVID-19.

Comments: La reponse donnee par le chercheur sur l'absence de publication ne permet pas de confirmer si le document est produit a des fins purement internes ou pas produit du tout. Il est propose de repondre (comme il a ete indique au reviewer lors de contacts avec la DGFAG courant 2020) que la DGFAG a interrompu la production des comptes rendus de l'execution budgetaire car leur confection dans le format existant en 2019 necessitait des reunions physiques avec les ministeres sectoriels qui n'ont pu avoir lieu en 2020.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Le contexte supplémentaire fourni par l'examineur pair est bien noté. Sur la base de ces précisions, la réponse existante de "Document pas du tout publié" est maintenue.

IYRs-7. If the IYRs are produced, please write the full title of the IYRs.

For example, a title for the In-Year Report could be "Budget Monitoring Report, Quarter 1" or "Budget Execution Report January-March 2020."

If In-Year Reports are not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Researchers should provide the full title of the most recent In-Year Report in the space below, and – in the comment box underneath – the full titles of older IYRs.

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Les derniers documents disponibles depuis 2019

- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Deuxième Trimestre 2019
- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Premier Trimestre 2019

Autrement dit, depuis ces deux dernières publication jusqu'à la fin de 2020, il n'y avait aucune publication de revue en cours d'année.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IYRs-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the IYRs?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Les derniers documents disponibles depuis 2019

- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Deuxième Trimestre 2019
- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Premier Trimestre 2019

Autrement dit, depuis ces deux dernières publication jusqu'à la fin de 2020, il n'y avait aucune publication de revue en cours d'année.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Il existe une version citoyenne des documents. La publication accuse des retards

MYR-1. What is the fiscal year of the MYR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:

FY 2020

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

C'est la première fois que le MEF publie ce type de document dont le contenu décrit bien la revue de milieu d'année. Ce document a été publié le 03 décembre 2020 à 19heures, d'après la technique de vérification des fichiers publié sur une page web par son affichage sur le console (F12) puis l'exécution du script javascript:alert(document.lastModified).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

MYR-2. When is the MYR made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an MYR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than three months after the reporting period ends (i.e., three months after the midpoint of the fiscal year). If the MYR is not released to the public at least three months after the reporting period ends, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the MYR.

Answer:

d. The MYR is not released to the public, or is released more than three months after the midpoint

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année 2020

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Ce document est publié seulement au 03 décembre 2020, c'est à dire presque 6 mois après le milieu d'année (juin 2020)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Le document a ete finalise le 30 octobre, soit 4 mois apres le milieu d'annee.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

MYR-3a. If the MYR is published, what is the date of publication of the MYR?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

31/10/2020

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année 2020

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Ce document est publié seulement au 03 décembre 2020, c'est à dire presque 6 mois après le milieu d'année (juin 2020)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Le document a été mis en ligne le 31/10/2020

Comments: D'après les propriétés du document pdf, il a été finalisé le 30/10/2020. En vérifiant au niveau de l'URL (INURL / &as_qdr=y15), la date de publication est le 31/10/2020.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

La précision apportée par l'examineur pair est bien notée ; la réponse est donc révisée du "03/12/2020" au "31/10/2020".

MYR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the MYR.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Vérification de la dernière date de mise à jour par l'exécution de la commande javascript:alert(document.lastModified) qu'il faut introduire à la main à la place de l'URL du document présentant le fichier PDF (<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>)

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année 2020

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

On peut aussi utiliser l'affichage du console de script WEB de la source en tapant la touche de fonction F12 sur l'URL indiquant le lien (<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>) puis taper le même script javascript:alert(document.lastModified) suivi de la touche ENTREE.

En outre, un examen du site Web de la DGFAG par IBP à la mi-octobre 2020 (c'est-à-dire après le 30 septembre 2020) n'a révélé aucun MYR publié à ce stade.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Date de mise en ligne (31 octobre) retrouvée par l'URL via Google (INURL &as_qdr=y15).

Comments: La date de mise en ligne ainsi trouvee est 4 mois apres le milieu d'annee, ce qui correspond a la meme appreciation que celle effectuee par le chercheur meme si la mise en ligne s'est effectuee un mois plus tot que la date indiquee par le chercheur (31 octobre 2020 au lieu du 13 decembre). La date de mise en ligne ainsi obtenue semble plus coherente avec la structure du lien (qui comporte 2020/10)

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Les informations fournies par l'examineur pair (notamment la methode d'ajout de la chaîne "INURL &as_qdr=y15" dans la recherche Google) sont bien notées.

MYR-4. If the MYR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the MYR?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année 2020

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

RAS

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

MYR-5. If the MYR is published, are the numerical data contained in the MYR available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.

Option "d" applies if the MYR is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

d. Not applicable

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année 2020

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Le fichier PDF n'est pas considéré par l'évaluation comme format lisible

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: c. No

Comments: La reponse semble c plutot que d, puisque la RMA est bien publiee mais que les donnees numeriques ne sont pas disponibles dans un format lisible machine.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Selon les directives de la question, « L'option « d » s'applique si le MYR n'est pas accessible au public, par conséquent, sa lisibilité par machine ne peut pas être évaluée. » La réponse existante est maintenue.

MYR-6a. If the MYR is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the MYR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question MYR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question MYR-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

a. Produced but made available online to the public too late (published after the acceptable time frame)

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année 2020

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Le document est publié tardivement

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

MYR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question MYR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the MYR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question MYR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Source:

Comment:

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Government Reviewer

Opinion:

MYR-7. If the MYR is produced, please write the full title of the MYR.

For example, a title for the Mid-Year Review could be "Semi-annual Budget Performance Report, FY 2019/20" or "Mid-Year Report on the 2020 National Budget."

If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Revue de milieu d'année 2020

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année 2020

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Titre "Revue de milieu d'année 2020"

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

MYR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the MYR?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see:

<https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année 2020 sans version citoyenne

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Pas de version citoyenne

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-1. What is the fiscal year of the YER evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:
FY 2019

Source:
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>
Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:
La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: A la date du 5 aout 2021, le PLR 2019 n'a toujours pas ete mis en ligne. Le PLR 2018 a en revanche ete mis en ligne en juin 2021.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-2. When is the YER made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an YER to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than one year after the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the YER is not released to the public within one year after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the YER.

Answer:

d. The YER is not released to the public, or is released more than 12 months after the end of the budget year

Source:

Page d'accès sur le lois de règlement

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Dernier document publié

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-3a. If the YER is published, what is the date of publication of the YER?

Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document. Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:

Page d'accès sur le lois de règlement

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Dernier document publié

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

Le document n'est pas publié

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the YER.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Page d'accès aux lois de règlement

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Dernier document (2017)

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

Accéder à la page d'accès aux lois de règlement

Consulter les documents

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-4. If the YER is published, what is the URL or weblink of the YER?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the

document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:

Page d'accès aux lois de règlement

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Dernier document (2017)

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-5. If the YER is published, are the numerical data contained in the YER available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the YER is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

d. Not applicable

Source:

Page d'accès aux lois de règlement

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Dernier document (2017)

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Les PLR des années précédentes sont disponibles en format pdf, donc non lisible machine.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-6a. If the YER is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the YER is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question YER-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question YER-2)

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

d. Not produced at all

Source:

Page d'accès aux lois de règlement

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Dernier document (2017)

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

Document non produit du tout

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question YER-6a, please specify how you determined whether the YER was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question YER-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Document non produit du tout

Source:

Page d'accès aux lois de règlement

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Dernier document (2017)

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Document non produit a la date du 6 aout 2021, le PLR 2018 n'ayant ete mis en ligne qu'en juin 2021.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-7. If the YER is produced, please write the full title of the YER.

For example, a title for the Year-End Report could be "Consolidated Financial Statement for the Year Ended 31 March 2020" or "Annual Report 2019 Published by the Ministry of Finance and Planning." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Page d'accès aux lois de règlement

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Dernier document (2017)

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: L'intitule des rapports de fin d'annee pour les annees precedentes est "projet de loi portant loi de reglement"

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

YER-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the YER?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Le Document principal n'est pas produit

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: a. Yes

Comments: Il existe une version citoyenne du document. <http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/article/rapport-de-fin-d-annee-aux-citoyens-relatif-au-projet-de-loi-de-reglement-2017>

IBP Comment

Étant donné que le document cité par l'examineur gouvernemental se rapporte à l'exercice 2017 (et a été publié en 2021), la réponse existante de « b » est maintenue.

AR-1. What is the fiscal year of the AR evaluated in this Open Budget Survey questionnaire?

Please enter the fiscal year in the following format: "FY YYYY" or "FY YYYY-YY."

Answer:
FY 2018

Source:

Menu Principal

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Rapport d'audit de la Cour

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/RAPPORT%20COUR%20DES%20COMPTE%20SUR%20PLR%202017.pdf>

Comment:

Le dernier rapport (2017) de la Cour sur le Projet de Loi de Règlement, rendu public, est celui présenté dans la Source. Toutefois, avant 31 décembre 2020, le Rapport d'audit qui doit être considéré est celui de 2018 car cela correspond à la gestion clôturée au plus tard 18 mois (un an et 1/2).

Le rapport d'audit n'est pas donc publié à temps.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-2. When is the AR made available to the public?

Publicly available budget documents are defined as those documents that are published on the website of the public authority issuing the document within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology and that all citizens are able to obtain free of charge. (See the Open Budget Survey Guidelines on Public Availability of Budget Documents.) This is a change from previous rounds of the Open Budget Survey: now at minimum documents must be made available on the Internet and free of charge to be considered publicly available.

The OBS methodology requires that for an AR to be considered publicly available, it must be made available to the public no later than 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds. If the AR is not released to the public at least 18 months after the end of the fiscal year to which it corresponds, option "d" applies. Option "d" should also be chosen for documents that are produced for internal purposes only (that is, produced but never released to the public) or are not produced at all. Some governments may publish budget documents further in advance than the latest possible dates outlined above. In these instances, researchers should choose options "a" or "b," depending on the date of publication identified for the AR.

Answer:

d. Does not release to the public, or is released more than 18 months after the end of the budget year

Source:

Menu Principal

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Rapport d'audit de la Cour

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/RAPPORT%20COUR%20DES%20COMPTE%20SUR%20PLR%202017.pdf>

Comment:

Le dernier rapport de la Cour sur le Projet de Loi de Règlement, rendu public, est celui présenté dans la Source. Toutefois, avant 31 décembre 2020, le Rapport d'audit qui doit être considéré est celui de 2018 car cela correspond à la gestion clôturée au plus tard 18 mois (un an et 1/2).

Le rapport d'audit n'est pas donc publié à temps

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

AR-3a. If the AR is published, what is the date of publication of the AR?

*Note that the date of publication is not necessarily the same date that is printed on the document.
Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late.*

Please enter the date in the following format: "DD/MM/YYYY." For example, 5 September 2020 should be entered as 05/09/2020. If the document is not published or not produced, leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:

Menu Principal

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Rapport d'audit de la Cour

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/RAPPORT%20COUR%20DES%20COMPTE%20SUR%20PLR%202017.pdf>

Comment:

Le dernier rapport de la Cour sur le Projet de Loi de Règlement, rendu public, est celui présenté dans la Source. Toutefois, avant 31 décembre 2020, le Rapport d'audit qui doit être considéré est celui de 2018 car cela correspond à la gestion clôturée au plus tard 18 mois (un an et 1/2).

Le rapport d'audit n'est pas donc publié à temps

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

AR-3b. In the box below, please explain how you determined the date of publication of the AR.

If the document is not published at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Menu Principal

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Rapport d'audit de la Cour

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/RAPPORT%20COUR%20DES%20COMPTE%20SUR%20PLR%202017.pdf>

Comment:

Le dernier rapport de la Cour sur le Projet de Loi de Règlement, rendu public, est celui présenté dans la Source. Toutefois, avant 31 décembre 2020, le Rapport d'audit qui doit être considéré est celui de 2018 car cela correspond à la gestion clôturée au plus tard 18 mois (un an et 1/2).

Le rapport d'audit n'est pas donc publié à temps

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-4. If the AR is published, what is the URL or weblink of the AR?

Researchers should respond to this question if the document is published either within the time frame accepted by the OBS methodology or too late. If the document is not published at all, researchers should leave this question blank.

Answer:

Source:

Menu Principal

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Rapport d'audit de la Cour

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/RAPPORT%20COUR%20DES%20COMPTE%20SUR%20PLR%202017.pdf>

Comment:

Le dernier rapport de la Cour sur le Projet de Loi de Règlement, rendu public, est celui présenté dans la Source. Toutefois, avant 31 décembre 2020, le Rapport d'audit qui doit être considéré est celui de 2018 car cela correspond à la gestion clôturée au plus tard 18 mois (un an et 1/2).

Le rapport d'audit n'est pas donc publié à temps

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-5. If the AR is published, are the numerical data contained in the AR available in a machine readable format?

Material (data or content) is machine readable if it is in a format that can be easily processed by a computer, such as .csv, .xls/.xlsx, and .json. Numerical data found in PDFs, Word (.doc/.docx) and HTML files do not qualify as machine readable. See more at: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>

Option "d" applies if the AR is not publicly available, therefore its machine readability cannot be assessed.

Answer:

d. Not applicable

Source:

Menu Principal

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Rapport d'audit de la Cour

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/RAPPORT%20COUR%20DES%20COMPTE%20SUR%20PLR%202017.pdf>

Comment:

Le dernier rapport de la Cour sur le Projet de Loi de Règlement, rendu public, est celui présenté dans la Source. Toutefois, avant 31 décembre 2020, le Rapport d'audit qui doit être considéré est celui de 2018 car cela correspond à la gestion clôturée au plus tard 18 mois (un an et 1/2).

Le rapport d'audit n'est pas donc publié à temps

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Le rapport d'audit de 2017 n'est disponible qu'en format pdf, non lisible.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

AR-6a. If the AR is not publicly available, is it still produced?

If the AR is not considered publicly available under the OBS methodology (and thus the answer to Question AR-2 was "d"), a government may nonetheless produce the document.

Option "a" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public online but not within the time frame specified in the OBS methodology (see Question AR-2).

Option "b" applies if the document is produced and made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology but only in hard copy (and is not available online). Option "b" also applies if the document is made available to the public within the time frame specified by the OBS methodology in soft electronic copy but is not available online.

Option "c" applies if the document is produced for internal purposes only and so is not made available to the public.

Option "d" applies if the document is not produced at all.

Option "e" applies if the document is publicly available.

If a document is not released to the public, researchers may need to write to or visit the relevant government office in order to determine whether answer "c" or "d" applies.

Answer:

d. Not produced at all

Source:

Menu Principal

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Rapport d'audit de la Cour

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/RAPPORT%20COUR%20DES%20COMPTE%20SUR%20PLR%202017.pdf>

Comment:

Le dernier rapport de la Cour sur le Projet de Loi de Règlement, rendu public, est celui présenté dans la Source. Toutefois, avant 31 décembre 2020, le Rapport d'audit qui doit être considéré est celui de 2018 car cela correspond à la gestion clôturée au plus tard 18 mois (un an et 1/2).

Le rapport d'audit n'est pas donc publié à temps

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

AR-6b. If you selected option "c" or "d" in question AR-6a, please specify how you determined whether the AR was produced for internal use only, versus not produced at all.

If option "a," "b," or "e" was selected in question AR-6a, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

Document non produit du tout

Source:

Menu Principal

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Rapport d'audit de la Cour

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/RAPPORT%20COUR%20DES%20COMPTE%20SUR%20PLR%202017.pdf>

Comment:

Le dernier rapport de la Cour sur le Projet de Loi de Règlement, rendu public, est celui présenté dans la Source. Toutefois, avant 31 décembre 2020,

le Rapport d'audit qui doit être considéré est celui de 2018 car cela correspond à la gestion clôturée au plus tard 18 mois (un an et 1/2).
Le rapport d'audit n'est pas donc publié à temps

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Document non produit a la date du 6 aout 2021

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-7. If the AR is produced, please write the full title of the AR.

For example, a title for the Audit Report could be "Annual General Reports of the Controller and Auditor General." If the document is not produced at all, researchers should mark this question "n/a."

Answer:

n/a

Source:

Menu Principal

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Rapport d'audit de la Cour

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/RAPPORT%20COUR%20DES%20COMPTE%20SUR%20PLR%202017.pdf>

Comment:

Le dernier rapport de la Cour sur le Projet de Loi de Règlement, rendu public, est celui présenté dans la Source. Toutefois, avant 31 décembre 2020, le Rapport d'audit qui doit être considéré est celui de 2018 car cela correspond à la gestion clôturée au plus tard 18 mois (un an et 1/2).

Le rapport d'audit n'est pas donc publié à temps

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

AR-8. Is there a "citizens version" of the AR?

While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle. While it is recognized that it may be unreasonable to expect that a citizens version is produced for each and every one of those key documents, it seems acceptable to expect that according to good practice, the executive releases a citizens version of key budget documents for each of the four stages of the budget process to allow citizens to be aware of what is happening, in terms of public financial management, throughout the entire budget cycle. For more information on Citizens Budget see: <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/citizens-budgets/>.

Answer:

b. No

Source:

Menu Principal

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Rapport d'audit de la Cour (2017)

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/RAPPORT%20COUR%20DES%20COMPTE%20SUR%20PLR%202017.pdf>

Comment:

Aucune version citoyenne car le document n'est même pas publié (Cf. dernier document dans la source).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

GQ-1a. Are there one or more websites or web portals for disseminating government fiscal information? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation.

GQ-1a asks the researcher to list any government websites or portals where fiscal information can be found. For example, in New Zealand the Treasury website (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/>) hosts important budget-related information, including the Pre-Budget Statement, the Executive's Budget Proposal, the Citizens Budget, In-Year Reports, the Mid-Year Review, and the Year-End Report. In addition, New Zealand's Parliamentary Counsel Office (<http://www.legislation.govt.nz/>) posts the Enacted Budget while the Controller and Auditor-General website (<http://www.oag.govt.nz/>) publishes the annual Audit Report. The New Zealand researcher would provide the links to each of these sites. Other countries have developed portals that include fiscal information, though not in the "documents" format. For example, these portals have been created by Mexico (<https://www.transparenciapresupuestaria.gob.mx/>) and Brazil (<http://www.portaltransparencia.gov.br/>). Some countries have both a website and a portal. The Brazilian government, for example, apart from the Transparency Portal, has a dedicated website for the federal budget, where all key documents and other information can be found (www.orcamentofederal.gov.br/). Researchers should include details about all of the relevant websites and/or portals that can be used to access budget information.

Answer:

a. Yes

Source:

Source:

<http://www.douanes.gov.mg/>

<http://www.impots.mg/>

<http://www.tresorpublic.mg/>

http://www.dgfag.mg

Comment:

RAS

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: On peut aussi rajouter le site du ministere (<https://www.mefb.gov.mg/>).

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Il faudrait aussi ajouter le site du Ministère de l'économie et des Finances www.mefb.gov.mg

GQ-1b. On these websites/portals, can revenue and/or expenditure data for the current fiscal year be downloaded as a consolidated, machine readable file (or set of files)? If yes, please provide the necessary links in the comment/citation. For more information on machine readability, see: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.

GQ-1b, GQ-1c, and GQ-1d ask about whether governments publish specific types of content on their websites/portals: (a) consolidated files that contain disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure information for the current fiscal year; (b) consolidated files that contain disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure information for multiple years in consistent formats; and (c) infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis. Researchers should provide the links to relevant webpages and some explanations of what they contain.

Answer:

d. No, neither expenditure or revenue data can be downloaded as a consolidated file

Source:
http://www.douanes.gov.mg/ooopsovez/2021/01/EVOREC_2005_2020_2.pdf

Comment:
Exemple pris sur les recettes douanières de 2005 à 2020 (cf. source)
Le format PDF n'est pas considéré comme lisible

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Sur le site du Tresor Public l'application SALOHY donne les depenses de l'annee en cours (et de l'annee precedente) avec un bon niveau de granularite mais les donnees ne sont pas disponibles sous un format lisible.

<http://app.tresorpublic.mg/dpp.mef.mg/tdata/126cHQpt84fHw2MiNNQVRUUh8MTYyODQ1MjM1NHx8MTI2/lhbb1poZZafHxNSU5JU1RFUkUgREUgTCdBTVUQdFTUVOVCBEVSBURVJSSVRPSVJFIEVUIERFUyBUUkFWQVYIFBVQkxJQ1N8fDE2Mjg0NTIzNTR8fGxoYg>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: b. Yes, but only expenditure data can be downloaded as a consolidated file

Comments: Dans le site web de la DGFAG, les situation en temps réel des dépenses publiques y sont téléchargeables.

IBP Comment

Le commentaire de l'examinateur du gouvernement est bien noté. Sur le site de la DGFAG, il apparaît que les données en temps réel ne peuvent être téléchargées qu'au format PDF. La réponse existante de "d" est donc maintenue.

GQ-1c. On these websites/portals, can disaggregated revenue and/or expenditure data in consolidated, machine readable files be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation. For more information on machine readability, see: <http://opendatahandbook.org/glossary/en/terms/machine-readable/>.

Answer:

d. No, neither expenditure or revenue data can be downloaded for multiple years in consistent formats

Source:
http://www.douanes.gov.mg/ooopsovez/2021/01/EVOREC_2005_2020_2.pdf

Comment:
Recettes 2005 à 2020 au seul format PDF, non lisible au sens de l'évaluation de la transparence budgétaire

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

GQ-1d. On these websites/portals, are infographics/visualizations or other similar tools used to simplify data access and analysis? If yes, please provide the necessary links and details in the comment/citation.

Answer:

b. No

Source:
http://www.douanes.gov.mg/ooopsovez/2021/01/EVOREC_2005_2020_2.pdf

Comment:
Présentation seulement sous forme de tableau

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

GQ-2. Are there laws in place guiding public financial management and/or auditing? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where the law(s) contains specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

GQ-2 asks about the existence of any national laws governing public financial management and auditing. These may include a public finance act, a section of the constitution, or an organic budget law. In some countries, fiscal responsibility legislation may also be relevant. For example, the Kenya researcher may include the link to its Public Finance Management Act, 2012 (<http://kenyalaw.org:8181/exist/kenyalex/actview.xql?actid=No.%2018%20of%202012>), and the Macedonian researcher may include a link to its State Audit Law (<https://www.finance.gov.mk/files/u11/Audit%20law.pdf>). Researchers should provide links to websites where such laws are published, if possible, or an electronic copy of the law itself. They should also indicate if and where (e.g. which article) these laws include specific provisions for budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes.

Answer:
a. Yes

Source:
Sur les Procédures
Loi organique sur la Cour Suprême (LOCS)
<http://www.justice.mg/wp-content/uploads/textes/TEXTES2015/Loi2004-036.pdf>
Loi organique sur les lois de finances (LOLF)
http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/Loi_organique_2004-007_LF.pdf
Loi Organique n°2004/007 du 26 juillet 2004 sur les Lois de Finances (LOLF)

Comment:
Sur la LOCS
Dans la partie consacrée à la Cour des comptes, il est précisé les procédures de contrôle juridictionnel des comptes des comptables publics et celles relatives au contrôle de la gestion des ordonnateurs.
Sur la LOLF
La LOLF résulte des séries de réformes vers le milieu de l'année 2004. Elle introduit la démarche de performance pour améliorer l'efficacité des politiques publiques qui permet de faire passer l'État d'une logique de moyens à une logique de résultats. Elle réorganise l'ensemble des procédures et des mécanismes permettant de renforcer le respect du droit budgétaire et comptable, la procédure d'élaboration et de vote des lois de finances, de leur exécution et contrôle (politique, administratif et juridictionnel).

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

GQ-3. Is there at least one additional law regulating: (1) access to information; (2) government transparency; or (3) citizens participation? If yes, please provide the necessary details and links in the comment/citation, and specify whether and where these laws contain specific provisions for budget transparency and/or participation.

The third and last question asks researchers to list any additional laws regulating access to information, transparency, or citizens' participation that are relevant for the promotion of budget transparency and citizen participation in budget processes. These might include legislation related to access to information, to planning processes, or to public administration more generally. India's Right to Information Act of 2005 (<https://www.ncess.gov.in/facilities/central-public-information-officer/rti-act-details.html>) is an example of this type of law. More information on access to information legislation (constitutional provisions, laws, and regulations), including examples of model laws, can be found here: <http://www.right2info.org/laws/constitutional-provisions-laws-and-regulations#section-1>.

Answer:
a. Yes

Source:

1. Loi fondamentale :
Constitution de la république de Madagascar
<http://www.hcc.gov.mg/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/CONSTITUTION-IV.pdf>

2. Code des marchés publics
http://www.sim.mg/images/Ressources_Documentations/2017/Code_Marches_Publics_Fev2017.pdf

Comment:

Loi n° 2016-055 portant Code des Marchés Publics.
S'agissant de la transparence le nouveau Code l'évoquée dans les dispositions de son article 5.
Article 5.-Principes généraux régissant les marchés publics : "Quel que soit leur montant, les marchés publics respectent les principes de liberté d'accès à la commande publique, d'égalité de traitement des candidats et de transparence des procédures"

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: b. No

Comments: La question semble porter sur l'existence ou non d'une loi spécifique relative à la transparence, à la participation citoyenne et à l'accès à l'information. Une telle loi ne semble pas exister même si un avant-projet de loi sur l'accès à l'information est en discussion depuis plusieurs années.
<https://www.rfi.fr/fr/afrique/20200612-madagascar-soci%C3%A9t%C3%A9-civile-r%C3%A9clame-loi-acc%C3%A8s-information>
<https://fanainga.mg/acces-a-linformation/>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Le commentaire de l'examineur pair est bien noté. Pour assurer l'application cohérente de la méthodologie d'enquête dans tous les pays, la réponse existante de « a » est maintenue.

1. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year that are classified by administrative unit (that is, by ministry, department, or agency)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 1 addresses the presentation of expenditure by administrative unit. This information indicates which government entity (ministry, department, or agency, or MDAs) will be responsible for spending the funds and, ultimately, held accountable for their use.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all administrative units, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the administrative units shown individually, in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation, must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. In other words, the sum of the expenditures assigned to the individual MDAs (education, health, infrastructure, interior, defense, etc.) must account for at least two-thirds of the total expenditure budgeted for that particular year. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents administrative units that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by administrative unit.

Answer:

a. Yes, administrative units accounting for all expenditures are presented.

Source:

Projet de loi
Corps de projet de loi
http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf
Document publié le 17/11/2020
Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

En Annexe 6 (Dépenses par service) du TOME2 les dépenses sont réparties par Institutions/Département ministériel et par Service opérationnel: Présidence->SG, DAF etc.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

2. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by functional classification?

GUIDELINES:

Question 2 addresses the presentation of expenditure by functional classification. This classification indicates the programmatic purpose, sector, or objective for which the funds will be used, such as health, education, or defense. Administrative units are not necessarily aligned with functional classifications. For instance, in one country all functions connected with water supply (which fall into the "Housing" function) may be undertaken by a single government agency, while in another country they may be distributed across the Ministries of Environment, Housing, and Industrial Development. In the latter case, three ministries have programs addressing water supply, so three ministries contribute to one function. Similarly, some administrative units may conduct activities that cut across more than one function. For instance, in the example above, some programs of the Ministry of Environment would also be classified in the "environmental protection" function.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by functional classification.

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by functional classification.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Dans ANNEXE 4 de ce même document (TOME 2), les dépenses sont présentées par Mission, Programme etc.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:

b. No, expenditures are not presented by functional classification.

Comments: Le budget n'est pas présentée suivant une classification fonctionnelle (de type COFOG - CFAP / Classification des Fonctions des Administrations Publiques). Une classification fonctionnelle est d'ailleurs en cours d'élaboration par la DGFAG a l'heure actuelle (2021).

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Pour garantir l'application cohérente de la méthodologie de l'enquête dans tous les pays, la réponse existante de « a » est maintenue.

3. If the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by functional classification, is the functional classification compatible with international standards?

GUIDELINES:

Question 3 asks whether a country's functional classification meets international standards. To answer "a," a country's functional classification must be aligned with the OECD and the UN's Classification of the Functions of Government (COFOG), or provide a cross-walk between the national functional presentation and COFOG.

The OECD Best Practices for Budget Transparency can be viewed at <http://www.oecd.org/gov/budgeting/Best%20Practices%20Budget%20Transparency%20-%20complete%20with%20cover%20page.pdf>

COFOG can be viewed at https://unstats.un.org/unsd/publication/SeriesM/SeriesM_84E.pdf or at <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/ch6ann.pdf>.

Answer:

b. No, the functional classification is not compatible with international standards, or expenditures are not presented by functional classification.

Source:

Standard international: Manuel des statistiques du FMI :
<https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/fra/pdf/all.pdf>

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Différence au niveau de la codification:

Exemple FMI : Les dépenses sont regroupées dans la Classe 7 (704 Affaires économiques) alors que pour le gouvernement de M/car les missions/Programmes suivent le code des Institutions/Département

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Comments: Les dépenses ne sont pas présentées suivant une classification fonctionnelle - la classification par mission / programme ou classification "programmatique" étant distincte d'une classification fonctionnelle. La réponse est donc plutôt "non applicable".

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Le commentaire de l'examineur pair est bien noté. S'il n'y a pas de présentation compatible avec COFOG – qu'il y ait une classification fonctionnelle différente ou non – la réponse applicable est "b".

4. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the budget year by economic classification?

GUIDELINES:

Question 4 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification. Economic classification provides information on the nature of the expenditure, such as whether funds are being used to pay for wages and salaries, capital projects, or social assistance benefits. Please note that a presentation of expenditures by current and capital expenditures without additional disaggregation or detail will not qualify as an economic classification.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for the budget year organized by economic classification.

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditures are presented by economic classification.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Pour les dépenses de l'annexe de ce document (TOME2) , il est procédé au regroupement par grandes catégories (Budget général, Budget annexe, Comptes Particuliers du Trésor etc..) au sein desquels sont précisés les natures des dépenses (Opérations courantes hors soldes, opérations courantes de soldes, etc.)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

5. If the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation presents expenditures for the budget year by economic classification, is the economic classification compatible with international standards?

GUIDELINES:

Question 5 asks whether a country's economic classification meets international standards. To answer "a," a country's economic classification must be consistent with the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) 2001 Government Finance Statistics (GFS). The GFS economic classification is presented here: <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/app4.pdf>. To learn more about Government Finance Statistics also refer to the entire IMF 2001 GFS manual (<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf>).

Answer:

a. Yes, the economic classification is compatible with international standards.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Les natures des dépenses (TOME2) sont relativement compatibles au niveau des regroupements avec les classifications internationales. Toutefois, les codes utilisés et les détails sont différents

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

6. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 6 asks whether expenditures are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should treat the term "program" as meaning any level of detail below an administrative unit – that is, any programmatic grouping that is below the ministry, department, or agency level. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures in the budget year. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Budget decisions for the upcoming year can also affect the parameters of future budgets. It is therefore useful to estimate revenues and expenditures for multi-year periods, understanding that these estimates might be revised as circumstances change. Sometimes referred to as a Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF), a three-year period – that is, the budget year plus two more years – is generally considered an appropriate horizon for budgeting and planning.

Answer:

a. Yes, programs accounting for all expenditures are presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

En annexe 4 (TOME2), les dépenses sont présentées par Missions à l'intérieur desquelles figurent les programmes individuels:

Exemple Programme "138 Appui à la gouvernance et à l'état de droit de la Présidence "

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

7. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 7 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on.

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer “b,” multi-year expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A “c” answer applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” applies if multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:

a. Yes, multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Même présentation que par le passé

Pour ces trois types de classification, les dépenses prévoient la réalisation de l'année N-1 , la prévision N+1 et N+2 (Cf. TOME 2 Annexe 4 et 6)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Comments: Les dépenses sont présentées par classification économique, administrative et programmatique, mais pas par une classification fonctionnelle.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Pour garantir l'application cohérente de la méthodologie de l'enquête dans tous les pays, la réponse existante de « a » est maintenue.

7b. Based on the response to Question 7, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for a multi-year period in the Executive’s Budget Proposal?

Answer:

Administrative classification

Economic classification

Functional classification

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME3
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>
Errata
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:
Cf TOME2

Pour ces trois types de classification, les dépenses prévoient la réalisation de l'année N-1, la prévision N+1 et N+2 (Annexe 4 et 6)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Classification administrative Classification économique

Comments: La classification fonctionnelle n'a pas été utilisée.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Pour garantir l'application cohérente de la méthodologie de l'enquête dans tous les pays, la réponse existante de « Administrative classification, Economic classification et Functional classification » est maintenue.

8. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditure estimates for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year) by program?

GUIDELINES:

Question 8 asks if multi-year expenditure estimates are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable détaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures over the multi-year period. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if multi-year estimates are not presented by program.

Revenues generally are separated into two major categories: "tax" and "non-tax" revenues. Taxes are compulsory transfers that result from government exercising its sovereign power. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes. The category of non-tax revenues is more diverse, ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services. Note that some forms of revenue, such as contributions to social security funds, can be considered either a tax or non-tax revenue depending on the nature of the approach to these contributions. Particularly because different revenues have different characteristics, including who bears the burden of paying the tax and how collections are affected by economic conditions, it is helpful when estimates for revenues are disaggregated and displayed based on their sources.

For more information, please refer to the 2001 GFS manual, in particular Appendix 4 (<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/app4.pdf>).

Answer:

a. Yes, multi-year estimates for programs accounting for all expenditures are presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>
Errata
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:
Dans TOME 2
En annexe 4 et 6
Les dépenses prévoient les prévisions N+1 et N+2

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

9. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of tax revenue (such as income tax or VAT) for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 9 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The largest sources of tax revenue in some countries are taxes on personal and business income and taxes on goods and services, such as sales or value-added taxes.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of tax revenue are not presented.

Answer:
d. No, individual sources of tax revenue are not presented.

Source:
Projet de loi
Corps de projet de loi
http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf
Document publié le 17/11/2020
Les annexes
TOME1
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME2
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME3
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>
Errata
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:
TOME 2
Les prévisions des recettes fiscales ne sont pas détaillées, sans TVA

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

10. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present the individual sources of non-tax revenue (such as grants, property income, and sales of government-produced goods and services) for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 10 assesses the degree to which the individual sources of "non-tax" revenue are disaggregated in the budget. The category of non-tax revenues is diverse, and can include revenue ranging from grants from international institutions and foreign governments to funds raised through the sale of government-provided goods and services.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all individual sources of non-tax revenue for the budget year, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all non-tax revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present individual sources of non-tax revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all non-tax revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents individual sources of non-tax revenue that account for less than two-thirds of non-tax revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of non-tax revenue are not presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, individual sources of non-tax revenue accounting for all non-tax revenue are presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Cf TOME 2

Les prévisions des recettes fiscales ne sont pas détaillées selon cette présentation

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Commentaire a revoir puisqu'on parle pour cette questions des previsions de recettes NON fiscales.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, individual sources of non-tax revenue accounting for all non-tax revenue are presented.

Comments: Les détails des recettes non fiscales sont disponible dans le TOME II , page 429

Researcher Response

Note révisée à la hausse "d" à "a" car on parle effectivement des recettes non fiscales comme les "Dividendes", "produits des immobilisations financières", "redevances de pêche" et "recettes des ministères" dans TOME II, page 429.

11. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 11 evaluates whether revenue estimates are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year) by "category," that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of revenues classified by category for at least two years following the budget year in question.

Answer:

a. Yes, multi-year estimates of revenue are presented by category.

Source:

Comment:

Les mêmes présentations que les dépenses ont été conservées pour les recettes qui prévoient les ressources pour N+1 et N+2

Voir la " Synthèse Prévision Recettes " à la page 9 du TOME 2

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

12. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates for individual sources of revenue presented for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 12 evaluates whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented for a multi-year period (at least two years beyond the budget year). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present multi-year estimates of individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents multi-year estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented for a multi-year period.

Answer:

d. No, multi-year estimates for individual sources of revenue are not presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

A l'instar de la TVA précédemment commentée, les recettes sont présentés de façon globale par Institutions/Ministère (Annexe 3) et non détaillée pour faire apparaître leur nature individuelle

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

13. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 13 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt that the budget should include:

- the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;
- the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies no information on borrowing and debt is presented for the budget year.

Answer:

c. Yes, one of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Pour l'année budgétaire, cette situation relative à la dette n'est pas encore présentée

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, one of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Comments: Le tableau A-3 page 52 du tome I du PLF 2021 presente le service de la dette pour l'exercice 2021 (173,1 Mds d'Ariary d'interets sur la dette exterieure, 309,4 Mds sur la dette interieure). L'annexe 14 du tome II (Strategie de la Dette a Moyen Terme) presente le besoin de financement BRUT des administrations publiques pour 2021 et les annees suivantes, mais pas le besoin de financement net. L'evolution du stock de dette anticipee pour la fin 2021 n'est pas presentee mais simplement l'evolution du stock de dette anticipee a fin 2023.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, all three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Comments: Les informations relatives à la Dette publique sont disponible dans le TOME II "Stratégie de la dette à moyen terme" (à partir de la page 436)

IBP Comment

L'information mise en évidence par le pair évaluateur est bien reçue. La réponse est révisée de "d" à "c".

13b. Based on the response to Question 13, check the box(es) below to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt are presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

The interest payments on outstanding debt for the budget year

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Pour l'année budgétaire, cette situation relative à la dette n'est pas encore présentée

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Le service de la dette (interets externes et internes) anticipé pour 2021 est présente dans le projet de budget pour 2021.

Comments: Cf. Le tableau A-3 page 52 du tome I du PLF 2021, qui présente le service de la dette pour l'exercice 2021 (173,1 Mds d'Ariary d'interets sur la dette extérieure, 309,4 Mds sur la dette intérieure).

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Voir TOME II, Stratégie de la dette à moyen terme

IBP Comment

Selon le commentaire "IBP Staff" sur la question 13, la réponse est révisée de « None of the above » à « The interest payments on outstanding debt for the budget year ».

14. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information related to the composition of the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year?"

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 14 focuses on the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 13, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens, banks, and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt at the end of the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding at the end of the budget year.

Answer:

d. No, information related to composition of total debt outstanding is not presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Voir commentaires des questions 13 : critère utilisé "Année budgétaire"

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: L'annexe 14 du Tome II (pages 430-483) intitulée "Stratégie de Dette à Moyen Terme 2021-2023" présente, outre des éléments sur le taux d'intérêt, le profil de maturité et la division entre dette domestique et dette extérieure, des éléments précis sur la stratégie de gestion de la dette, notamment une discussion sur plusieurs scénarios possibles pour l'évolution de la dette et des risques associés. Mais elle ne présente pas le stock de dette à fin 2021. À noter que l'annexe 10 du Tome II (page 379-406) présente également le détail du stock de dette publique à fin 2020 et un programme indicatif 2021 de recours à l'emprunt, ainsi qu'une analyse de viabilité de la dette, mais cette annexe 10 ne présente pas le stock de dette à fin 2021 (simplement le stock fin 2023).

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for the composition of the total debt outstanding.

Comments: Cf. Tome II, stratégie de la dette publique à moyens terme

IBP Comment

À la lumière des commentaires fournis par l'examineur pair et le chercheur, la réponse existante de « d » est maintenue.

14b. Based on the response to Question 14, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the composition of the total debt outstanding are presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Au regard de la Question 14

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Cf. question 14.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer: Cf. Tome II stratégie de la dette à moyen terme

IBP Comment
À la lumière des commentaires fournis par l'examineur pair et le chercheur, la réponse existante de « None of the above » est maintenue.

15. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?"

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 15 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the budget's revenue and expenditure estimates, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

While the core macroeconomic information should be a standard feature of the Executive's Budget Proposal, the importance of some types of macroeconomic assumptions may vary from country to country. For example, the budget estimates of some countries are particularly affected by changes in the price of oil and other commodities.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short and long-term interest rates; rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:

a. Yes, information beyond the core elements is presented for the macroeconomic forecast.

Source:

Projet de loi
Corps de projet de loi
http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf
Document publié le 17/11/2020
Les annexes
TOME1
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME2
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME3
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>
Errata
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Cf TOME3 (Page 7) Tableau CADRAGE MACRO-BUDGETAIRE 2021 - 2023
pour : PIB nominal (Montant En milliards d'Ar), taux d'inflation, taux de croissance réel

Cf Corps du projet de loi pour le Taux d'intérêt (Page 20), DETTE INTERIEURE 2ème paragraphe : "Le taux d'intérêt moyen pondéré global servi sur les titres émis par le Trésor public est estimé à 9,0%."

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Cf. aussi le tome I "Performance" qui inclut en annexe 1 (pp. 5 - 56) un "Rapport définissant les conditions générales de la Situation Economique et Financière 2020 – 2022", contenant une présentation du cadre macro-economique et des grandes orientations du budget 2021.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

15b. Based on the response to Question 15, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast are included in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

Nominal GDP level

Inflation rate

Real GDP growth

Interest rates

Information beyond the core elements (please specify)

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Cf TOME3 (Page 7) Tableau CADRAGE MACRO-BUDGETAIRE 2021 - 2023

pour : PIB nominal (Montant En milliards d'Ar), taux d'inflation, taux de croissance réel

Cf Corps du projet de loi pour le Taux d'intérêt (Page 20), DETTE INTERIEURE 2ème paragraphe : "Le taux d'intérêt moyen pondéré global servi sur les titres émis par le Trésor public est estimé à 9,0%."

--- Information beyond the core elements : Cf TOME3 (Page 7) Tableau CADRAGE MACRO-BUDGETAIRE 2021 - 2023= Taux de pression fiscale, déficit

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Le corps du projet de loi (pages 6 a 8) comporte aussi des elements sur le taux de change et l'evolution de la masse monetaire. Le document precite en annexe 1 du tome 1 contient des elements plus detailles.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

16. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation show the impact of different macroeconomic assumptions (i.e., sensitivity analysis) on the budget?

(The core information must include estimates of the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 16 focuses on the issue of whether the Executive's Budget Proposal shows how different macroeconomic assumptions affect the budget estimates (known as a "sensitivity analysis"). It asks whether "core" information related to a sensitivity analysis is presented, estimating the impact on expenditures, revenue, and debt of different assumptions for:

- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

A sensitivity analysis shows the effect on the budget of possible changes in some macroeconomic assumptions, and is important for understanding the impact of the economy on the budget; for instance, what would happen to revenue collections if GDP growth were slower than what is assumed in the budget proposal? Or what would happen to expenditure if inflation were higher than estimated? Or how will revenue be affected by a decrease in the price of oil?

As noted for Question 15, changes in certain macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil and other commodities, can have a significant impact on the budget estimates. As a result, some sensitivity analyses may also examine the impact on the budget estimates of changes in assumptions such as the price of oil that are beyond the core elements of the inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to a "sensitivity analysis" as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to a "sensitivity analysis" is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on "sensitivity analysis" is presented.

Answer:

d. No, information related to different macroeconomic assumptions is not presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

CADRAGE MACRO-BUDGETAIRE 2019 - 2021 aucune analyse sensitive sur les agrégats présentés.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

17. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect expenditures?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals – whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people's lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer "b" for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of

whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

Answer:

b. Yes, estimates that show how all new policy proposals affect expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 2, Annexe 11: Impact budgétaire des nouvelles mesures, avec un même niveau d'informations que la dernière évaluation (2019)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

18. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information for at least the budget year that shows how new policy proposals, as distinct from existing policies, affect revenues?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 17 and 18 ask about new policy proposals in the budget. In any given year, most of the expenditures and revenues in the budget reflect the continuation of existing policies. However, much of the attention during the budget debate is focused on new proposals – whether they call for eliminating an existing program, introducing a new one, or changing an existing program at the margins. Typically, these new proposals are accompanied by an increase, a decrease, or a shift in expenditures or revenues. Because these changes may have different impacts on people's lives, the budget proposal should present sufficient detail about new policies and their budgetary impact.

Question 17 asks about new expenditure policies, and Question 18 asks about new revenue policies. To answer “a,” the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how all new policy proposals affect expenditures (for Question 17) or revenues (for Question 18) and a narrative discussion of the impact of these new policies. To answer “b” for either question, the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show the impact of all new policy proposals, but no narrative discussion is included. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show the impact of only some, but not all, policy proposals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on the impact of new policy proposals.

Prior-year information constitutes an important benchmark for assessing the proposals for the upcoming budget year. Estimates of prior years should be presented in the same formats (in terms of classification) as the budget year to ensure that year-to-year comparisons are meaningful. For example, if the budget proposes shifting responsibility for a particular program from one administrative unit to another – such as shifting responsibility for the training of nurses from the health department to the education department – the prior-year figures must be adjusted before year-to-year comparisons of administrative budgets can be made.

Typically, when the budget proposal is submitted, the year prior to the budget year (BY-1), also known as the current year, has not ended, so the executive will provide estimates of the anticipated outcome for BY-1. The soundness of these estimates is directly related to the degree to which they have been updated to reflect actual expenditures to date, legislative changes that have occurred, and anticipated changes in macroeconomic, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

The first year that can reflect actual outcomes, therefore, is generally two years before the budget year (BY-2). Thus the OECD recommends that data covering at least two years before the budget year (along with two years of projections beyond the budget year) are provided in order to assess fully the trends in the budget.

Answer:

b. Yes, estimates that show how all new policy proposals affect revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Source:
Projet de loi
Corps de projet de loi
http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf
Document publié le 17/11/2020
Les annexes
TOME1
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME2
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME3
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>
Errata
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:
TOME 2, Annexe 11: Impact budgétaire des nouvelles mesures, avec un même niveau d'informations que la dernière évaluation (2019)

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

19. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 19 asks if expenditure estimates for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) are presented by one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-1 by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates for BY-1 must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates for BY-1 are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:
a. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:
Corps de la loi LFI 2021
<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/CORPS-LFI-2021-Loi-n%C2%B02020-013-PROMULGUEE-SIGNEE-1.pdf>
ANNEXE TOME 2:
<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME2-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

Comment:
Dans les annexes 4 et 6, les estimations de dépenses de l'année antérieure (LFR 2020) ont été présentées

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 are presented by two of the three expenditure classifications.
Comments: Les dépenses de l'année antérieure sont présentées suivant les classifications administrative et économique (ainsi que suivant la classification programmatique) mais pas suivant la classification fonctionnelle qui n'existe pas.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment
Pour garantir l'application cohérente de la méthodologie de l'enquête dans tous les pays, la réponse existante de « a » est maintenue.

20. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 20 asks if expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-1. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-1. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Answer:

a. Yes, programs accounting for all expenditures are presented for BY-1.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 2

La présentation de BY-1 (LFR 2020) est identique à celle de l'année budgétaire ciblée (2021)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

21. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have expenditure estimates of the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated from the original enacted levels to reflect actual expenditures?

GUIDELINES:

Question 21 asks whether the expenditure estimates for the year before the budget year (BY-1) have been updated from the original enacted levels. Updates can reflect actual experience to date; revised estimates due to shifting of funds by the executive, as permitted under the law; enactment of supplemental budgets; and revised assumptions regarding macroeconomic conditions, caseload, and other relevant factors for the remainder of the year.

Answer "a" applies if the estimates have been updated; answer "b" applies if the original estimates are still being used.

Answer:

a. Yes, expenditure estimates for BY-1 have been updated from the original enacted levels.

Source:
Projet de loi
Corps de projet de loi
http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf
Document publié le 17/11/2020
Les annexes
TOME1
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME2
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME3
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>
Errata
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:
TOME 2, Annexe 4
La présentation mise-à-jour pour BY-1 est fait en utilisant les chiffres de la LFR 2020.
Ex: Synthèse prévision dépenses

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

22. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years) by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 22 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by any of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. (See Questions 1-5 above.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by any of the three classifications

Answer:
d. No, expenditure estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by any expenditure classification.

Source:
Corps de la loi LFI 2021
<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/CORPS-LFI-2021-Loi-n%C2%B0-2020-013-PROMULGUEE-SIGNEE-1.pdf>
ANNEXE TOME 1 :
<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME1-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>
ANNEXE TOME 2:
<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME2-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>
ANNEXE TOME 3 :
<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME3-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

Comment:
La présentation des dépenses s'arrête en Loi rectificative 2020. Pas de présentation de dépenses pour 2019.
Cf. TOME 2 qui présente toutes les dépenses budgétaires

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

22b. Based on the response to Question 22, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications have estimates for more than one year prior to the budget year in the Executive Budget Proposal:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

Corps de la loi LFI 2021

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/CORPS-LFI-2021-Loi-n%C2%B0-2020-013-PROMULGUEE-SIGNEE-1.pdf>

ANNEXE TOME 1 :

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME1-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

ANNEXE TOME 2:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME2-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

ANNEXE TOME 3 :

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME3-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

Comment:

Présentation limitée à l'année BY-1

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

23. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present expenditures for individual programs for more than one year preceding the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 23 asks if expenditure estimates for more than one year before the budget year (BY-2 and prior years) are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department. For example, the Ministry of Health's budget could be broken down into several subgroups, such as "primary health care," "hospitals," or "administration." These subgroups should be considered programs even if they could be, but are not, broken down into smaller, more detailed units.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable détaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures, for BY-2 and prior years. To answer "b," the programs shown individually in the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation must account for at least two-thirds of all expenditures for BY-2 and prior years. A "c" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or its supporting documentation presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program.

Answer:

d. No, expenditures are not presented by program for BY-2 and prior years.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>
Errata
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:
TOME 2
Limitée à BY-1

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

24. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all expenditures reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Question 24 asks for which year the actual outcomes for expenditures are shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated all its expenditure data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.

For an "a" answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

Answer:

d. No actual data for all expenditures are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

RAS

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Comments: La depense effective n'est pas presentee au niveau fin ; elle n'est pas non plus presentee au niveau agrege dans le CBMT, dont le point de depart est le PLFR 2020.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

25. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax) for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-1 are presented by category.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 3

CADRAGE MACRO-BUDGETAIRE 2021 - 2023 (Page 7)

Colonne LFR 2020

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

26. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

d. No, individual sources of revenue are not presented for BY-1.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Aucune information comme TVA pour la présentation des revenus fiscaux de BY-1 (Même présentation que le budget)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Le tableau precite du CBMT 2021-2023 distingue simplement entre recettes des Douanes et des Impots, mais pas par source de recettes prises individuellement.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

27. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, have the original estimates of revenue for the year prior to the budget year (BY-1) been updated to reflect actual revenue collections?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

a. Yes, revenue estimates for BY-1 have been updated from the original enacted levels.

Source:

Corps de la loi LFI 2021

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/CORPS-LFI-2021-Loi-n%C2%B0-2020-013-PROMULGUEE-SIGNEE-1.pdf>

ANNEXE TOME 1 :

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME1-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

ANNEXE TOME 2:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME2-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

ANNEXE TOME 3 :

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME3-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 2 : annexe 5 sur les revenus : informations mises à jour

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Mise a jour effectuee sur la base de la LFR 2020, comme pour les depenses.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

28. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax) for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

b. No, revenue estimates for BY-2 and prior years are not presented by category.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 2

Présentation limitée comme en dépenses à BY-1

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

29. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present individual sources of revenue for more than one year prior to the budget year (that is, BY-2 and prior years)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

d. No, individual sources of revenue are not presented for BY-2 and prior years.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 2

Présentation limitée comme en dépenses à BY-1

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

30. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which all revenues reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 25 through 30 cover the same topics about prior-year information as the previous six questions, only they ask about information provided for revenues rather than expenditures.

Answer:

d. No actual data for all revenues are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 2

Présentation limitée comme en dépenses à BY-1

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

31. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1)?"

(The core information must include the total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1; the amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1; interest payments on the debt; interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 31 focuses on prior-year debt information, rather than on prior-year expenditures or revenues, asking whether "core" information is provided on government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the year preceding the budget year (BY-1).

The "core" information includes:

- *total debt outstanding at the end of BY-1;*
- *amount of net new borrowing required during BY-1;*
- *interest payments on the debt;*
- *interest rates on the debt instruments;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether it is domestic or external debt.*

This core information for BY-1 is consistent with the budget year information for borrowing and debt, which is examined in Questions 13 and 14. Please note that for the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

In addition, some governments provide information beyond the core elements, such as gross new borrowing required during BY-1; currency of the debt; whether the debt carries a fixed or variable interest rate; whether it is callable; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for BY-1 as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to government borrowing and debt, including its composition, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on government borrowing and debt for BY-1.

Answer:

a. Yes, information beyond the core elements is presented for government debt.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 2

Présentation de l'année budgétaire seulement

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, information beyond the core elements is presented for government debt.

Comments: L'annexe 14 SDMT (Tome II du PLF) présente : - la structure du portefeuille de dette publique à la fin 2020 (estime à 21 990.6 Mds MGA) - page 455 - le taux d'intérêt sur la dette à fin 2020 - page 456 - le coût de la dette (2.1 pc du PIB) - page 458 - la répartition entre dette intérieure et extérieure - page 457/458 - le besoin de financement brut pour l'année 2020 - pages 449-451 - des éléments sur les risques de taux de change, de refinancement, de taux d'intérêt - pages 458/459 - des éléments sur la maturité de la dette (3.1 années pour la dette intérieure)

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Les informations mises en évidence par l'examineur pair sont bien notées ; la réponse est révisée de "d" à "a" en conséquence.

32. In the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation, what is the most recent year presented for which the debt figures reflect actual outcomes?

GUIDELINES:

Question 32 asks for which year the actual outcome for total debt outstanding is shown. In most cases, the most recent year for which budget data on actual outcomes are available will be BY-2, as BY-1 is generally not yet finished when the budget proposal is drafted. So a government that has updated its debt data for BY-2 to reflect what actually occurred, as opposed to estimating the outcome for that year, shows good public financial management practice.

For an "a" answer, a country must meet the good practice of having the figures for BY-2 reflect actual outcomes.

It is essential that all government activities that may have an impact on the budget – in the current budget year or in future budget years – be fully disclosed to the legislature and the public in budget documents. In some countries, for instance, entities outside central government (such as public corporations) undertake fiscal activities that could affect current and future budgets. Similarly, activities that can have a significant impact on the budget, such as payment arrears and contingent liabilities, sometimes are not properly captured by the regular presentations of expenditure, revenue, and debt.

Answer:

d. No actual data for government debt are presented in the budget or supporting budget documentation.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 2

Présentation de l'année budgétaire seulement

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Ni la SDMT (annexe 14 tome II du PLF) ni l'annexe 10 sur la dette publique ne présentent le stock de dette à la fin décembre 2019.

L'annexe I du tome I (rapport définissant les conditions générales de la situation économique et financière 2020-2022) présente dans le tableau A-4 les intérêts dus en 2019 (106.8 dette extérieure, 249.2 dette intérieure) mais le stock de dette à fin 2019 n'est pas rappelé.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

33. "Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on extra-budgetary funds for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund; and complete income, expenditure, and financing data on a gross basis.)"

GUIDELINES:

Question 33 focuses on extra-budgetary funds, asking whether "core" information related to these funds, which exist outside the budget, are presented. These core components include:

- *a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the extra-budgetary fund (i.e., why was a particular fund set up? what is it used for?); and*
- *estimates of its income, expenditure, and financing. (These estimates should be presented on a gross basis so that it is possible to tell how much money flows through each extra-budgetary fund.)*

In most countries, governments engage in certain budgetary activities that are not included in the central government's budget. Known as extra-budgetary funds, they can range in size and scope. For example, countries frequently set up pension and social security programs as extra-budgetary funds, where the revenues collected and the benefits paid are recorded in a separate fund outside the budget. Another example of an extra-budgetary fund can be found in countries dependent on hydrocarbon/mineral resources, where revenues from producing and selling those resources are channeled through systems outside the annual budget.

In some cases, the separation engendered by an extra-budgetary fund serves a legitimate political purpose, and the finances and activities of these funds are well documented. In other cases, however, this structure is used for obfuscation, and little or nothing is known about a fund's finances and activities.

The availability of information related to extra-budgetary funds is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the government's true fiscal position. In addition to the core information, other information about extra-budgetary funds is also desirable. Such information includes a discussion of the risks associated with the extra-budgetary fund; expenditures classified by economic, functional, or administrative unit; and the rules and procedures that govern the operations and management of the extra-budgetary fund.

For more information about extra-budgetary funds, see the Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and Principle 2.1.1 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to extra-budgetary funds as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. A "b" answer applies if the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation presents all of the core information. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to extra-budgetary funds is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on extra-budgetary funds.

Please provide in the comments a list of all known extra-budgetary funds.

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements or some extra-budgetary funds.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Tous les fonds extrabudgétaires ne sont pas présentés: Les prévisions sur les fonds spéciaux des chefs d'Institutions ne sont pas partagées. Par contre des informations sur la JIRAMA et AIRMAD ont été fournies: TOME 2 - Page 3 du RAPPORT SUR L'ANALYSE DE VIABILITE DE LA DETTE DE MADAGASCAR :

Il a été indiqué: La première FCR d'un montant de 165,93 millions USD a été ajoutée à la dette intérieure du fait qu'elle a été rétrocédée en Ariary par la BFM à l'Etat. Ont également été intégrés à la dette intérieure (i) les garanties octroyées à Air Madagascar et (ii) les passifs de la JIRAMA et de la Compagnie Air Madagascar pour la période 2019-2020. Pour la JIRAMA, les passifs de 2019 et de 2020 ont été estimés linéairement compte tenu de ses états financiers de 2014 à 2017. Pour Air Madagascar, l'estimation concerne uniquement l'année 2020, les données antérieures étant disponibles.

Corps du Projet de loi (Page 4)

Presque tous les ans. Pour 2021, les appuis techniques et stratégiques en faveur du secteur énergétique seront priorisés dans la présente LFI. L'État promeut la production et le transport d'énergies non fossiles afin de renforcer la souveraineté économique nationale et de raffermir les bases de l'Émergence. Le soutien au redressement de la JIRAMA (Société d'État de distribution de l'électricité et de l'eau) sera également poursuivi.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Certains elements relatifs a des fonds extra-budgetaires se retrouvent egalement dans l'annexe 18 (Risques budgetaires) du tome II, en ce qui concerne l'equilibre des caisses de retraite.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

34. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 34 asks whether the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documents present the finances of the central government on a consolidated basis, showing both its budgetary and extra-budgetary activities. Virtually all of the questions in the OBS questionnaire focus on budgetary central government – the activities of the ministries, departments, or agencies of central government. In addition, Question 33 asks about extra-budgetary funds, such as social security funds that are not included in the budget.

Coverage is an important aspect of fiscal reporting. Budget documents should cover the full scope of government's financial activity. In many countries, extra-budgetary activities are substantial, and can represent a sizable share of the central government's activities. To get a full picture of the central government's finances, therefore, it is necessary to examine both activities that are included in the budget and those that are extra-budgetary. This question asks whether such a consolidated presentation of central government finances is provided.

The central government is only one component of the overall public sector. The public sector also includes other levels of government, such as state and local government, and public corporations. (See Box 2.1 under Principle 1.1.1 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018): <https://www.eLibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>. For the purpose of answering this question, please consider only the central government level.

In order to answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present central government finances (both budgetary and extra-budgetary) on a consolidated basis for at least the budget year.

Answer:

b. No, central government finances are not presented on a consolidated basis.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:
Pas de consolidation

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

35. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of intergovernmental transfers for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 35 asks about intergovernmental transfers. In many cases, the central government supports the provision of a good or service by a lower level of government through an intergovernmental transfer of funds. This is necessary because, independent from the level of administrative decentralization that exists in a given country, the capacity for revenue collection of a local government is unlikely to be sufficient to pay for all its expenses. However, because the activity is not being undertaken by an administrative unit of the central government, it is unlikely to receive the same level of review in the budget. Thus it is important to include in the budget proposal a statement that explicitly indicates the amount and purposes of these transfers.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all intergovernmental transfers and a narrative discussing these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all intergovernmental transfers are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, intergovernmental transfers (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of intergovernmental transfers are presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, estimates of some but not all intergovernmental transfers are presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 2, Annexe 16, on a un Tableau de subvention aux communes sans préciser plus d'informations explicatives à ce sujet. Par exemple pourquoi les communes ne perçoivent pas le même montant pour les Subventions pour les Ecoles Primaires Publiques (EPP) si sur les Subventions pour les Centres de Santé de Base (CSB)

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

36. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present alternative displays of expenditures (such as by gender, by age, by income, or by region) to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens, for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 36 asks about “alternative displays” of expenditures that highlight the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens. As discussed above, expenditures are typically presented by at least one of three classifications – administrative, functional, and economic classifications (see Questions 1-5) – and by individual program (Question 6). In addition, governments can provide alternative displays to emphasize different aspects of expenditure policies and to show who benefits from these expenditures.

For the purpose of answering this question, the alternative presentation must differ from the presentations (such as administrative, functional, or economic classifications or presentation by program) used to answer other questions. The alternative display can cover all expenditures or only a portion of expenditures. For instance, it can show how all expenditures are distributed according to geographic region or it can show how selected expenditures (such as the health budget or the agriculture budget) are distributed to different regions. But such a geographic display must be something different than the presentation of intergovernmental transfers used to answer question 35. One exception is when a country includes a special presentation of all policies intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (and is used to answer Question 52) then that can be considered an alternative display for purposes of answering this question as well. Finally, brief fact sheets showing how proposals in the budget benefit particular groups would be insufficient; only more detailed presentations would be considered.

The IBP Budget Brief, “How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget’s Impact on Poverty and Inequality?” (<https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf>) includes a discussion of the importance of alternative displays of budget information and provides a number of examples. For instance,

- Bangladesh in its 2017-18 Budget included a detailed supplementary Gender Budgeting Report, which presents the spending dedicated to advancing women across various departments. (<https://mof.portal.gov.bd/site/page/3bb14732-b5b1-44df-9921-efedf1496295>).
- The UK’s 2017 budget included a supplementary analysis that provided a distributional analysis of the budget by households in different income groups (see https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/661465/distributional_analysis_autumn_budget_2017.pdf)
- South Africa’s 2017 Budget Review goes beyond the standard presentation of intergovernmental transfers, discussing the redistribution that results from national revenue flowing to the provinces and municipalities and presenting the allocations on a per capita basis (see chapter 6, <http://www.treasury.gov.za/documents/national%20budget/2017/review/FullBR.pdf>).

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least three different presentations that illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens for at least the budget year. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must include at least two different alternative displays of expenditures. A “c” applies if only one type of alternative display of expenditure is presented. Answer “d” applies if no alternative display of expenditure is presented.

Answer:

d. No, alternative displays of expenditures are not presented to illustrate the financial impact of policies on different groups of citizens.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 1

Les indicateurs des programmes proposés dans ce document constituent les critères d'évaluation de performance donc des impacts de l'effectivité desdits programmes, sans aucune présentation de dépenses (par sexe, par âge, par revenu ou par région) pour illustrer l'impact financier des politiques sur les différents groupes de citoyens, au moins pour l'exercice budgétaire.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

36b. Based on the response to Question 36, select the box(es) below to identify which types of alternative displays are included in the Executive's Budget Proposal:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Cf commentaire précédent

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

37. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of transfers to public corporations for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 37 asks about transfers to public corporations. It is often the case that governments have a stake in enterprises that manage resources that are particularly relevant for the public good (such as electricity, water, and oil). While these public corporations can operate independently, in some cases the government will provide direct support by making transfers to these corporations, including to subsidize capital investment and operating expenses.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all transfers to public corporations and a narrative discussing the purposes of these transfers. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all transfers to public corporations are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, transfers to public corporations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "c" also applies if estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented as a single line item. Answer "d" applies if no estimates of transfers to public corporations are presented.

Please provide in the comments a list of all known public corporations.

Answer:

c. Yes, estimates of some but not all transfers to public corporations are presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Page 18 du Corps de Projet de loi: on voit seulement:

Au niveau de la sous-rubrique des transferts et subventions, un total de 1 344.4 milliards d'Ariary est estimé pour 2021, si la LFR 2020 prévoyait 1 191.9 milliards d'Ariary. Outre les dépenses à caractères stratégiques que l'Etat doit supporter, notamment le renflouement de la CPR/CRCM, les subventions accordées à la JIRAMA et le règlement des factures pétrolières liées à la production d'électricité au niveau des centrales thermiques, une économie importante a été obtenue au niveau de cette rubrique grâce aux réformes adoptées dans la gestion des établissements publics nationaux.

Voir également la page 420 du TOME2 - "PAGOSE - REDRESSEMENT ET RESTRUCTURATION DE LA JIRAMA - AGEX JIRAMA"

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

38. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity and the intended beneficiaries.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 38 focuses on quasi-fiscal activities, asking whether "core" information related to such activities is presented. These core components include:

- *A statement of purpose or policy rationale for the quasi-fiscal activity (i.e., what is the reason for engaging in this activity?);*
- *The identification of intended beneficiaries of the quasi-fiscal activity.*

The term "quasi-fiscal activities" refers to a broad range of activities that are fiscal in character and could be carried out through the regular budget process but are not. For example, a quasi-fiscal activity could take place if, instead of providing a direct subsidy through the budget for a particular activity, a public financial institution provides an indirect subsidy by offering loans at below-market rates for that activity. Similarly, it is a quasi-fiscal activity when an enterprise provides goods or services at prices below commercial rates to certain individuals or groups to support the government's policy goals.

The above examples are policy choices that may be approved by the government and legislature. However, quasi-fiscal activities can also involve activities that violate or circumvent a country's budget process laws or are not subject to the regular legislative approval process for expenditures. For example, the executive may issue an informal order to a government entity, such as a public commercial enterprise, to provide the executive with goods and services that normally would have to be purchased with funding authorized by the legislature. All quasi-fiscal activities should be disclosed to the public and subject to public scrutiny.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about quasi-fiscal activities, including for example: the anticipated duration of the quasi-fiscal activity; a quantification of the activity and the assumptions that support these estimates; and a discussion of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the activity, including the impact on the entity carrying out the activity. Principle 3.3.2 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>) provides examples of quasi-fiscal activities that can be consulted as needed. And more details on quasi-fiscal activities can be found in the Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to all quasi-fiscal activities for at least the budget year as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to quasi-fiscal activities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on quasi-fiscal activities.

If quasi-fiscal activities do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark "e." However, please exercise caution in answering this question.

Answer:

d. No, information related to quasi-fiscal activities is not presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Aucune information fournie

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

39. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on financial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a listing of the assets, and an estimate of their value.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 39 focuses on financial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. These core components include:

- *A listing of the financial assets; and*
- *An estimate of their value.*

Governments own financial assets such as cash, bonds, or equities. Unlike private sector businesses, however, few governments maintain balance sheets that show the value of their assets and liabilities.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about financial assets, including for example: a discussion of their purpose; historical information on defaults; differences between reported values and market values; and a summary of financial assets as part of the government's balance sheet.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to all financial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to financial assets is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on financial assets held by the government.

Answer:

d. No, information related to financial assets is not presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 2, Annexe 15: LISTE DES SOCIETES A PARTICIPATION DE L'ETAT (07/05/2020)

Et non pour 2021

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements or some financial assets.

Comments: La liste des participations de l'Etat - sans elements sur leur valeur réelle, mais simplement avec le pourcentage du capital detenu et la valeur nominale des actions ou parts sociales - est effectivement presentee en annexe 15 du tome II. Meme si elle date du 07 mai 2020 et aurait pu utilement etre produite a une date plus rapprochee du depot du PLF, cette liste apporte tout de meme certains elements d'information sur les actifs financiers de l'Etat.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the core information is presented for all financial assets.

Comments: Les informations relatives aux actifs financiers de l'Etat sont disponible dans le TOME II, page 488

IBP Comment

Le tableau cité par les évaluateurs externes (qui est également mentionné par le chercheur) est bien noté. Cependant, étant donné que les chiffres qu'il contient ne concernent pas l'exercice 2021, la réponse « d » est maintenue.

40. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on nonfinancial assets held by the government for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a listing of the assets by category.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 40 focuses on nonfinancial assets held by the government, asking whether "core" information related to these assets is presented. The core information is a listing of nonfinancial assets, grouped by the type (or category) of asset.

Nonfinancial assets are things of value that the government owns or controls (excluding financial assets) such as land, buildings, and machinery. The valuation of public nonfinancial assets can be problematic, particularly in cases where the asset is not typically available on the open market (such as a government monument). In these cases, it is considered acceptable to provide summary information in budget documents from a country's register of assets. But, in some cases, governments are able to value their nonfinancial assets; some present a summary of nonfinancial assets as part of their balance sheets. For an example of how nonfinancial assets are presented in one of the many supporting documents to the New Zealand Executive's Budget Proposal, see the Forecast Financial Statement 2011, Notes to the Financial Statements (Continued), Note 14, accessible here: <https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2011-05/befu11-pt6of8.pdf>.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year a listing by category of all nonfinancial assets held by the government as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to nonfinancial assets is presented, but some nonfinancial assets are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on nonfinancial assets held by the government.

Answer:

d. No, information related to nonfinancial assets is not presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Les documents budgétaires présentent généralement les opérations de flux, comptabilisés dans les comptes des charges et produits ainsi que quelques comptes d'immobilisation. Aucune situation des stocks n'a été présenté, sauf les emprunts qui se trouvent en passif.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

41. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of expenditure arrears for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 41 asks about estimates of expenditure arrears, which arise when government has entered into a commitment to spend funds but has not made the payment when it is due. (For more information see sections 3.49-3.50 of the IMF's GFS Manual 2001, <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/gfs/manual/pdf/all.pdf> (page 29)). Though equivalent to borrowing, this liability is often not recorded in the budget, making it difficult to assess fully a government's financial position. Moreover, the obligation to repay this debt affects the government's ability to pay for other activities.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all expenditure arrears and a narrative discussing the arrears. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all expenditure arrears are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, expenditure arrears (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of expenditure arrears are presented. Answer "d" also applies if information is only available for the changes in arrears, and not the stock or balance of arrears.

If expenditure arrears do not represent a significant problem in your country, please mark "e." However, please exercise caution in answering this question. Public expenditure management laws and regulations often will allow for reasonable delays, perhaps 30 or 60 days, in the routine payment of invoices due. Expenditure arrears impacting a small percentage of expenditure that are due to contractual disputes should not be considered a significant problem for the purpose of answering this question.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of expenditure arrears are not presented.

Source:

Projet de loi
Corps de projet de loi
http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf
Document publié le 17/11/2020
Les annexes
TOME1
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME2
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME3
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>
Errata
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Les estimations des arriérés de dépenses ne sont pas présentées dans les documents budgétaires.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree
Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, estimates of all expenditure arrears are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Comments: Cette information est disponible dans le TOME I, Tableau A-3, page 43, "Variations arriérés intérieures"

IBP Comment

Le commentaire de l'examineur du gouvernement est bien noté. Pour garantir l'application cohérente de la méthodologie de l'enquête dans tous les pays, seuls les stocks/soldes d'arriérés sont acceptés, plutôt que les flux/variations. La réponse existante de "d" est maintenue.

42. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on contingent liabilities, such as government loan

guarantees or insurance programs, for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability; the new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments (the gross exposure) at the end of the budget year.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 42 focuses on contingent liabilities, asking whether “core” information related to these liabilities is presented. These core components include:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each contingent liability;
- the new contingent liabilities for the budget year, such as new guarantees or insurance commitments proposed for the budget year; and
- the total amount of outstanding guarantees or insurance commitments at the end of the budget year. This reflects the gross exposure of the government in the case that all guarantees or commitments come due (even though that may be unlikely to occur).

Contingent liabilities are recognized under a cash accounting method only when the contingent event occurs and the payment is made. An example of such liabilities is the case of loans guaranteed by the central government, which can include loans to state-owned banks and other state-owned commercial enterprises, subnational governments, or private enterprises. Under such guarantees, government will only make a payment if the borrower defaults. Thus a key issue for making quantitative estimates of these liabilities is assessing the likelihood of the contingency occurring.

In the budget, according to the OECD, “[w]here feasible, the total amount of contingent liabilities should be disclosed and classified by major category reflecting their nature; historical information on defaults for each category should be disclosed where available. In cases where contingent liabilities cannot be quantified, they should be listed and described.”

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about contingent liabilities, including for example: historical default rates for each program, and likely default rates in the future; the maximum guarantee that is authorized by law; any special financing associated with the guarantee (e.g., whether fees are charged, whether a reserve fund exists for the purpose of paying off guarantees, etc.); the duration of each guarantee; and an estimate of the fiscal significance and potential risks associated with the guarantees.

For more details on contingent liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and page 59 (Box 11) and Principle 3.2.3 of the IMF’s Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year all of the core information related to contingent liabilities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer “b,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer “b” is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A “c” answer applies if some information related to contingent liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on contingent liabilities.

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements or some contingent liabilities.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Dernière partie du Projet de Loi

ARTICLE 26 Plafond d’endettement Dans la présente Loi des Finances 2021, le montant maximal d’emprunts extérieurs pouvant être contractés par le Gouvernement Central se chiffre à 10 675,0 milliards d’Ariary. Le montant maximal des garanties sur emprunt susceptibles d’être accordées par l’Etat est fixé à 100 milliards d’Ariary. En contrepartie de la garantie octroyée, le Trésor Public est autorisé à percevoir auprès de tous les nouveaux bénéficiaires de garantie une commission de garantie

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: La déclaration des risques budgétaires (Tome II annexe 18, p 553) présente également des éléments sur le stock de garanties publiques relatives à Air Madagascar et le risque maximum estimé pour 2021 (19,66 milliards d’Ariary) ainsi que sur la perspective de souscrire une autre garantie relative à State Procurement of Madagascar (SPM).

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

43. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present projections that assess the government's future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer term?

(The core information must cover a period of at least 10 years and include the macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used and a discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 43 focuses on government's future liabilities and the sustainability of its finances over the longer-term, asking whether "core" information related to these issues is presented. These core components must include:

- *Projections that cover a period of at least 10 years.*
- *The macroeconomic and demographic assumptions used in making the projections.*
- *A discussion of the fiscal implications and risks highlighted by the projections. Good public financial management calls for budgets to include fiscal sustainability analyses.*

The IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>) recommends that governments regularly publish the projected evolution of the public finances over the longer term (see Principle 3.1.3.). Future liabilities are a particularly important element when assessing the sustainability of public finances over the long term. Future liabilities are the result of government commitments that, unlike contingent liabilities, are virtually certain to occur at some future point and result in an expenditure. A typical example consists of government obligations to pay pension benefits or cover health care costs of future retirees. Under a cash accounting system, only current payments associated with such obligations are recognized in the budget. To capture the future impact on the budget of these liabilities, a separate statement is required.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about the sustainability of their finances, including for example: projections that cover 20 or 30 years; multiple scenarios with different sets of assumptions; assumptions about other factors (such as the depletion of natural resources) that go beyond just the core macroeconomic and demographic data; and a detailed presentation of particular programs that have long time horizons, such as civil service pensions.

For more details on future liabilities, see Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core information related to future liabilities and the sustainability of government finances over the longer term as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to future liabilities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on future liabilities and the sustainability of government's finances

Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Aucune projection n'a été faite sur ni les passifs potentiels ni sur la viabilité de ses finances à long termes.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, information is presented, but it excludes some core elements.

Comments: Une analyse de viabilité de la dette (AVD) sur 10 ans (2021-2031) est intégrée à l'annexe 10 Dette publique du Tome II du PLF. Cette AVD n'inclut toutefois pas d'éléments précis sur les impacts de la démographie sur les passifs potentiels, alors même que l'annexe sur les risques budgétaires (annexe 18 du même tome II) souligne les risques liés aux déséquilibres du système de retraite.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Le commentaire de l'examineur pair est bien noté- la réponse est révisée de "d" à "c".

44. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of the sources of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind, for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 44 asks about estimates of donor assistance, both financial and in-kind assistance. Such assistance is considered non-tax revenue, and the sources of this assistance should be explicitly identified. In terms of in-kind assistance, the concern is primarily with the provision of goods (particularly those for which there is a market that would allow goods received as in-kind aid to be sold, thereby converting them into cash) rather than with in-kind aid like advisors from a donor country providing technical assistance.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all donor assistance and a narrative discussing the assistance. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all donor assistance are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, donor assistance (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "c" also applies if the sources of donor assistance are not presented, but the total amount of donor assistance is presented as a single line item. Answer "d" applies if no estimates of donor assistance are presented. Select answer "e" if your country does not receive donor assistance.

Answer:

b. Yes, estimates of all sources of donor assistance are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Page 20 du Corps du Projet de loi

FCV

TOME 2

Annexe 12

Tableau intitulé LOI DE FINANCES 2021 SUBVENTIONS EXTERIEURES 2021 DONS PROJETS (page 416 du fichier PDF)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Quelques éléments de discussion se trouvent page 11 du tome III (CBMT), mais ils sont très généraux et ne présentent pas de manière analytique les évolutions des différents dons.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

45. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on tax expenditures for at least the budget year?

(The core information must include a statement of purpose or policy rationale for each tax expenditure, the intended beneficiaries, and an estimate of the revenue foregone.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 45 focuses on tax expenditures, asking whether "core" information related to these tax preferences is presented. These core components must include for both new and existing tax expenditures:

- a statement of purpose or policy rationale;
- a listing of the intended beneficiaries; and
- an estimate of the revenue foregone.

Tax expenditures arise as a result of exceptions or other preferences in the tax code provided for specified entities, individuals, or activities. Tax expenditures often have the same impact on public policy and budgets as providing direct subsidies, benefits, or goods and services. For example, encouraging a company to engage in more research through a special tax break can have the same effect as subsidizing it directly through the expenditure side of the budget, as it still constitutes a cost in terms of foregone revenues. However, expenditure items that require annual authorization are likely to receive more scrutiny than tax breaks that are a permanent feature of the tax code.

Beyond the core information, some governments may also provide other information about tax expenditures, including for example: the intended beneficiaries by sector and income class (distributional impact); a statement of the estimating assumptions, including the definition of the benchmark against which the foregone revenue is measured; and a discussion of tax expenditures as part of a general discussion of expenditures for those program areas that receive both types of government support (in order to better inform policy choices). For more details on tax expenditures, see *Guide to Transparency in Public Finances: Looking Beyond the Core Budget* (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/Looking-Beyond-the-Budget.pdf>) and Principle 1.1.4 of the IMF's *Fiscal Transparency Handbook* (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, all of the core information related to tax expenditures as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to tax expenditures is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on tax expenditures.

Answer:

d. No, information related to tax expenditures is not presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME 2

Annexe 17

EVALUATION DES DEPENSES FISCALES - ANNEE 2019 (ET NON 2021)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: L'annexe 17 porte effectivement sur l'exercice 2019, et surtout ne présente sur une page qu'une estimation du volume global et du nombre de dépenses fiscales classées par catégorie d'impôts (TVA, douane, accises, IR mobiliers, IR salariaux). Elle ne donne aucun des "core elements" mentionnés plus haut au niveau de chaque dépense fiscale prise individuellement (justification de la dépense fiscale, bénéficiaires, montant).

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

a. Yes, information beyond the core elements is presented for all tax expenditures.

Comments: Les informations sur les dépenses fiscales sont disponibles dans le TOME II, page 530

IBP Comment

À la lumière des commentaires fournis par le chercheur et l'examineur pair, la réponse existante de « d » est maintenue.

46. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of earmarked revenues for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 46 asks about estimates of earmarked revenues, which are revenues that may only be used for a specific purpose (for example, revenues from a tax on fuel that can only be used for building roads). This information is important in determining which revenues are available to fund the government's general expenses, and which revenues are reserved for particular purposes.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present for at least the budget year both estimates covering all earmarked revenues and a narrative discussing the earmarks. If a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all earmarked revenues are presented, then a "b" answer is appropriate. A "c" response applies if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, earmarked revenues (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" applies if no estimates of earmarked revenues are presented. An "e" response applies if revenue is not earmarked or the practice is disallowed by law or regulation.

Answer:

b. Yes, estimates of all earmarked revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included.

Source:

Corps de la loi LFI 2021

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/CORPS-LFI-2021-Loi-n%C2%B0-2020-013-PROMULGUEE-SIGNEE-1.pdf>

ANNEXE TOME 1 :

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME1-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

ANNEXE TOME 2:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME2-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

ANNEXE TOME 3 :

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/LFI-2021-TOME3-LOI-N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

Comment:

Les ressources affectées ont été prévues dans l'annexe 4 du TOME 2

Toutes les ressources autres que 10 RPI (Ressources propres Interne) sont des ressources affectées, exemple 20 DTI (Droits et Taxes Importation), 30 TVA (Taxes sur les Valeur Ajoutée), etc.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Les annexes 4 et 5 presentent en effet les ressources externes dont beneficent des entites faisant partie de l'Etat central. En revanche, s'il existe des ressources directement affectees a des entites non etatiques (etablisements publics nationaux), elles ne sont pas presentees dans ces annexes 4 et 5, ni ailleurs dans le texte du PLF.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

47. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government's policy goals. The budget is the executive's main policy document, the culmination of the executive's planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget – that is, an explicit explanation of how the government's policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government's policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand's 2011 Statement of Intent (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16>), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive's Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are "translated" into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government's policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are

reflected in the budget. To answer “b” for either question, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government’s policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals.

Answer:

a. Yes, estimates that show how the proposed budget is linked to all the government’s policy goals for the budget year are presented, along with a narrative discussion.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Corps du Projet

Page 9 à 19: ORIENTATIONS BUDGETAIRES DE LA LOI DE FINANCES

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

48. Does the Executive’s Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present information on how the proposed budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to government’s policy goals for a multi-year period (for at least two years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 47 and 48 ask about information that shows how the budget (both new proposals and existing policies) is linked to the government’s policy goals. The budget is the executive’s main policy document, the culmination of the executive’s planning and budgeting processes. Therefore, it should include a clear description of the link between policy goals and the budget – that is, an explicit explanation of how the government’s policy goals are reflected in its budget choices. For an example of a discussion of a government’s policy goals in the budget, see pages 13-18 of New Zealand’s 2011 Statement of Intent (<http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/abouttreasury/soi/2011-16>), one of the many documents supporting its budget.

In some countries the government prepares strategic/development plans. These plans include all the policies the government is planning to implement for the budget year and very often cover a multi-year perspective. In some cases, these plans do not match the budget documentation, and it is possible that they are completely disconnected from the Executive’s Budget Proposal. So the question is examining whether government policy plans are “translated” into revenue and expenditure figures in the actual budget documents.

Question 47 asks about the information covering the budget year, and Question 48 asks about the period at least two years beyond the budget year. To answer “a,” the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present both estimates of how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals for the budget year (for Question 47) or for a multi-year period beyond the budget year (for Question 48) and a narrative discussion of how these policy goals are reflected in the budget. To answer “b” for either question, the Executive’s Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present estimates that show how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals, but no narrative discussion is included. A “c” response applies if the presentation includes only a narrative discussion, or if it includes estimates that show how the budget is linked to some, but not all, of the government’s policy goals (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer “d” applies if no information is presented on how the budget is linked to government’s policy goals.

Answer:

d. No, information on the link between the budget and the government’s stated policy goals for a multi-year period is not presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Très peu d'informations sur les objectifs politiques réels au-delà de 2021, et la plupart des informations contenues dans les avant-propos concernent les perspectives économiques.

TOME 3

Avant Propos (Page 3 à 6)

I. CMBMT 2021 –2023

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: L'avant-propos du document CBMT se réfère à la Vision de l'Émergence et à la Politique Générale de l'État présentée au Parlement en août 2019. Des éléments sont disponibles sur la PGE sur Internet (cf. liens ci-dessous) mais gagneraient à être résumés / actualisés en tant que de besoin dans les documents relatifs au CBMT. <https://www.maep.gov.mg/wp-content/uploads/pdf/IEM%20PGE%20vf.pdf>
<http://www.presidence.gov.mg/presidence/66-la-ligne-directrice-de-la-pge.html>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

49. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on inputs to be acquired for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 49 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on inputs for the budget year. (Nonfinancial data on outputs and outcomes are addressed in Question 50.)

The budget should disclose not only the amount of money that is being allocated on a program but also any information needed to analyze that expenditure. Nonfinancial data and performance targets associated with budget proposals are used to assess the success of a given policy. For example, even when allocated funds are spent according to plan, there remains the question of whether the policy delivered the results that it aimed to achieve.

Nonfinancial data can include information on:

Inputs - These are the resources assigned to achieve results. For example, in regards to education, nonfinancial data on inputs could include the number of books to be provided to each school or the materials to be used to build or refurbish a school.

Outputs - These are products and services delivered as a result of inputs. For example, the number of pupils taught every year; the number of children that received vaccines; or the number of beneficiaries of a social security program.

Outcomes - These are the intended impact or policy goals achieved. For example, an increase in literacy rates among children under 10, or a reduction in rates of maternal mortality.

In addition, governments that set performance targets must use nonfinancial data for outputs and outcomes to determine if these targets have been met.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on inputs for each individual program is organized by functions. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on inputs for all administrative units or all functions, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on inputs are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on inputs is presented.

Answer:

c. Yes, nonfinancial data on inputs are presented for some programs and/or some administrative units (or functions).

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Aucune information

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

c. Yes, nonfinancial data on inputs are presented for some programs and/or some administrative units (or functions).

Comments: En pratique, le document de performance (Tome I) presente certains indicateurs relatifs aux intrants utilises pour les politiques publiques (par exemple nombre de voitures acquises par l'IGE, page 74, indicateur 138-1-7-3). Le fait que les indicateurs relatifs aux intrants et ceux relatifs aux resultats obtenus soient presentes de maniere entremelee complique toutefois la lisibilite du document de performance.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Researcher Response

Note passée de "d" à "c" du fait de l'exemple cité par le pair qui est un intrant mais pas un indicateur.

50. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present nonfinancial data on results (in terms of outputs or outcomes) for at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 50 asks about the availability of nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 49).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present, for at least the budget year, nonfinancial data on results for each individual program within all administrative units (ministries, departments, and agencies). It is also acceptable if nonfinancial data on results for each individual program is organized by functional classification. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must present nonfinancial data on results for all administrative units or all functional classifications, but not for each individual program (or even for any programs) within those administrative units or functions. A "c" response applies if nonfinancial data on results are presented only for some programs and/or some administrative units or some functions. Answer "d" applies if no nonfinancial data on results is presented.

Answer:

d. No, nonfinancial data on results are not presented.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

aucune information pertinente

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Comments: La question n'est pas claire et, dans sa rédaction actuelle, pas pertinente. Par définition on ne peut pas trouver dans les documents budgétaires de données sur les ==resultats== de l'année à venir N+1. Il ne peut s'agir que de ==cibles== de performance. On peut en revanche trouver, outre des cibles pour l'année à venir (cf. question suivante), une "baseline" cad les réalisations / résultats effectifs pour l'année N-1 ou anticipés pour l'année N en cours. Tel n'est pas le cas à l'heure actuelle et les intitulés des indicateurs ne sont accompagnés que des cibles pour les trois années 2021, 2022 et 2023. Le statut de la première colonne qui porte sur 2020 n'est en revanche pas clair vu qu'elle porte sur l'année en cours : s'agit-il d'une cible ? d'une prévision d'exécution ?

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, nonfinancial data on results are presented for all administrative units (or functions) but not for all (or any) programs.

Comments: Les données non financières sont disponibles dans le TOME I

IBP Comment

Les commentaires des pairs évaluateurs sont bien notés. Pour garantir l'application cohérente de la méthodologie de l'enquête dans tous les pays, la réponse existante de « d » est maintenue.

51. Are performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results in the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation?

GUIDELINES:

Question 51 asks about performance targets assigned to nonfinancial data on results for the budget year. The question applies to those nonfinancial results shown in the budget, and that were identified for purposes of Question 50.

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to all nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget for at least the budget year. To answer "b," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must assign performance targets to a majority (but not all) of the nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget. A "c" response applies performance targets are assigned only to less than half of the nonfinancial data on results. Answer "d" applies if no performance targets are assigned to nonfinancial data on results shown in the budget, or the budget does not present nonfinancial results.

Answer:

a. Yes, performance targets are assigned to all nonfinancial data on results.

Source:

Projet de loi

Corps de projet de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf

Document publié le 17/11/2020

Les annexes

TOME1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>

TOME3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>

Errata

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

TOME1

Voir par exemple:

12 MINISTÈRE DE LA DÉFENSE NATIONALE

122 DEFENSE ET SECURITE (Page 1)

007Administration et Coordination

Taux de conformité des ressources définies aux enjeux de sécurité et de défense (2021) : 75

et également à la page 284 du fichier PDF :

32 MINISTERE DU TRAVAIL, DE L'EMPLOI, DE LA FONCTION PUBLIQUE ET DES LOIS SOCIALES

330 EMPLOI

607 Promouvoir la croissance économique par l'emploi décent

VALORISATION DES COMPETENCES DES ACTIFS, NOMBRE D'ACTIF CIBLÉS (2021): 200

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

52. Does the Executive's Budget Proposal or any supporting budget documentation present estimates of policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations in at least the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 52 asks whether the budget highlight policies, both new and existing, that benefit the poorest segments of society. This question is intended to assess only those programs that directly address the immediate needs of the poor, such as through cash assistance programs or the provision of housing, rather than indirectly, such as through a stronger national defense. This information is of particular interest to those seeking to bolster government's commitment to anti-poverty efforts. For purposes of answering this question, a departmental budget (such as the Department of Social Welfare) would not be considered acceptable. In general, this question is asking whether the EBP includes a special presentation that pulls together estimates of all the relevant policies in one place. However, if the country uses "program budgeting," where programs are presented as expenditure categories with specific and identified objectives, and it identifies anti-poverty programs within each administrative unit, then that is also acceptable for this question.

The IBP Budget Brief, "How Transparent are Governments When it Comes to Their Budget's Impact on Poverty and Inequality?" (<https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/government-transparency-of-budgets-impact-on-poverty-inequality-ibp-2019.pdf>) includes a discussion of countries that have provided information on how its policies affect the poor.

For instance, Pakistan provides a detailed breakdown of pro-poor expenditure as part of its 2017-18 budget proposal. In one document, the government sets out policy priorities, expected outputs, and estimates of past and future spending for several programs aimed at poverty alleviation. Another supporting document provides a comprehensive overview of ongoing policies, including a chapter on social safety nets, covering both financial and performance information of poverty alleviation schemes over a period of eight years. (http://www.finance.gov.pk/budget/mtbf_2018_21.pdf and http://www.finance.gov.pk/survey_1718.html).

To answer "a," the Executive's Budget Proposal or supporting documentation must for at least the budget year both present estimates covering all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations and include a narrative discussion that specifically addresses these policies. (For countries using program budgeting that breaks out individual anti-poverty programs, there should be a separate narrative associated with each such program.) Answer "b" if a narrative discussion is not included, but estimates for all policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented. Answer "c" if the presentation includes estimates covering only some, but not all, policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations (regardless of whether it also includes a narrative discussion). Answer "d" if no estimates of policies that are intended to benefit the most impoverished populations are presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations are not presented.

Source:

Projet de loi
Corps de projet de loi
http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_DE_LOI_LFI2021_FR_PROJETHA.pdf
Document publié le 17/11/2020
Les annexes
TOME1
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME2
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-PROJETHA.pdf>
TOME3
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-PROJETHA.pdf>
Errata
<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/ERRATA-016HA.pdf>

Comment:

Pas de programme spécifique à une couche de population particulière.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Certains programmes relevant du ministère de la population, de la protection sociale et de la femme (mission " Développement social") sont toutefois clairement orientés vers les populations vulnérables (828 Population et développement, 829 Genre et développement, 830 Protection sociale).

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

53. Does the executive release to the public its timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal (that is, a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 53 asks about the budget timetable. An internal timetable is particularly important for the executive's management of the budget preparation process, in order to ensure that the executive accounts for the views of the different departments and agencies in the proposed budget. The timetable would, for instance, set deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. So that civil society is aware of the various steps in the budget formulation process, and when opportunities may exist to engage the executive, it is essential that this timetable be made available to the public.

To answer "a," the executive must prepare a detailed budget timetable and release it to the public. A "b" answer applies if the timetable is made public, but some details are not included. A "c" response applies if the timetable is made public, but many important details are excluded, reducing its value for those outside government. Answer "d" applies if no timetable is made available to the public. As long as a timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal is released, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Executive's Budget Proposal is not made publicly available.

Answer:

d. No, a timetable is not issued to the public.

Source:

<http://www.dgbudget.gov.mg/calendrier-budgetaire>

Comment:

Devenu non accessible

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Les sites Internet du MEF ou de la DGFAG ne presentent que des elements relatifs aux "conferences budgetaires regionales" qui ont lieu en debut d'annee (fevrier 2020) mais rien sur les autres etapes de la procedure de preparation du budget.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

54. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget projections are based?

(The core information must include a discussion of the economic outlook with estimates of nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 54 focuses on the macroeconomic forecast that underlies the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to the economic assumptions is presented. These core components include a discussion of the economic outlook as well as estimates of the following:

- nominal GDP level;
- inflation rate;
- real GDP growth; and
- interest rates.

Beyond these core elements, some governments also provide additional information related to the economic outlook, including for instance: short- and long-term interest rates; the rate of employment and unemployment; GDP deflator; price of oil and other commodities; current account; exchange rate; and composition of GDP growth.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core information related to the macroeconomic forecast as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the macroeconomic forecast is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the macroeconomic forecast is presented.

Answer:

d. No, information related to the macroeconomic forecast is not presented.

Source:

Page de DGFAG (document non trouvé)
<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

La Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Économie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020. En revanche, sur la page de la DGAG (cf. source) apparaît un lien d'accès sur la "Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021", mais renvoyant le message 404 Oops! Page Not Found. Le document n'est donc pas disponible au grand public jusqu'au 14 février 2021, c'est à dire avant le 31 décembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

55. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government's expenditure policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities and an estimate of total expenditures.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 55 focuses on the government's expenditure policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- *a discussion of expenditure policies and priorities; and*
- *an estimate of total expenditures.*

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed programmatic proposals (such detailed information is typically only presented in the budget itself), it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least total expenditures associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can include some detail, for instance, estimates provided by any of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the government's expenditure policies and priorities is presented.

Answer:

d. No, information related to the government's expenditure policies and priorities is not presented.

Source:

Page de DGFAG (document non trouvé)
<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

La Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Économie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020. En revanche, sur la page de la DGAG (cf. source) apparaît un lien d'accès sur la "Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021", mais renvoyant le message 404 Oops! Page Not Found. Le document n'est donc pas disponible au grand public jusqu'au 14 février 2021, c'est à dire avant le 31 décembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

56. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present information on the government's revenue policies and priorities that will guide the development of detailed estimates for the upcoming budget?

(The core information must include a discussion of revenue policies and priorities and an estimate of total revenues.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 56 focuses on the government's revenue policies and priorities in the Pre-Budget Statement, asking whether "core" information related to these policies is presented. These core components include:

- a discussion of revenue policies and priorities; and
- an estimate of total revenue.

Although a Pre-Budget Statement is unlikely to include detailed revenue proposals, it should include a discussion of broad policy priorities and a projection of at least the total revenue associated with these policies for the budget year. The Pre-Budget Statement can also include more detail, for instance, with estimates provided by revenue category – tax and non-tax – or some of the major individual sources of revenue, such as the Value Added Tax or the income tax.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present for the upcoming budget year all of the core information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all of the core components noted above for the upcoming budget year. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information on the government's revenue policies and priorities is presented.

Answer:

d. No, information related to the government's revenue policies and priorities is not presented.

Source:

Page de DGFAG (document non trouvé)

<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

La Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Économie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020. En revanche, sur la page de la DGAG (cf. source) apparaît un lien d'accès sur la "Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021", mais renvoyant le message 404 Oops! Page Not Found. Le document n'est donc pas disponible au grand public jusqu'au 14 février 2021, c'est à dire avant le 31 décembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

57. Does Pre-Budget Statement present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 57 asks whether the Pre-Budget Statement includes three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- the amount of net new borrowing needed in the upcoming budget year;
- the central government's total debt burden at the end of the upcoming budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the upcoming budget year.

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to

avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Pre-Budget Statement must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt for at least the upcoming budget year. For a "b" answer, the Pre-Budget Statement must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the PBS must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the PBS.

Answer:

d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.

Source:

Page de DGFAG (document non trouvé)

<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

La Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Économie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020. En revanche, sur la page de la DGAG (cf. source) apparaît un lien d'accès sur la "Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021", mais renvoyant le message 404 Oops! Page Not Found. Le document n'est donc pas disponible au grand public jusqu'au 14 février 2021, c'est à dire avant le 31 décembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

58. Does the Pre-Budget Statement present estimates of total expenditures for a multi-year period (at least two-years beyond the budget year)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 58 asks about multi-year expenditure estimates in the Pre-Budget Statement.

To answer "a," expenditure estimates for at least two years beyond the upcoming budget year must be presented. The estimates must be for at least total expenditures, but could include more detail than just the aggregate total.

Answer:

b. No, multi-year expenditure estimates are not presented.

Source:

Page de DGFAG (document non trouvé)

<http://www.dgfag.mg/lettre-de-cadrage/>

Comment:

La Lettre de cadrage macroéconomique et budgétaire pour le budget 2021 n'a pas été publiée par le Ministre de l'Économie et des Finances (MEF) en 2020. En revanche, sur la page de la DGAG (cf. source) apparaît un lien d'accès sur la "Lettre de cadrage pour l'élaboration de la Loi de Finances 2021", mais renvoyant le message 404 Oops! Page Not Found. Le document n'est donc pas disponible au grand public jusqu'au 14 février 2021, c'est à dire avant le 31 décembre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

59. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 59 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer “a,” the Enacted Budget must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer “b,” expenditure estimates must be presented by two of the three classifications. A “c” answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer “d” applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents expenditure estimates by all three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, and functional classification).

Source:

Site du MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Contenus

Corps de l’Ordonnance

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFI_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf

Annexe Tome1

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-I-DOCUMENT-DE-PERFORMANCE.pdf

Annexe Tome2

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-II-DOCUMENT-BUDGETAIRE.pdf

Annexe Tome3

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-III-CADRE-A-MOYEN-TERME.pdf

Comment:

Classification administrative: En Annexe 6 (Dépenses par service) du TOME2 les dépenses sont réparties par Institutions/Département ministériel et par Service opérationnel

Classification économique: pour les dépenses de l'annexe de ce document (TOME2) , il est procédé au regroupement par grandes catégories (Budget général, Budget annexe, Comptes Particuliers du Trésor etc..) au sein desquels sont précisés les natures des dépenses (Opérations courantes hors soldes, opérations courantes de soldes, etc.). Voir également la page 94 de l'Ordonnance N°2019-016 portant Loi de Finances pour 2020

Classification fonctionnelle: Dans ANNEXE 4 de ce même document (TOME 2), les dépenses sont présentées par Mission, Programme etc.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents expenditure estimates by two of the three expenditure classifications.

Comments: La classification par missions et programmes n'est pas une classification fonctionnelle.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Pour garantir l'application cohérente de la méthodologie de l'enquête dans tous les pays, la réponse existante de « a » est maintenue.

59b. Based on the response to Question 59, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Enacted Budget:

Answer:

Administrative classification

Economic classification

Functional classification

Source:

Site du MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Contenus

Corps de l’Ordonnance

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFI_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf
Annexe Tome1
http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-I-DOCUMENT-DE-PERFORMANCE.pdf
Annexe Tome2
http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-II-DOCUMENT-BUDGETAIRE.pdf
Annexe Tome3
http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-III-CADRE-A-MOYEN-TERME.pdf

Comment:
Voir commentaire précédent

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: Classification économique et classification administrative.

Comments: Cf. commentaire precedent : la classification par programmes n'est pas une classification fonctionnelle.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Pour garantir l'application cohérente de la méthodologie de l'enquête dans tous les pays, la réponse existante de « Administrative classification, Economic classification et Functional classification » est maintenue.

60. Does the Enacted Budget present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 60 asks if expenditure estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all programs, which account for all expenditures, in the budget year. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Enacted Budget.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents estimates for programs accounting for all expenditures.

Source:

Site du MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Contenus

Corps de l'Ordonnance

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFI_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf

Annexe Tome1

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-I-DOCUMENT-DE-PERFORMANCE.pdf

Annexe Tome2

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-II-DOCUMENT-BUDGETAIRE.pdf

Annexe Tome3

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-III-CADRE-A-MOYEN-TERME.pdf

Comment:

En annexe 4 (TOME2), les dépenses sont présentées par Missions à l'intérieur desquelles figurent les programmes individuels:
Exemple Programme "138 Appui à la gouvernance et à l'état de droit de la Présidence "

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

61. Does the Enacted Budget present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 61 asks whether revenue estimates in the Enacted Budget are presented by "category"– that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

a. Yes, the Enacted Budget presents revenue estimates by category.

Source:

Site du MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Contenus

Corps de l'Ordonnance

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFI_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf

Annexe Tome1

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-I-DOCUMENT-DE-PERFORMANCE.pdf

Annexe Tome2

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-II-DOCUMENT-BUDGETAIRE.pdf

Annexe Tome3

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-III-CADRE-A-MOYEN-TERME.pdf

Comment:

Cf. Les annexes 3 et 5 du TOME2

Dans annexe 3: il a été distingué les recettes fiscales et non fiscales

Voir également la page 92 de l'Ordonnance N°2019-016 portant Loi de Finances pour 2020 (Article 4).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

62. Does the Enacted Budget present individual sources of revenue?

GUIDELINES:

Question 62 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Enacted Budget. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Enacted Budget must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Enacted Budget presents individual sources of revenue that account for less than two-thirds of revenues. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, the Enacted Budget does not present individual sources of revenue.

Source:

Site du MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Contenus

Corps de l'Ordonnance

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFI_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf

Annexe Tome1

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-I-DOCUMENT-DE-PERFORMANCE.pdf

Annexe Tome2

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-II-DOCUMENT-BUDGETAIRE.pdf

Annexe Tome3

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-III-CADRE-A-MOYEN-TERME.pdf

Comment:

Dans TOME 2 : les revenus sont présentés de manière global et non détaillé

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

63. Does the Enacted Budget present three estimates related to government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year; the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year; and interest payments on the debt for the budget year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 63 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- *the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- *the total debt outstanding at the end of the budget year;*
- *the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens, banks, and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," the Enacted Budget must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, the Enacted Budget must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, the Enacted Budget must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in the Enacted Budget.

Answer:

b. Yes, two of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are presented.

Source:

Site du MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/17

Contenus

Corps de l'Ordonnance

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ORDONNANCE_N%C2%B02019-016-DU-23-12-2019_LFL_2020-FRANTSAY.pdf

Annexe Tome1

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-I-DOCUMENT-DE-PERFORMANCE.pdf

Annexe Tome2

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-II-DOCUMENT-BUDGETAIRE.pdf

Annexe Tome3

http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ANNEXE_TOME-III-CADRE-A-MOYEN-TERME.pdf

Comment:

Dans Annexe TOME2:

"the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year", Cf. Annexe 10. PLAN D'EMPRUNT EXTERIEUR 2020 (à titre indicatif). Voir également la page 17 de l'Ordonnance N°2019-016 portant Loi de Finances pour 2020 pour des détails sur le déficit et donc les nouveaux emprunts nets.

"the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year. " Cf. Annexe 10. LOI DES FINANCES 2020 INTERET DE LA DETTE EXTERIEURE En Milliers de MGA. Voir également la page 94 de l'Ordonnance N°2019-016 portant Loi de Finances pour 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: L'annexe 14 (Strategie de la Dette a Moyen Terme) presente le stock de dette a horizon 2022 suivant 4 scenarios de financement possibles, mais ne presente pas le stock de dette a fin 2020.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

64. What information is provided in the Citizens Budget?

(The core information must include expenditure and revenue totals, the main policy initiatives in the budget, the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based, and contact information for follow-up by citizens.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 64 focuses on the content of the Citizens Budget, asking whether "core" information is presented. These core components include:

- *expenditure and revenue totals;*
- *the main policy initiatives in the budget;*
- *the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based; and*
- *contact information for follow-up by citizens.*

To answer "a," the Citizens Budget or supporting documentation must present all of the above core information as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," the Citizens Budget must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if the Citizens Budget includes some of the core components above, but other core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if a Citizens Budget is not published.

Answer:

a. The Citizens Budget provides information beyond the core elements.

Source:

Site de DGfAG

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/20201>

Contenu PDF

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view

Comment:

"expenditure and revenue totals"

Pour les dépenses Cf. page 4 (exemple DÉPENSES PAR GRANDES RUBRIQUES)

Pour les ressources Cf. page 2 RESSOURCES DU BUDGET 2020

"the main policy initiatives in the budget" Cf. page 3 (Nouvelles mesures fiscales) et Page 4 à 6 pour les dépenses.

"the macroeconomic forecast upon which the budget is based" Cf. Page 11 INDICATEURS ÉCONOMIQUES ET FINANCIERS

"contact information for follow-up by citizens". Cf Page 12 de ce document"

"information beyond the core elements."

Cf. Page 10 LES DÉTAILS SUR NOS DETTES

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

65. How is the Citizens Budget disseminated to the public?

GUIDELINES:

Question 65 asks how the Citizens Budget is disseminated to the public. Citizens Budgets should be made available to a variety of audiences. Therefore paper versions and an Internet posting of a document might not be sufficient.

To answer "a," the executive must use three or more different types of creative media tools to reach the largest possible share of the population, including those who otherwise would not normally have access to budget documents or information. Dissemination would also be pursued at the very local level, so that the coverage is targeted both by geographic area and population group (e.g., women, elderly, low income, urban, rural, etc.). Option "b" applies if significant dissemination efforts are made through a combination of two means of communications, for instance, both posting the Citizens Budget on the executive's official website and distributing printed copies of it. Option "c" applies if the Citizens Budget is disseminated through only posting on the executive's official website. Option "d" applies when the executive does not publish a Citizens Budget.

Answer:

c. A Citizens Budget is disseminated only by using one means of dissemination.

Source:

Site de DGFAG

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/20201>

Contenu PDF

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view

Comment:

Sur ce site seulement

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. A Citizens Budget is published by using at least two, but less than three, means of dissemination, but no other dissemination efforts are undertaken by the executive.

Comments: Les Budget des Citoyens sont non seulement disponible sur site web mais également sur format papier qui sont disséminés partout dans les régions.

Researcher Response

La réponse est maintenue car cette proposition de réponse n'a pas été appuyée d'un élément probant venant du Gouvernement. Contrairement en 2019, Madagascar a connu une longue période de confinement: l'état d'urgence a été déclaré le 21 mars et renouvelé depuis tous les quinze jours jusqu'au mois d'octobre 2020.

IBP Comment

Le commentaire de l'examinateur du gouvernement est bien noté. À la lumière de la réaction supplémentaire du chercheur, la réponse existante de « c » est maintenue.

66. Has the executive established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information prior to publishing the Citizens Budget?

GUIDELINES:

Question 66 asks whether the executive has established mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information before publishing a Citizens Budget. What the public wants to know about the budget might differ from the information the executive includes in technical documents that comprise the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget; similarly, different perspectives might exist on how the budget should be presented, and this may vary depending on the context. For this reason the executive should consult with the public on the content and presentation of the Citizens Budget.

To answer "a," the executive must have established mechanisms to consult with the public, and these mechanisms for consultation are both accessible and widely used by the public. Such mechanisms can include focus groups, social networks, surveys, hotlines, and meetings/events in universities or other locations where people gather to discuss public issues. In countries where Citizens Budgets are consistently produced and released, it may be sufficient for the government to provide the public with contact information and feedback opportunities, and subsequently use the feedback to improve its management of public resources.

Option "b" applies if the executive has established mechanisms for consultation that are accessible to the public, but that the public nonetheless does not use frequently. That is, the public does not typically engage with the executive on the content of the Citizens Budget, even though the executive has created opportunities for such consultation. Option "c" applies if the executive has established mechanism for consultation with the public, but they are poorly designed and thus not accessible to the public. Option "d" applies if the executive has not created any mechanisms to seek feedback from the public on the content of the Citizens Budget.

Answer:

d. No, the executive has not established any mechanisms to identify the public's requirements for budget information in the Citizen's Budget.

Source:

Site de DGFAG

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/20201>

Contenu PDF

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view

Comment:

Pour cette année, il n'y a aucune source justifiant cette approche.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Il ne semble pas que les "conferences budgetaires regionales" qui ont lieu chaque annee en debut de procedure budgetaire soient l'occasion de discuter avec le public des besoins d'information, mais qu'elles restent centrees sur la discussion des demandes / besoins en termes budgetaires.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

67. Are "citizens" versions of budget documents published throughout the budget process?

GUIDELINES:

Question 67 asks if "citizens" versions of budget documents are published throughout the budget process. While the Citizens Budget was initially conceived as a simplified version of the Executive's Budget Proposal or the Enacted Budget, good practice is now evolving and suggests that a "citizens" version of key budget documents should be produced during each of the four phases of the budget cycle. This would serve to inform citizens of the state of public financial management throughout the entire budget cycle.

To answer "a," a citizens version of at least one budget document is published for each of the four stages of the budget process (budget formulation, enactment, execution, and audit) – for a total of at least four citizens budget documents throughout the process. Option "b" applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least two of the four stages of the budget process. Option "c" applies if a citizens version of a budget document is published for at least one of the four stages of the budget process. Select option "d" if no "citizens" version of budget documents is published.

Answer:

c. A citizens version of budget documents is published for at least one stage of the budget process.

Source:

Site de DGFAG

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/budget-des-citoyens/20201>

Contenu PDF

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gQdM5n86livckD99sz_n0yDfoRY1l6pg/view

Comment:

Le Budget des citoyens concerne la loi de finances initiale.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. A citizens version of budget documents is published for at least two of the four stages of the budget process.

Comments: Nous disposons de trois (03) Documents en version citoyenne, à savoir: -Le Budget des Citoyens -Le Compte Rendu aux Citoyens (chaque trimestre) -Le Rapport de Fin d'année aux Citoyens

IBP Comment

Le commentaire de l'examinateur du gouvernement est bien noté ; étant donné que les dernières versions des citoyens disponibles du compte rendu et du rapport de fin d'année datent respectivement de 2018 et 2017, la réponse existante de « c » est maintenue.

68. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 68 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above.

Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," actual expenditures must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if actual expenditures are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if actual expenditures are not presented by any of the three classifications in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

d. No, the In-Year Reports do not present actual expenditures by any expenditure classification.

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019 (1er et 2ème trimestre)

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Note "d" car les documents en cours d'année n'ont pas été produits

Les derniers documents disponibles depuis 2019

- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Deuxième Trimestre 2019
- Compte Rendu de la Revue de l'Exécution Budgétaire Premier Trimestre 2019

Autrement dit, depuis ces deux dernières publication jusqu'à la fin de 2020, il n'y avait aucune publication de revue en cours d'année.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

68b. Based on the response to Question 68, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the In-Year Reports:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019 (1er et 2ème trimestre)

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Même commentaire que précédent

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

69. Do the In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 69 asks if expenditure estimates in In-Year Reports are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present actual expenditures for programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if actual expenditures are not presented by program in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

d. No, the In-Year Reports do not present actual expenditures by program.

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019 (1er et 2ème trimestre)

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpggLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Note "d" selon les consignes de la question : IYRS-2

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

70. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date expenditures with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 70 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual expenditures to-date with either the enacted levels or actual expenditures for the same period in the previous year.

The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date expenditures in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast expenditures (based on enacted levels) for the same period.

To answer "a," comparisons must be made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports

Answer:

b. No, comparisons are not made for expenditures presented in the In-Year Reports.

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019 (1er et 2ème trimestre)

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpggLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:
Note "d" selon les consignes de la question : IYRS-2

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Il s'agit de la note "b" et non "d".

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

71. Do In-Year Reports present actual revenue by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Questions 71 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual revenues by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:
b. No, In-Year Reports do not present actual revenue by category.

Source:
Revue en cours d'année
<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>
Seuls documents Année 2019 (1er et 2ème trimestre)
• <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
• <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMqXPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:
Note "d" selon les consignes de la question : IYRS-2

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree
Comments: Il s'agit de la note "b" et non "d".

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

72. Do In-Year Reports present the individual sources of revenue for actual revenues collected?

GUIDELINES:

Question 72 asks whether In-Year Reports present actual collections of individual sources of revenue (such as income taxes, VAT, etc.). The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present actual collections for all individual sources of revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present actual collections for individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue collected, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if In-Year Reports present individual sources of actual revenue that account for less than two-thirds of all revenue collected. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of actual revenue are not presented.

Answer:
d. No, In-Year Reports do not present individual sources of actual revenue.

Source:
Revue en cours d'année
<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>
Seuls documents Année 2019 (1er et 2ème trimestre)
• <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>

• <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Note "d" selon les consignes de la question : IYRS-2

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

73. Do the In-Year Reports compare actual year-to-date revenues with either the original estimate for that period (based on the enacted budget) or the same period in the previous year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 73 asks whether In-Year Reports compare actual revenues to-date with either the enacted levels or actual revenues for the same period in the previous year.

The OECD recommends that the reports contain the total year-to-date revenues in a format that allows for a comparison with the budget's forecast revenues (based on enacted levels) for the same period.

To answer "a," comparisons must be made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

Answer:

b. No, comparisons are not made for revenues presented in the In-Year Reports.

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019 (1er et 2ème trimestre)

• <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>

• <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Note "d" selon les consignes de la question : IYRS-2

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Il s'agit de la note "b" et non "d"

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

74. Do In-Year Reports present three estimates related to actual government borrowing and debt: the amount of net new borrowing; the total debt outstanding; and interest payments?

GUIDELINES:

Question 74 asks about three key estimates related to borrowing and debt:

- *the amount of net new borrowing so far during the year;*
- *the central government's total debt burden at that point in the year; and*
- *the interest payments to-date on the outstanding debt.*

Debt is the accumulated amount of money that the government borrows. The government can borrow from its citizens and banks and businesses within the country (domestic debt) or from creditors outside the country (external debt). External debt is typically owed to private commercial banks, other governments,

or international financial institutions such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Net new borrowing is the additional amount of new borrowing that is required for the budget year to finance expenditures in the budget that exceed available revenues. Net new borrowing adds to the accumulated debt. It is distinct from gross borrowing, which also includes borrowing needed to repay existing debt that matured during the budget year; debt that is replaced (or rolled over) does not add to the total of accumulated debt. For the purposes of this question, the deficit may be accepted as a proxy for net new borrowing.

Interest payments on the debt (or debt service costs) are typically made at regular intervals, and these payments must be made on a timely basis in order to avoid defaulting on the debt obligation. Interest payments are separate from the repayment of principal, which occurs only when the loan has matured and must be paid back in full.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all three estimates of borrowing and debt. For a "b" answer, In-Year Reports must present two of those three estimates. For a "c" answer, IYRs must present one of the three estimates. Answer "d" applies if no information on borrowing and debt is presented in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

d. No, none of the three estimates related to government borrowing and debt are not presented.

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019 (1er et 2ème trimestre)

• <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>

• <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Note "d" selon les consignes de la question : IYRS-2

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

75. Do In-Year Reports present information related to the composition of the total actual debt outstanding?

(The core information must include interest rates on the debt instruments; maturity profile of the debt; and whether it is domestic or external debt.)

GUIDELINES:

Question 75 focuses on the composition of government debt, asking whether "core" information related to its composition is presented. These core components include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

The interest rates affect the amount of interest that must be paid to creditors. The maturity profile indicates the final payment date of the loan, at which point the principal (and all remaining interest) is due to be paid; government borrowing typically includes a mix of short-term and long-term debt. As discussed in Question 74, domestic debt is held by a country's citizens and banks and businesses, while external debt is held by foreigners. These factors related to the composition of the debt give an indication of the potential vulnerability of the country's debt position, and ultimately whether the cost of servicing the accumulated debt is affordable.

Beyond these core elements, a government may also provide additional information related to the composition of its debt, including for instance: whether interest rates are fixed or variable; whether debt is callable; the currency of the debt; a profile of the creditors (bilateral institutions, multilateral institutions, commercial banks, Central Bank, etc.); an analysis of the risk associated with the debt; and where appropriate, what the debt is being used to finance.

To answer "a," In-Year Reports must present all of the core information related to the composition of government debt to-date as well as some additional information beyond the core elements. To answer "b," In-Year Reports must present all of the core components noted above. Answer "b" is also accepted if one of the core elements is not presented but additional information beyond the core elements is presented. A "c" answer applies if some information related to the composition of government debt is presented, but some of the core pieces of information are not included. Answer "d" applies if no information is presented on the composition of the debt outstanding in In-Year Reports.

Answer:

d. No, information related to composition of total actual debt outstanding is not presented.

Source:

Revue en cours d'année

<http://www.dgfag.mg/transparence/web/documents-budgetaires>

Seuls documents Année 2019 (1er et 2ème trimestre)

- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/11RlpqgLvDMVwROuAF5nfpb0-lkwLQcFe/view>
- <https://drive.google.com/file/d/191hXuNB2gQsfMzMQxPpuVVHa8wDPJHJI/view>

Comment:

Note "d" selon les consignes de la question : IYRS-2

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

76. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 76 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated macroeconomic forecast and explain all of the differences between the initial forecast presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal and the updated forecast. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the macroeconomic forecast must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated forecasts are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes an updated macroeconomic forecast, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the macroeconomic forecast has not been updated.

Answer:

d. No, the estimates for macroeconomic forecast have not been updated.

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Ce document est publié seulement au 03 décembre 2020, c'est à dire presque 6 mois après le milieu d'année (juin 2020)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Comments: La RMA met à jour les prévisions macro-économiques sur la base des résultats et informations des six premiers mois de 2020.

L'explication des différences - sur la base essentiellement des données et du cadrage macro utilise pour la LFR 2020 - est assez complète. Le retard dans la mise à disposition de la RMA empêche de tenir compte de ces progrès.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

77. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 77 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of expenditure for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated expenditure estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. The expenditure estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated expenditure estimates, but does not provide an explanation for the revisions. A "d" response applies if the expenditure estimates have not been updated.

Answer:

d. No, expenditure estimates have not been updated.

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Ce document est publié seulement au 03 décembre 2020, c'est à dire presque 6 mois après le milieu d'année (juin 2020)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Comments: Les estimations de dépenses ont été mises à jour sur la base des chiffres de la LFR 2020 (cf. tableau 2 des OGT 2020 page 19), mais il n'y a pas d'explication détaillée des révisions de dépenses rubrique par rubrique. Le retard dans la production de la RMA empêche de comptabiliser ces progrès qui sinon auraient justifié un "C".

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

78. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 78 asks if expenditure estimates for the budget year underway in the Mid-Year Review are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.

Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. To answer "b," expenditure estimates must be presented by two of these three classifications. A "c" answer applies if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" applies if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by any expenditure classification.

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Ce document est publié seulement au 03 décembre 2020, c'est à dire presque 6 mois après le milieu d'année (juin 2020)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: En-dehors même de la question de la date de publication de la RMA, celle-ci ne contient pas de mise à jour des prévisions de dépenses selon aucune des trois classifications mentionnées, sauf à un niveau très agrégé pour la classification économique dans le tableau des OGT.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

78b. Based on the response to Question 78, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Mid-Year Review:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Ce document est publié seulement au 03 décembre 2020, c'est à dire presque 6 mois après le milieu d'année (juin 2020)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Cf. commentaire precedent.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

79. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated expenditure estimates for the budget year underway for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 79 asks if expenditure estimates in the Mid-Year Review are presented by program for the budget year underway. Please note that year-to-date expenditures as assessed in Question 70 do not qualify as updated estimates of expenditure for the purposes of this indicator.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as le plan comptable or le plan comptable detaillé. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents programs that account for less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" applies if expenditures are not presented by program in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present expenditure estimates by program.

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Ce document est publié seulement au 03 décembre 2020, c'est à dire presque 6 mois après le milieu d'année (juin 2020)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Pas de presentation au niveau du programme, en-dehors meme de la question de la date de publication.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

80. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 80 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of revenue for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include updated revenue estimates and explain all of the differences between the initial levels presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or the Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the revenue estimates must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated revenue estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the revenue estimates have not been updated.

Answer:

d. No, revenue estimates have not been updated.

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Ce document est publié seulement au 03 décembre 2020, c'est à dire presque 6 mois après le milieu d'année (juin 2020)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Comments: Les recettes sont mises à jour dans le tableau 2 des OGT 2020 avec très peu de détails, car l'essentiel des développements sur les recettes sont centrés sur la différence entre les prévisions et l'exécution pour les six premiers mois de 2020. En tout état de cause, le retard dans la publication de la RMA conduit à une note "d".

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

81. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated revenue estimates for the budget year underway by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 81 asks whether revenue estimates for the budget year underway in the Mid-Year Review are presented by "category"— that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

b. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present revenue estimates by category.

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Ce document est publié seulement au 03 décembre 2020, c'est à dire presque 6 mois après le milieu d'année (juin 2020)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Comments: Recettes fiscales et non fiscales sont effectivement separees dans le tableau de la page 12 (tableau 2 OGT 2020). Mais la publication tardive de la RMA condamne a un "d".

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

82. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget present updated individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 82 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue for the budget year underway are presented in the Mid-Year Review. Please note that year-to-date revenues as assessed in Question 73 do not qualify as updated estimates of revenue for the purposes of this indicator.

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenues, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. To answer "b," the Mid-Year Review must present individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. A "c" answer applies if the Mid-Year Review presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" applies if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Mid-Year Review.

Answer:

d. No, the Mid-Year Review does not present individual sources of revenue.

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Ce document est publié seulement au 03 décembre 2020, c'est à dire presque 6 mois après le milieu d'année (juin 2020)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion:

Comments: La mise a jour des esitimations pour sources inividuelles de revenu n'est pas presentee dans la RMA 2020. Mais la publication tardive de la RMA condamne de toute facon a un "d".

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

83. Does the Mid-Year Review of the budget include updated estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway?

GUIDELINES:

Question 83 asks whether the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the budget year underway, and provides an explanation of the update.

Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:

- *The amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;*
- *The central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and*
- *The interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.*

Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:

- *interest rates on the debt;*
- *maturity profile of the debt; and*
- *whether the debt is domestic or external.*

To answer "a," the Mid-Year Review must include an updated estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, and explain all of the differences

between the initial estimates presented in the Executive's Budget Proposal (or Enacted Budget) and the updated estimates. The explanation must include at least estimates of all differences; a narrative discussion is desirable but not required if estimates of all the differences are provided. To answer "b," the estimates of borrowing and debt must be updated, but only some of the differences between the initial and updated estimates are explained. The explanation would be more limited, such as only a narrative discussion of the differences or estimates covering only some of the differences. A "c" response applies if the Mid-Year Review includes updated estimates, but no explanation for the revisions is provided. A "d" response applies if the estimates of borrowing and debt have not been updated.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of government borrowing and debt have not been updated.

Source:

Revue de milieu d'année:

<http://www.dgfag.mg/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/RMA-2020.pdf>

Comment:

Ce document est publié seulement au 03 décembre 2020, c'est à dire presque 6 mois après le milieu d'année (juin 2020)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Comments: La reponse serait "c" sur la base des informations publiees (notamment mise a jour des previsions de decaissements effectifs sur emprunts externes sur les six derniers mois de 2020) mais la publication tardive de la RMA condamne a un "d".

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

84. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for expenditures?

GUIDELINES:

Question 84 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual expenditures for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all expenditures, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all expenditures are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all expenditures, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for expenditures are not presented.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Le rapport de fin d'annee correspondant a l'annee 2018 (PLR 2018) a ete mis en ligne en 2021. Il presente pour 2018 les differences entre les previsions et l'execution budgetaire en depenses.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

85. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates by any of the three expenditure classifications (by administrative, economic, or functional classification)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 85 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by any one of the three expenditure classifications – by administrative, economic, and functional classifications – which were addressed in Questions 1-5 above. Each of the classifications answers a different question: administrative unit indicates who spends the money; functional classification shows for what purpose is the money spent; and economic classification displays what the money is spent on. Unlike classification by administrative unit, which tends to be unique to each country, functional and economic classifications for government budgeting have been developed and standardized by international institutions. Cross-country comparisons are facilitated by adherence to these international classification standards.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates by all three of the expenditure classifications. Answer "b" if expenditure estimates are presented by two of these three classifications. Answer "c" if expenditure estimates are presented by one of the three classifications. Answer "d" if expenditure estimates are not presented by any of the three classifications in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

d. No, the Year-End Report does not present expenditure estimates by any expenditure classification.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

85b. Based on the response to Question 85, check the box(es) to identify which expenditure classifications are included in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

86. Does the Year-End Report present expenditure estimates for individual programs?

GUIDELINES:

Question 86 asks if expenditure estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by program. There is no standard definition for the term "program," and the meaning can vary from country to country. However, for the purposes of answering the questionnaire, researchers should understand the term "program" to mean any level of detail below an administrative unit, such as a ministry or department.

A note for francophone countries: "Program" level detail is sometimes referred to as *le plan comptable* or *le plan comptable détaillé*. (These data are typically coded in the financial management database, following the chart of budgetary accounts, so that they can be organized by administrative and functional classification.)

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present expenditure estimates for all individual programs, accounting for all expenditures. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents expenditures for individual programs that when combined account for at least two-thirds of expenditures, but not all expenditures. Answer "c" if the Year-End Report presents programs that account for only less than two-thirds of expenditures. Answer "d" if expenditures are not presented by program in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

d. No, the Year-End Report does not present expenditure estimates by program.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

87. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted levels (including in-year changes approved by the legislature) and the actual outcome for revenues?

GUIDELINES:

Question 87 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and actual revenues for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for all revenues, along with a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if estimates of the differences for all revenues are presented, but a narrative discussion is not included. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences are presented for some, but not all revenues, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. Answer "d" if no estimates of the differences are presented in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted levels and the actual outcome for revenues are not presented.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

88. Does the Year-End Report present revenue estimates by category (such as tax and non-tax)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 88 asks whether revenue estimates in the Year-End Report are presented by "category"—that is, whether tax and non-tax sources of revenue are shown separately.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present revenue estimates classified by category.

Answer:

b. No, the Year-End Report does not present revenue estimates by category.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

89. Does the Year-End Report present individual sources of revenue?

GUIDELINES:

Question 89 asks whether revenue estimates for individual sources of revenue are presented in the Year-End Report. The question applies to both tax and non-tax revenue.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present all sources of revenue individually, accounting for all revenue, and "other" or "miscellaneous" revenue must account for three percent or less of all revenue. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents individual sources of revenue that when combined account for at least two-thirds of all revenue, but not all revenue. Answer "c" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of individual revenue sources that account for less than two-thirds of revenue. Answer "d" if individual sources of revenue are not presented in the Year-End Report.

Answer:

d. No, the Year-End Report does not present individual sources of revenue.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

90. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 90 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates and the actual outcome for the fiscal year for borrowing and debt, including its composition, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 13 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal of borrowing and debt. Key estimates related to borrowing and debt include:

- the amount of net new borrowing required during the budget year;
- the central government's total debt burden at the end of the budget year; and
- the interest payments on the outstanding debt for the budget year.

Refer to Question 14 for details on estimates in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the composition of the debt. Core information related to the composition of government debt include:

- interest rates on the debt;
- maturity profile of the debt; and
- whether the debt is domestic or external.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt, including its composition, for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of government borrowing and debt for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year is not presented.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>
Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

90b. Based on the response to Question 90, check the box(es) to identify which estimates of government borrowing and debt, including its composition, have the differences between the original forecast and the actual outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>
Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la

fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

91. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year?

GUIDELINES:

Question 91 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 15 for the components of the macroeconomic forecast in the Executive's Budget Proposal. Core components include estimates of the nominal GDP level, inflation rate, real GDP growth, and interest rates, although the importance of other macroeconomic assumptions, such as the price of oil, can vary from country to country.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original macroeconomic assumptions for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original macroeconomic forecast for the fiscal year and the actual outcome for that year is not presented.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

91b. Based on the response to Question 91, check the box(es) to identify which elements of the macroeconomic forecast have the differences between the original forecast and the outcome for the year presented in the Year-End Report:

Answer:

None of the above

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

92. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 92 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 49 for the nonfinancial data on inputs included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on inputs and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

93. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 93 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion. Nonfinancial data on results can include data on both outputs and outcomes, but not on inputs (which are addressed in Question 92).

Refer to Question 50 for the nonfinancial data on results included in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of nonfinancial data on results and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

94. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies (both new proposals and existing policies) that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 94 asks whether the Year-End Report includes estimates of the differences between the enacted level of funds for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 52 for assistance to the most impoverished populations in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must present estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the enacted level for all policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between the enacted level for some but not all of the policies that are intended to benefit the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented.

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the enacted level for policies that are intended to benefit directly the country's most impoverished populations and the actual outcome are not presented.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

95. Does the Year-End Report present the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome?

GUIDELINES:

Question 95 asks whether the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual

outcome for the year, and whether these estimates are accompanied by a narrative discussion.

Refer to Question 33 for estimates of extra-budgetary funds in the Executive's Budget Proposal.

To answer "a," the Year-End Report must include estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, including a narrative discussion. Answer "b" if the Year-End Report presents estimates of the differences between all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome, but does not include a narrative discussion. Answer "c" if estimates of the differences between some but not all of the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome are presented, regardless of whether a narrative discussion is included. A "d" response applies if estimates of the differences are not presented

Answer:

d. No, estimates of the differences between the original estimates of extra-budgetary funds and the actual outcome is not presented.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

96. Is a financial statement included as part of the Year-End Report or released as a separate report?

GUIDELINES:

Question 96 asks whether a financial statement is included as part of the Year-End Report, or whether it is released as a separate report. The financial statement can include some or all of the following elements: a cash flow statement, an operating statement, a balance sheet, and notes on accounting. For purposes of responding to this question, the financial statement in question does not need to be audited. For an example of a financial statement, see the document "Financial Statements of the Government of New Zealand 2013" (<https://treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2013-10/fsgnz-year-jun13.pdf>)

To answer "a," a financial statement must either be included in the Year-End Report or must be released as a separate report. Answer "a" applies if a financial statement is released as a separate report, even if the Year-End Report is not publicly available. Answer "b" applies if no financial statement is released either as part of the Year-End Report or as a separate report.

Answer:

b. No, a financial statement is neither part of the Year-End Report nor released as a separate report.

Source:

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Voir également: <http://www.dgfag.mg/loi-de-reglement/>

Comment:

La Loi de règlement pour 2019 constitue le rapport de fin d'année à considérer. Ce document doit être publié au plus tard dans les 12 mois suivant la fin de l'exercice fiscal auquel il se rapporte (2019), c'est à dire publié avant 31 décembre 2020. Mais ce n'est pas le cas pour la présente évaluation car le dernier document publié est encore le projet de loi de règlement de 2017 (cf. source). Publication très retardée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer: c. Not applicable/other (please comment).

Comments: La reponse semble plutot "Not Applicable" puisque le RFA n'a pas ete publie.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Étant donné qu'un état financier peut également être publié séparément du Rapport de fin d'année aux fins de la question 96 (même si le Rapport lui-

même n'est pas accessible au public), la réponse existante de "b" est maintenue.

97. What type of audits (compliance, financial, or performance) has the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) conducted and made available to the public?

GUIDELINES:

Question 97 asks about the types of audits conducted by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). There are three basic types of audits:

- *Financial audits are intended to determine if an entity's financial information is accurate (free from errors or fraud) and presented in accordance with the applicable financial reporting and regulatory framework. See ISSAI 200 (<http://www.issai.org/issai-framework/3-fundamental-auditing-principles.htm>) for more detail.*
- *Compliance audits look at the extent to which the relevant regulations and procedures have been followed. See ISSAI 400 (<https://www.issai.org/pronouncements/issai-400-compliance-audit-principles/>) for more details.*
- *Performance audits assess whether activities are adhering to the principles of economy, efficiency, and effectiveness. See ISSAI 300 (<https://www.issai.org/pronouncements/issai-300-performance-audit-principles/>) for more details.*

Financial and compliance audits are more common than performance audits, which usually occur only once a performance framework has been agreed upon. In some countries, the SAI's mandate limits the type of audit it can conduct.

To answer "a," the SAI must have conducted all three types of audit – financial, compliance, and performance – and made all of them available to the public. A "b" response applies if the SAI has conducted two of the three audit types, and a "c" applies if it has conducted only one type of audit. Answers "b" and "c" may be selected even if the Audit Report is not publicly available, as long as the SAI has conducted compliance or performance audits and made them available to the public. A "d" response applies if the SAI has not conducted any of the three types of audits, or has not made them available to the public.

Answer:

d. The SAI has not conducted any of the three types of audits, or has not made them available to the public.

Source:

La Cour ne dispose pas de site de publication de ses rapports d'audit (Financier, conformité, performance)

<https://l'express.mg/12/12/2019/finances-publiques-la-cour-des-comptes-etablit-son-rapport-public/>

Comment:

Malheureusement aucune source en ligne n'a été trouvé concernant ce rapport.

L'entretien avec Mme Ramiandrisoa Lalaina (Juge de l'ordre financier à la Cour) a permis de confirmer les 3 types d'audit conduit par la Cour (financier, conformité, performance)

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

98. What percentage of expenditures within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

GUIDELINES:

Question 98 focuses on the coverage of audits by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), asking what percentage of expenditures within the SAI's mandate has been audited.

The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to budgetary central government (ministries, departments, and agencies) that are within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 99 addresses audits of extra-budgetary funds.) Further, the question does not apply to "secret programs" (for example, security-related expenditures that are confidential). Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.

Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. Financial audits and compliance audits, or a hybrid of the two, can be taken into account to answer this question. Performance audits should not be considered for this question.

To answer "a," all expenditures within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if at least two-thirds, but not all, expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response is appropriate when less than two-thirds of expenditures within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d"

response applies when no expenditures have been audited.

Answer:

d. No expenditures have been audited.

Source:

Aucune source

<https://lexpress.mg/12/12/2019/finances-publiques-la-cour-des-comptes-etablit-son-rapport-public/>

Comment:

Le Cour n'a pas de site pour publier les rapports d'audit

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: La Cour des Comptes n'a pas de site Internet et ses publications ne sont disponibles que par l'intermediaire du site Internet du ministere des finances ou par l'intermediaire du site du CSI (dernier rapport annuel 2018/2019 date de novembre 2019 et mis en ligne en decembre 2019, sous : <http://www.csi.gov.mg/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/RAPPORT-PUBLIC-2018-2019-DE-LA-COUR-DES-COMPTES.pdf>. Ce rapport annuel integre des elements compris dans le rapport de la Cour sur le PLR 2017, lui-meme en ligne sur le site du MEF).

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

99. What percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited?

GUIDELINES:

Question 99 focuses on audits of extra-budgetary funds, asking what percentage of extra-budgetary funds within the mandate of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has been audited. These funds, although technically outside the budget, are governmental in nature and thus should be subject to the same audit requirement as other government programs.

The SAI's mandate is typically defined in statute. Only expenditures related to extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate should be considered for this question. (Question 98 addresses audits of budgetary central government.) Further, if the mandate gives the SAI the authority to outsource some audits, then those audits count for purposes of this question.

To answer "a," all extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate must be audited. A "b" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for at least two-thirds of, but not all, expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "c" response applies if extra-budgetary funds accounting for less than two-thirds of expenditures associated with extra-budgetary funds within the SAI's mandate have been audited. A "d" response applies if extra-budgetary funds have not been audited.

Answer:

d. No extra-budgetary funds have been audited.

Source:

<https://lexpress.mg/12/12/2019/finances-publiques-la-cour-des-comptes-etablit-son-rapport-public/>

Comment:

Thématique non encore abordé d'après Mme Lalaina RAMIANDRISOA

En outre, le rapport d'audit n'est pas publié à temps.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

100. Does the annual Audit Report(s) prepared by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) include an executive summary?

GUIDELINES:

Question 100 asks whether the annual Audit Report includes an executive summary. Only the Audit Report identified in Section 1 should be used to answer this question. The Audit Report can be a fairly technical document, and an executive summary of the report's findings can help make it more accessible to the media and the public.

To answer "a," the Audit Report must include at least one executive summary summarizing the report's content. Answer "b" applies if the Audit Report does not include an executive summary, or the Audit Report is not made publicly available.

Answer:

b. No, the annual Audit Report(s) does not include an executive summary.

Source:

Menu Principal

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/menu/18

Rapport d'audit de la Cour

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/RAPPORT%20COUR%20DES%20COMPTE%20SUR%20PLR%202017.pdf>

Comment:

Publié tardivement

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Le rapport de la Cour sur le PLR 2017 contenait une synthese d'une vingtaine de pages (pour un rapport faisant au total 160 pages)

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

101. Does the executive make available to the public a report on what steps it has taken to address audit recommendations or findings that indicate a need for remedial action?

GUIDELINES:

Question 101 asks whether the executive reports to the public on the steps it has taken to address audit recommendations made by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). The ultimate purpose of audits is to verify that the budget was executed in a manner consistent with existing law, and to hold the government accountable for this execution and its future improvement. The extent to which audits achieve the latter depends on whether there is adequate and timely follow-up on the recommendations provided in the SAI's audit reports.

To answer "a," the executive must report publicly on the steps it has taken to address all audit findings. A "b" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A "c" response applies if the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the executive reports publicly on the steps it has taken to address audit finding, answer "a," "b," or "c" may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A "d" response applies if the executive does not report at all on its steps to address audit findings.

Answer:

d. No, the executive does not report on steps it has taken to address audit findings.

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Le rapport d'audit n'est pas publié à temps.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

102. Does either the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or legislature release to the public a report that tracks actions taken by the executive to address audit recommendations?

GUIDELINES:

Question 102 asks whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) or the legislature track actions by the executive to address audit recommendations. After audit results and recommendations are discussed and validated by the legislature, the executive is normally asked to take certain actions to address the audit findings. For accountability purposes, the public needs to be informed about the status of those actions, and steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations. In addition to the executive reporting on its actions (see Question 101), the SAI and legislature – as the key oversight institutions – have a responsibility to keep the public informed by tracking the executive’s progress in addressing audit recommendations.

To answer “a,” the SAI or legislature must report publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address all audit findings. A “b” response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address most, but not all, audit findings. A “c” response applies if the SAI or legislature reports publicly on what steps the executive has taken to address only some audit findings. As long as the SAI or legislature reports publicly on the steps the executive has taken, answer “a,” “b,” or “c” may be selected, even if the Audit Report is not made publicly available. A “d” response applies if neither the SAI nor the legislature reports on the executive’s steps to address audit findings.

Answer:

d. No, neither the SAI nor legislature reports on steps the executive has taken to address audit recommendations.

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Le rapport d'audit n'est pas publié à temps.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

103. Is there an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) that conducts budget analyses for the budget formulation and/or approval process?

GUIDELINES:

Question 103 examines whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) exists that contributes budget analyses to the budget formulation and/or approval process. According to the Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions, adopted by the OECD Council in 2014, “independent fiscal institutions are publicly funded, independent bodies under the statutory authority of the executive or the legislature which provide non-partisan oversight and analysis of, and in some cases advice on, fiscal policy and performance”, and with “a forward-looking ex ante diagnostic task”. In practice, they come in two main forms:

- Parliamentary budget offices (also known as PBOs) such as the Congressional Budget Office in the United States (<https://www.cbo.gov/>), the Parliamentary Budget Office in South Africa (<https://www.parliament.gov.za/parliamentary-budget-office>), and the Center for Public Finance Studies in Mexico (Centro de Estudios de las Finanzas Públicas, <http://www.cefp.gob.mx/>); or
- Fiscal councils such as the Office for Budget Responsibility in the United Kingdom (<https://obr.uk/>) and the High Council for Public Finances in France (Haut Conseil des finances publiques, <https://www.hcfp.fr/>).

For more information, see von Trapp et al. ‘Principles for Independent Fiscal Institutions and Case Studies’, OECD Journal on Budgeting 15:2 (special issue, 2016), <https://doi.org/10.1787/budget-15-5jm2795tv625>.

To answer “a,” there must be an IFI, and its independence must be set in law. In addition, it must have sufficient staffing and resources, including funding, to carry out its tasks. Answer “b” applies if an IFI exists, but either its independence is not set in law or its staffing and resources are insufficient to carry out its tasks. Answer “c” applies if an IFI exists, but its independence is not set in law and it lacks sufficient staffing and resources. Answer “d” applies if no IFI exists.

If the answer is “a,” “b,” or “c,” please specify in the comments the name and type of IFI that exists (e.g., parliamentary budget office or fiscal council). If the answer is “a” or “b,” identify the law that guarantees its independence, and provide evidence in support of the assessment of the adequacy of its staffing and resources. This can include the IFI’s total budget allocation over recent years, any press reports that discuss perceived funding shortfalls, assessments by international organizations, and/or information from interviews with staff of the IFI.

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI.

Source:
Aucune

Comment:
Cette entité n'existe pas

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

104. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts?

GUIDELINES:

Question 104 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a role in producing the macroeconomic forecast (e.g., GDP growth, inflation, interest rates, etc.) and/or the fiscal forecast (revenues, expenditure, deficits, and debt), and if so, what kind of role it has. Macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasting is a typical core function across IFIs, but their role in forecasting takes several forms (von Trapp et al. 2016, p. 17 and Table 2). Some IFIs produce just a macroeconomic forecast, while others produce a complete fiscal forecast (which also typically requires an underlying macroeconomic forecast). In some cases, the fiscal forecast reflects continuation of current budget policies; such forecasts can be used by the legislature, the media, or the public to assess the projections in the executive's budget reflecting the government's policy proposals.

Some IFIs produce the official macroeconomic and fiscal forecasts used in the executive's budget. In other cases, IFIs do not prepare their own independent forecasts, but rather produce an assessment of the official estimates, or provide an opinion on, or endorsement of, the government's forecasts. Some others have no role at all in forecasting.

To answer "a", there must be an IFI that publishes both its own macroeconomic AND fiscal forecasts. Answer "b" applies if an IFI publishes its own macroeconomic OR fiscal forecast (but not both). Answer "c" applies if the IFI does not publish a macroeconomic or fiscal forecast, but rather publishes an assessment of the official forecasts produced by the executive and used in the budget. Choose option "d" if there is no IFI; or if there is an IFI that neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts for the budget.

Macroeconomic forecasts may include indicators relating to economic output and economic growth, inflation, and the labor market, amongst others. Fiscal forecasts may include estimates of revenues, expenditures, the budget balance, and debt. If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify which indicators and estimates are included in the forecasts and whether the forecast is used by government as the official forecast. If the answer is "c," please describe the nature and depth of the assessment (e.g., the length of the commentary, or whether it covers both economic and fiscal issues).

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI neither publishes its own macroeconomic and/or fiscal forecasts, nor a commentary on the official forecasts produced by the executive.

Source:
Aucune

Comment:
Cette entité n'existe pas

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

105. Does the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) publish its own costings of new policy proposals, to assess their impact on the budget?

GUIDELINES:

Question 105 assesses whether an Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) has a costing function that involves assessing the budgetary implications of new policy proposals for both revenues and expenditures, and if so, what kind of role it has. Many IFIs have a costing role, but with substantial diversity in the nature and extent of this work (von Trapp et al 2016, pp. 17-18 and Table 2). Some assess virtually all new policy proposals, while others cost only a selection of new

policy proposals. Others only publish opinions on, or scrutinize the costings of, budget measures produced by the executive.

To answer "a," the IFI must publish its own costings of all (or virtually all) new policy proposals. Answer "b" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only for major new policy proposals – for instance, only those proposals that cost or save above a certain amount. Answer "c" applies if the IFI publishes its own costings, but only on a limited number of proposals. This could occur, for instance, if the IFI lacked the capacity to assess proposals dealing with certain sectors. Instead of producing a cost estimate, it can also publish an assessment of the estimates produced by the executive. Answer "d" applies if there is no IFI; or if the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals or provide an assessment of the official costings of new policy proposals.

Answer:

d. No, there is no IFI; or the IFI does not publish its own costings of new policy proposals.

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Cette entité n'existe pas

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

106. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

GUIDELINES:

Question 106 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Independent Fiscal Institution (IFI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Almost all IFIs interact with the legislature in some form (von Trapp et al 2016, p. 18), but the intensity of the interaction varies. This question assesses this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the IFI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the IFI staff member in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). As evidence to support your answer, you can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the IFI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; and "c" for once or twice. Answer "d" should be selected if the head or a senior staff member of the IFI never took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature, or if there is no IFI.

Answer:

d. Never, or there is no IFI.

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Cette entité n'existe pas

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

107. Does the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 107 asks whether the legislature debated budget policies prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal for the most recent budget year before the research cut-off date. In general, prior to discussing the Executive's Budget Proposal for the coming year, the legislature should have an opportunity to review the government's broad budget priorities and fiscal parameters. Often times this information is laid out in a Pre-Budget Statement, which the executive presents to the legislature for debate. (See Questions 54-58.)

A number of countries conduct a pre-budget debate in the legislature around six months before the start of the budget year. In some cases, they adopt laws that guide the upcoming budget, for example the Budget Guidelines Law in Brazil and the Spring Fiscal Policy Bill in Sweden. A pre-budget debate can serve two main purposes: 1) to allow the executive to inform the legislature of its fiscal policy intentions by presenting updated reports on its annual and medium-term budget strategy and policy priorities; and 2) to establish "hard" multi-year fiscal targets or spending ceilings, which the government must adhere to when preparing its detailed spending estimates for the upcoming budget year.

To answer "a," the full legislature must debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal and approve recommendations for the upcoming budget.

Answer "b" applies if a legislative committee (but not the full legislature) debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal, and approves recommendations for the budget. Option "b" also applies if, in addition to the action by the committee, the full legislature also debates budget policy in advance of the budget, but does not approve recommendations.

Answer "c" applies if the full legislature and/or a legislative committee debates budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal, but does not approve recommendations for the budget. Answer "d" applies if neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.

In your comment, please indicate the dates of the budget debate, and if both the full legislature and a legislative committee held a debate. Note that a debate does not need to be open to the public, but a public record of the meeting or a public notice that the meeting occurred is required. In addition, please indicate whether the budget debate was focused on a Pre-Budget Statement published by the Executive. If the Executive did not publish a Pre-Budget Statement, then please indicate what served as the focus of the legislature's debate (for instance, a report released by an IFI or some other institution).

Answer:

d. No, neither the full legislature nor any legislative committee debate budget policy prior to the tabling of the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Pratique constante au niveau de l'assemblée: Le débat se fait au moment de l'adoption de loi, mais pas avant cette session.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

108. How far in advance of the start of the budget year does the legislature receive the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 108 examines how far in advance of the start of the most recent budget year the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be submitted to the legislature far enough in advance to allow the legislature time to review it properly, or at least three months prior to the start of the fiscal year. (See, for instance, Principle 2.2.2 of the IMF's Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) (<https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/IMF069/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859/24788-9781484331859.xml>).

For the purposes of responding to this question, if – and only if – the most recent budget submission occurred later than usual as a result of a particular event, such as an election, please use a more normal year as the basis for the response. If, however, delays have been observed for more than one budget year, and the legislature has not received the Executive's Budget Proposal in a timely manner on more than one occasion in the last three years, then "d" will be the appropriate answer.

To answer "a," the legislature must receive the Executive's Budget Proposal at least three months in advance of the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least two months, but less than three months, before the start of the budget year. Answer "c" applies if the legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month, but less than two months, before the start of the budget year. Answer "d" applies if the legislature does not receive the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month prior to the start of the budget year, or does not receive it at all.

Answer:

b. The legislature receives the Executive's Budget Proposal at least two months, but less than three months, before the start of the budget year.

Source:

<https://fanainga.mg/societe-civile-lfi-transparence/>

Comment:

Fanainga est une OSC engagée en matière de transparence et suit avec intérêt la transparence budgétaire à Madagascar. L'article qu'elle a publié le 16 novembre 2020 a permis de constater sur sa page web la date du dépôt du projet de loi de finances pour 2021 en ces termes "...Il a pourtant été déposé à l'Assemblée le 30 octobre, mais il n'est pas encore publié."

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Correspond aux delais fixes par la LOLF (article 46, qui prévoit un depot du PLF avant le 30 octobre).

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

109. When does the legislature approve the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 109 examines when the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal. International good practice recommends that the Executive's Budget Proposal should be approved by the legislature before the start of the fiscal year the budget proposal refers to. This gives the executive time to implement the budget in its entirety, particularly new programs and policies.

In some countries, the expenditure and revenue estimates of the Executive's Budget Proposal are approved separately; for purposes of this question, at least the expenditure estimates must be approved. Further, approval of the budget implies approval of the full-year budget, not just a short-term continuation of spending and revenue authority.

To answer "a," the legislature must approve the Executive's Budget Proposal at least one month before the start of the budget year. Answer "b" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year. Answer "c" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal less than one month after the start of the budget year. Answer "d" applies if the legislature approves the Executive's Budget Proposal more than one month after the start of the budget year, or does not approve the budget.

Answer:

b. The legislature approves the budget less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year.

Source:

Site de la RFI

<https://www.rfi.fr/fr/afrique/20201129-madagascar-adopt%C3%A9-par-l-assembl%C3%A9e-nationale-le-projet-de-loi-de-finances-suscite-la-controverse>

Comment:

Il a été indiqué sur cette page: "La société civile de Madagascar réagit après l'adoption, tard dans la soirée du vendredi 27 novembre, du projet de loi de finances 2021 par les députés. .../..."

L'adoption en date du 27 novembre correspond à une période d'au moins un mois avant le 01 janvier 2021

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Disagree

Suggested Answer:

b. The legislature approves the budget less than one month in advance of the start of the budget year, but at least by the start of the budget year.

Comments: La question ne précise pas s'il s'agit de voir ce qui s'est passé en 2020 (budget 2021) ou s'il s'agit de parler plus généralement de la procédure d'adoption du budget. En tout état de cause, l'article auquel le chercheur fait référence indique que "Le texte doit maintenant être examiné par les sénateurs avant un vote prévu le 10 décembre." La procédure fixée par la LOLF (article 47) donne 60 jours aux deux assemblées (30 jours pour l'AN, 15 jours pour le Sénat, 5 jours pour chacune des deux assemblées pour une seconde lecture) pour examiner et voter le budget ce qui, compte tenu d'un dépôt effectif le 30 octobre, ne garantit pas l'adoption du budget un mois avant le début de l'exercice et donne plutôt à penser que la réponse serait "b".

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

IBP Comment

Le contexte supplémentaire fourni par l'examineur pair est bien noté ; la réponse est révisée de "a" à "b".

110. Does the legislature have the authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 110 examines the legislature's power to amend—as opposed to simply accept or reject—the budget proposal presented by the executive. This question is about legal authority rather than actions the legislature takes in practice. The legislature's powers to amend the budget can vary substantially across countries.

The "a" response is appropriate only if there are no restrictions on the right of the legislature to modify the Executive's Budget Proposal, including its right to change the size of the proposed deficit or surplus. The "b" response would be appropriate if, for instance, the legislature is restricted from changing the deficit or surplus, but it still has the power to increase or decrease funding and revenue levels. The more limited "c" response would apply if, for instance, the legislature can only re-allocate spending within the totals set in the Executive's Budget Proposal or can only decrease funding levels or increase revenues. Finally, response "d" would apply if the legislature may not make any changes (or only small technical changes), or if amendments must first be approved by the executive. In these cases, the legislature is essentially only able to approve or reject the budget as a whole. If the answer is "b" or "c", please indicate the nature of the amendment powers available to the Parliament and how they are limited.

Answer:

b. Yes, the legislature has authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, with some limitations.

Source:

<http://www.hcc.gov.mg/decisions/d3/decision-n33-hcc-d3-du-24-decembre-2018-concernant-lordonnance-n2018-001-portant-loi-de-finances-pour-2019/>

Comment:

Un exemple de la dernière édition sur les amendements parlementaires :

14.Considérant que la procédure d'amendement d'un projet de loi ordinaire autre que le projet de loi de finances est fixé par l'article 86 de la Constitution ;que la procédure d'amendement parlementaire d'un projet de loi de finances est soumis à des règles strictes fixées par l'article 92 de la Loi fondamentale ainsi que par les dispositions de l'article 49 de la loi organique n°2004-007 du 26 juillet 2004 sur les lois de finances ; que tout amendement au projet de budget entraînant un accroissement des dépenses ou une diminution des ressources publiques doit être accompagné d'une proposition d'augmentation de recettes ou d'économie équivalente ; que les amendements formulés par les membres du Parlement ne sont pas recevables lorsque leur adoption aurait pour conséquence soit une diminution des ressources publiques, soit la création ou l'aggravation d'une charge publique ; que, toutefois, un amendement tendant à diminuer une ressource publique est recevable sous réserve qu'il soit gagé par une augmentation à due concurrence d'une autre ressource publique ;

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

111. During the most recent budget approval process, did the legislature use its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 111 assesses whether any formal authority of the legislature to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal is used in practice. The responses to this question should be determined based on action by the legislature related to the Enacted Budget used in the OBS. Choose answer "a" if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal during the most recent budget approval process, and amendments were adopted (all, or at least some of them). Answer "a" also applies if the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, but the amendments were rejected by executive veto. Answer "b" applies if the legislature used its authority in law to propose amendments to the Executive's Budget Proposal, but none of these amendments were adopted. Answer "c" applies if the legislature has the authority in law to amend the budget, but no amendments were proposed during its consideration. Answer "d" applies when the legislature does not have any authority to amend the budget (that is, Question 110 is answered "d").

If the answer is "a" or "b", please specify in the comments the number of amendments introduced by the legislature (and in the case of an "a" response, the number adopted, or if applicable, information about an executive veto) and describe their nature. For example, did the amendments result in an increase or decrease of the deficit? What were the most significant amendments to revenues and to expenditures in terms of the sums involved? How did amendments affect the composition of expenditures? If the answer is "a," please specify which amendments were adopted, and provide evidence for it.

Answer:

a. Yes, the legislature used its authority in law to amend the Executive's Budget Proposal, and (at least some of) its amendments were adopted.

Source:

Site de l'assemblée nationale

<https://assemblee-nationale.mg/adoption-de-la-loi-de-finances-pour-2021/>

RFI

<https://www.rfi.fr/fr/afrique/20201129-madagascar-adopt%C3%A9-par-l-assembl%C3%A9e-nationale-le-projet-de-loi-de-finances-suscite-la-controverse>

Comment:

Assemblée: en bas de page du lien présenté en Source, il a été indiqué

La loi de Finances pour 2021 a été ainsi adoptée à l'unanimité avec quelques amendements concernant le Code Général des Impôts.

RFI

Toujours d'après cette page, ce projet a été validé après seulement trois heures de débat en séance plénière et un amendement.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

112. During the last budget approval process, did a specialized budget or finance committee in the legislature examine the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

Question 112 assesses the role of a specialized budget or finance committee during the budget approval stage. Effective committee involvement is an essential condition for legislative influence in the budget process. Specialized committees provide opportunities for individual legislators to gain relevant expertise, and to examine budgets and policy in depth. Yet, the involvement of committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures have separate committees to examine spending and tax proposals, while others have a single finance committee. Not all legislatures have a specialized budget or finance committee to examine the budget. In addition, there can be differences in the time available for the committee's analysis of the budget.

A report with the committee's findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, therefore it must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget.

Response "a" requires that, in the last budget approval process, a specialized budget or finance committee had one month or more to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and it published a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such a committee examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if a committee examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where a specialized budget or finance committee did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Please specify in your comment the name of the committee and the number of days it had available to examine the budget. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a copy of the report. Please note also if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.

Answer:

c. Yes, a specialized budget or finance committee examined the Executive's Budget Proposal, but it did not publish a report with findings and recommendations prior to the budget being adopted.

Source:

Assemblée Nationale

<https://assemblee-nationale.mg/adoption-de-la-loi-de-finances-pour-2021/>

RFI

<https://www.rfi.fr/fr/afrique/20201129-madagascar-adopt%C3%A9-par-l-assembl%C3%A9e-nationale-le-projet-de-loi-de-finances-suscite-la-controverse>

LOLF (cf. art 46 pour commentaire)

[http://www.mefb.gov.mg/dgcf/textes-](http://www.mefb.gov.mg/dgcf/textes-pdf/FINANCES%20PUBLIQUES/farany/3Loi%20Organique%20n2004007%20du%2026%20juillet%202004%20sur%20les%20Lois%20de%20Finances%20(LOLF).pdf)

[pdf/FINANCES%20PUBLIQUES/farany/3Loi%20Organique%20n2004007%20du%2026%20juillet%202004%20sur%20les%20Lois%20de%20Finances%20\(LOLF\).pdf](http://www.mefb.gov.mg/dgcf/textes-pdf/FINANCES%20PUBLIQUES/farany/3Loi%20Organique%20n2004007%20du%2026%20juillet%202004%20sur%20les%20Lois%20de%20Finances%20(LOLF).pdf)

Comment:

Sur la Page de l'assemblée Nationale, il a été présenté:

"Après les travaux en commission, la séance plénière de ce vendredi 27 novembre 2020 est dédiée au vote du Projet de loi de Finances pour 2021. La séance s'est tenue à partir de 18 heures avec la présentation du rapport de la Commission des finances et du budget. Plusieurs questions ont été soulevées en commission et lues en séance plénière, dont le Ministère de l'Economie et des Finances a apporté des éclaircissements sur les sujets qui intéressent particulièrement les Députés de Madagascar"

Toujours la même pratique: l'article 46 de la loi organique n° 2004-007 du 26 juillet 2004 dispose que « le projet de loi de Finances de l'année, y compris le rapport et les annexes explicatives prévues à l'article 44, est déposé et distribué au plus tard le 30 octobre de l'année qui précède l'année d'exécution du budget. Il est immédiatement renvoyé à l'examen de la commission des finances de l'Assemblée nationale.

RFI

Ce texte, qui va régler les dépenses publiques de la nation pour l'année à venir, a été validé par la chambre basse, majoritairement pro-régime, après seulement trois heures de débat en séance plénière et un amendement.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

113. During the last approval process, did legislative committees, responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.), examine spending in the Executive's Budget Proposal related to the sector for which they are responsible?

GUIDELINES:

Question 113 assesses the role of committees of the legislature that are responsible for particular sectors (e.g., health, education, defense, etc.) during the budget approval stage. The role of sectoral committees differs across legislatures. Some legislatures do not involve them in the budget approval process, while others do. In addition, the time available for committee analysis differs.

A report with the committee's findings and recommendations is intended to inform the debate in the full legislature, so therefore must be published before the legislature has adopted the budget. Response "a" requires that sector committees had one month or more to examine the Executive's Budget Proposal, and published a report with findings and recommendations prior the budget being adopted. Response "b" applies where such committees examined the draft budget and published a report, but within a shorter timeframe of less than one month. Response "c" applies if sectoral committees examined the budget (without regard to the time period), but did not publish a report prior to the adoption of the budget. Response "d" applies where sectoral committees did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Please note that the examination of sectoral budgets by a specialized budget or finance committee is assessed in Question 112 and should not be considered for this question.

Please provide in the comments a brief overview of the committee structure and specify the number of days that sectoral committees had available to examine the budget and to publish their reports. For bicameral legislatures where one house or chamber has greater constitutional authority in budgetary matters, the question applies to the house or chamber (usually the upper or second one) that is decisive. For bicameral legislatures with co-equal houses or chambers, the question should be answered with reference to the one that achieves the higher score for this question. In the case of bicameral legislatures, please note the relevant arrangements in each house or chamber. If applicable, provide a sample copy of at least one of the reports. Please note if a report is published, but only after the budget has been adopted.

For purposes of responding to this question, use those sectoral committees that are best performing – that is, the ones that examine the budget the longest and that publish reports.

Answer:

d. No, sector committees did not examine the Executive's Budget Proposal.

Source:

Assemblée nationale

<https://assemblee-nationale.mg/adoption-de-la-loi-de-finances-pour-2021/>

Liste des commissions

<https://assemblee-nationale.mg/election-des-presidents-des-commissions-permanentes-et-du-rapporteur-de-la-commission-des-finances-et-du-budget/>

Comment:

Seule la commission chargée des finances et budget a examiné le projet.

D'après la première page de ce lien il y a 31 commissions à l'Assemblée Nationale.

Il n'y a aucun rapport de ce genre publié par ces commissions.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Les commissions sectorielles ne semblent pas examiner le projet de budget mais le site de l'Assemblée Nationale semble indiquer qu'outre la Commission des Finances et du Budget d'autres commissions "transversales" peuvent être impliquées. Cf. <https://www.assemblee-nationale.mg/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/LOI-005-2019.pdf> (référence pour la discussion sur le budget 2020 aux travaux d'une commission mixte réunissant la Commission des Finances et du Budget, la Commission Juridique et la Commission de l'Évaluation des Politiques Publiques). Pas d'élément sur le site Internet toutefois pour confirmer si cette pratique s'est également appliquée à l'examen du budget 2021.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

114. In the past 12 months, did a committee of the legislature examine in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period?

GUIDELINES:

Question 114 is about legislative oversight of budget execution. It assesses whether and how often a committee examined the implementation of the budget during the budget execution period (i.e., financial year) for which it was approved, and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. This question does not apply to the ex post review of implementation following the end of the budget year as part of the audit stage, which is assessed separately. Nor does it apply to the legislature's review of the budget that it may undertake as part of the process of considering a supplemental budget during the year. In-year monitoring by the legislature will be affected by the frequency that the executive publishes In-Year Reports.

To answer "a," a committee must have examined in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget at least three times during the course of the relevant budget year and published reports with findings and recommendations. Answer "b" applies where this occurred only once or twice during the year.

Exception: If a legislature is in session only twice during the year, and it examines the implementation of the budget during both sessions, then it would be eligible for an "a" response.

Choose "c" if a committee examined in-year implementation (without regard to frequency), but did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer "d" applies where no committee examined in-year implementation.

If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation.

For purposes of responding to this question, if more than one committee holds in-year reviews of the budget, use the committee that is best performing – that is, the one that examines in-year implementation the most times and that publishes a report.

Answer:

c. Yes, a committee examined in-year implementation, but it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations.

Source:

LOLF

[http://www.mefb.gov.mg/dgcf/textes-pdf/FINANCES%20PUBLIQUES/farany/3Loi%20Organique%20n2004007%20du%2026%20juillet%202004%20sur%20les%20Lois%20de%20Finances%20\(LOLF\).pdf](http://www.mefb.gov.mg/dgcf/textes-pdf/FINANCES%20PUBLIQUES/farany/3Loi%20Organique%20n2004007%20du%2026%20juillet%202004%20sur%20les%20Lois%20de%20Finances%20(LOLF).pdf)

Comment:

Re conformation de son honorable Parlementaire M. Rivo Jean Bosco (Membre de la commission chargée des finances).

DISPOSITION SUR LE CONTROLE POLITIQUE (LOLF)

Article 57. Obligation de communication au Parlement

Outre les documents et annexes ou rapport relatifs à la loi de Finances qu'il doit produire au Parlement, le Gouvernement devra donner communication aux commissions des finances du Parlement, deux fois par an au cours des sessions ordinaires des assemblées:

- de la situation des dépenses engagées et ordonnancées;
- de la liste des mouvements de crédits;
- des textes pris dans les domaines couvrant le régime de la rémunération du personnel ou les changements intervenus au niveau de la gestion des emplois;
- de la situation des arriérés de paiements;
- de la situation de trésorerie et de la dette publique;
- de la situation des recettes fiscales et douanières;
- de la situation du secteur réel;
- de la situation du commerce extérieur;
- de la balance générale des comptes;
- de la situation de la circulation monétaire;
- de la situation des effectifs.

Les communications prévues au paragraphe ci-dessus porteront sur les dernières réalisations disponibles tant pour la première session que pour la seconde session du Parlement.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Comments: Il est indiqué que la note doit être d si la réponse à la question 54 était un d (pas de production des rapports en cours d'année).

Toutefois, les éléments apportés par l'Honorable Parlementaire semblent indiquer que même en l'absence de rapport en cours d'année la Commission chargée des finances a assuré une forme de suivi de l'exécution budgétaire, sur la base des informations "brutes" transmises par le MEF et dont la liste est portée à l'article 57 de la LOLF. Ce point semble justifier la réponse c apportée par le chercheur, sauf si la méthodologie de l'enquête exige effectivement que le suivi de l'exécution ne puisse se faire que sur la base des rapports en cours d'année.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

115. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units that receive explicit funding in the Enacted Budget, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:

Question 115 examines whether the executive seeks approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, and whether it is legally required to do so.

In some countries, the executive has the power in law to adjust funding levels for specific appropriations during the execution of the budget. This question examines rules around shifting funds between administrative units (ministries, departments, or agencies) or whatever funding unit (or "vote") is specified in the Enacted Budget.

The conditions under which the executive may exercise its discretion to shift funds should be clearly defined in publicly available regulations or law. In addition, the amount of funds that the executive is allowed to transfer between administrative units should not be so excessive as to undermine the accountability of the executive to the legislature.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before shifting funds between administrative units, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before shifting funds, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to shift funds between administrative units and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. Answer "d" also applies if the executive is authorized to shift an amount considered so excessive as to undermine accountability (roughly equal to 3 percent of total budgeted expenditures). A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the shifting of funds after it has already occurred.

In the comments, please indicate any law or regulation that provides the executive with standing authority to shift funds between administrative units and, if so, describe that authority. Similarly, legislative approval for shifting funds between administrative units typically occurs with the adoption of legislation such as a supplemental budget. But if other formal procedures for gaining approval from the legislature exist, then please provide information about that approval process.

Answer:

c. The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to shifting funds between administrative units, but in practice the executive shifts funds before obtaining approval from the legislature.

Source:

LOLF

[http://www.mefb.gov.mg/dgcf/textes-pdf/FINANCES%20PUBLIQUES/farany/3Loi%20Organique%20n2004007%20du%2026%20juillet%202004%20sur%20les%20Lois%20de%20Finances%20\(LOLF\).pdf](http://www.mefb.gov.mg/dgcf/textes-pdf/FINANCES%20PUBLIQUES/farany/3Loi%20Organique%20n2004007%20du%2026%20juillet%202004%20sur%20les%20Lois%20de%20Finances%20(LOLF).pdf)

Loi de Règlement 2017

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/PLR%202017-vf.pdf>

Comment:

1. LOLF

Article 11. Art. 11 - Respect de l'autorisation parlementaire

Les crédits ouverts sont mis à la disposition des ordonnateurs des dépenses qui sont les Chefs d'Institution, les membres du Gouvernement ou toute autre personne nommément désignée par un texte législatif ou réglementaire.

Ils ne peuvent être modifiés que par une loi de Finances ou, à titre exceptionnel, en application des dispositions prévues aux articles 19, 20, 21 et 22 ci-dessous.

Il en va de même de la modification des emplois autorisés entre les ministères, sauf à titre exceptionnel dans les conditions prévues à l'article 19 alinéas 2 et 3.

2. Rapport de la Cour sur la Loi de règlement Page viii

De nombreux actes de modification de crédits, dont certains d'entre eux ne sont pas conformes aux dispositions de la LOLF, sont effectués puis

régularisés par une loi de finance rectificative.
Dépassement de crédits (autorisation parlementaire) : page 93 de la loi de règlement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

116. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue (that is, amounts higher than originally anticipated) that may become available during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:

Question 116 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenue, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if additional revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, which often happens in oil/mineral-dependent countries, and it was not accounted for in the Enacted Budget, there should be a procedure in place to ensure that the legislature approves any proposed use of these "new" funds. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might deliberately underestimate revenue in the budget proposal it submits to the legislature, in order to have additional resources to spend at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before spending any funds resulting from higher-than-expected revenues, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive obtains legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to receive legislative approval before spending excess revenue, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if prior legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to spend excess revenue and the executive does not obtain legislative approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the additional spending after it has already occurred.

Typically, legislative approval of additional spending beyond what was reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur with the adoption of a supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.

Answer:

c. The executive is required by law or regulation to obtain approval from the legislature prior to spending excess revenues, but in practice the executive spends these funds before obtaining approval from the legislature.

Source:

[http://www.mefb.gov.mg/dgcf/textes-pdf/FINANCES%20PUBLIQUES/farany/3Loi%20Organique%20n2004007%20du%2026%20juillet%202004%20sur%20les%20Lois%20de%20Finances%20\(LOLF\).pdf](http://www.mefb.gov.mg/dgcf/textes-pdf/FINANCES%20PUBLIQUES/farany/3Loi%20Organique%20n2004007%20du%2026%20juillet%202004%20sur%20les%20Lois%20de%20Finances%20(LOLF).pdf)

Comment:

Article 20 (LOLF). Ouverture de crédit en cas d'urgence, décret d'avances

En cas d'urgence, des décrets d'avances pris après avis du Bureau Permanent, à défaut de celui des commissions de l'Assemblée nationale et du Sénat chargées des finances, peuvent ouvrir des crédits supplémentaires sans affecter l'équilibre budgétaire défini par la dernière loi de Finances. A cette fin, les décrets d'avances procèdent à l'annulation de crédits ou constatent des recettes supplémentaires. Le montant cumulé des crédits ainsi ouverts ne peut excéder 1 % des crédits ouverts par la loi de Finances de l'année.

Le Bureau Permanent ou la commission chargée des finances de chaque Assemblée fait connaître son avis au Premier Ministre, Chef du Gouvernement dans un délai de sept jours à compter de la notification qui lui a été faite du projet de décret. La signature du décret ne peut intervenir qu'après réception des avis de ces commissions ou, à défaut, après l'expiration du délai sus-mentionné.

La ratification des modifications apportées, sur le fondement des deux alinéas précédents, aux crédits ouverts par la dernière loi de Finances est demandée au Parlement dans le plus prochain projet de loi de Finances afférent à l'année concernée.

En cas d'extrême urgence, de calamité nationale ou en cas de nécessité impérieuse d'intérêt national constaté collégialement par le Gouvernement, des crédits supplémentaires et/ou des recettes correspondantes peuvent être ouverts, après information des commissions de l'Assemblée nationale et du Sénat chargées des Finances, par décret d'avances pris en Conseil des Ministres.

Un projet de loi de Finances portant ratification de ces crédits est déposé immédiatement ou à l'ouverture de la plus prochaine session du Parlement.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Comments: La procedure du decret d'avance, qui permet d'augmenter les depenses (notamment) en constatant des recettes supplementaires sans accord prealable du Parlement, semble en pratique peu utilisee.

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

117. Does the executive seek approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls (that is, revenues lower than originally anticipated) or other reasons during the budget execution period, and is it legally required to do so?

GUIDELINES:

Question 117 examines whether the executive receives approval from the legislature prior to cutting spending below the levels in the Enacted Budget in response to revenue shortfalls or for any other reason, and whether it is legally required to do so. Good practice requires the legislature to approve changes in revenue or expenditure relative to the Enacted Budget. For example, if less revenue is collected unexpectedly during the year, the legislature should approve or reject any proposed reductions in expenditures that are implemented as a result. If such requirements are not in place, the executive might substantially change the composition of the budget at the executive's discretion, with no legislative control.

Typically, legislative approval of proposals to reduce spending below the levels reflected in the Enacted Budget would occur as part of the supplemental budget. But other formal procedures for getting approval from the legislature in advance of it adopting the supplemental budget may exist. If that is the case, then please provide information about that approval process.

To answer "a," the executive is required by law or regulation to obtain prior legislative approval before implementing spending cuts in response to revenue shortfalls or for other reasons, and it does so in practice. Answer "b" applies if the executive received legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but is not legally required to do so. Answer "c" applies if the executive is legally required to obtain legislative approval before implementing such cuts, but does not do so in practice. Answer "d" applies if legislative approval is not legally required for the executive to implement such cuts and the executive does not obtain such approval in practice. A "d" response applies if the legislature only approves the spending cuts after they have already occurred.

Answer:

d. There is no law or regulation requiring the executive to obtain approval from the legislature prior to reducing spending below enacted levels, and in practice the executive implements these spending cuts before seeking prior approval from the legislature.

Source:

LOLF

[http://www.mefb.gov.mg/dgcf/textes-](http://www.mefb.gov.mg/dgcf/textes-pdf/FINANCES%20PUBLIQUES/farany/3Loi%20Organique%20n2004007%20du%2026%20juillet%202004%20sur%20les%20Lois%20de%20Finances%20(LOLF).pdf)

[pdf/FINANCES%20PUBLIQUES/farany/3Loi%20Organique%20n2004007%20du%2026%20juillet%202004%20sur%20les%20Lois%20de%20Finances%20\(LOLF\).pdf](http://www.mefb.gov.mg/dgcf/textes-pdf/FINANCES%20PUBLIQUES/farany/3Loi%20Organique%20n2004007%20du%2026%20juillet%202004%20sur%20les%20Lois%20de%20Finances%20(LOLF).pdf)

Comment:

Article 21. Blocage ou annulation de crédit

Les Ministres chargés des Finances et du Budget sont habilités, par arrêté ministériel, à geler ou à annuler les crédits qui deviennent sans objet ou pour toute autre raison après accord du Ministre intéressé. Information en est donnée au Bureau Permanent et à la commission chargée des Finances respectifs de l'Assemblée nationale et du Sénat.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

118. Did a committee of the legislature examine the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 118 is about ex post oversight following the implementation of the budget. It probes whether a committee examined the Audit Report on the annual budget produced by the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI), and whether this resulted in an official report with findings and recommendations. A key issue is how soon after the SAI releases the report does it legislature review it. This question does not apply to the legislative scrutiny of in-year implementation of the Enacted Budget during the relevant budget execution period, which is assessed separately. Also, the question is asking specifically about the SAI's annual report on the execution of the budget, not about other audit reports that the SAI may produce. (This is the Audit Report used for responding to Question 98.)

To answer "a," a legislative committee must have examined the annual Audit Report within three months of it being released by the SAI, and then published a report (or reports) with findings and recommendations. (Note that the three-month period should only take into account time when the legislature is in session.)

Answer "b" applies when the committee examines it within six months of it being released (but more than three months), and then published a report with its findings and recommendations. Choose "c" if a committee examined the annual Audit Report more than six months after it became available or it did not publish any report with findings and recommendations. Answer "d" applies where no committee examined the annual Audit Report.

If the answer is "a" or "b," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed the Audit Report, and provide a copy of its report(s). If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the committee and when it reviewed budget implementation. Answers "a," "b," or "c" may be selected if the Audit Report is produced by the SAI but not made publicly available.

Answer:

d. No, a committee did not examine the Audit Report on the annual budget.

Source:

Retard de publication du rapport d'audit

Comment:

Le rapport d'audit d'il y a 2 ans passé est le dernier disponible. Le rapport est considéré non publié en 2020.

Note "d"

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: La note pourrait aussi être "non applicable" puisque le rapport d'audit n'a pas été publié en 2020.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

119. Was the process of appointing (or re-appointing) the current head of the SAI carried out in a way that ensures his or her independence?

GUIDELINES:

Question 119 concerns the appointment process of the current head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). Appointment procedures vary greatly across countries, as well as across different types of SAIs. Moreover, conventions and informal practices can greatly affect the de facto independence of the head of the SAI. While these factors make it difficult to devise a single metric against which all SAIs can be assessed with regard to this particular aspect, this question focuses on whether the legislature or judiciary must appoint or approve the appointment of the head of the SAI as a way to ensure the SAI's independence from the executive. However, if the appointment is carried out in another way that nonetheless ensures the independence of the SAI head, then that approach could be also considered.

To answer "a," the legislature or judiciary must appoint (or re-appoint) the head of the SAI, or approve the recommendation of the executive, as a way that ensure his or her independence from the executive. (As noted above, alternative approaches may also be acceptable.) Choose "b" if the appointment process does not ensure the independence of the head of the SAI, e.g. the executive may appoint the head of the SAI without the final consent of the legislature or judiciary.

Irrespective of which answer you selected, provide a description of how the head of the SAI is appointed.

Answer:

b. No, the executive may appoint the head of the SAI without the final consent of the legislature or judiciary.

Source:

Constitution

<http://www.hcc.gov.mg/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/CONSTITUTION-IV.pdf>

Loi organique sur le Conseil Supérieur de la Magistrature

<http://www.droit-afrique.com/upload/doc/madagascar/Madagascar-Loi-2007-39-Conseil-superieur-magistrature.pdf>

Comment:

Textes restant toujours en vigueur:

Le Président de SAI peut être abrogé à tout moment (Voir article 55 - 4 de la Constitution)

Par ailleurs, Le Président de la République et le Ministre de la Justice sont respectivement Président et Vice -Président du Conseil Supérieur de la Magistrature, l'organe qui gère la carrière des magistrats.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

120. Must a branch of government other than the executive (such as the legislature or the judiciary) give final consent before the head of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) can be removed from office?

GUIDELINES:

Question 120 covers the manner in which the head or senior members of the SAI may be removed from office. This question draws on best practices identified in the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf>), including measures intended to guarantee the office's independence from the executive.

To answer "a," the head of the SAI may only be removed by the legislature or judiciary, or the legislature or judiciary must give final consent before the head of the SAI is removed. For example, the legislature or judiciary may give final consent following a certain external process, such as a criminal proceeding. So while the executive may initiate a criminal proceeding, the final consent of a member of the judiciary – or a judge – is necessary to render a verdict of wrongdoing that may lead to the removal from office of the head of the SAI. Answer "b" applies if the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.

Answer:

b. No, the executive may remove the head of the SAI without the final consent of the judiciary or legislature.

Source:

Constitution

<http://www.hcc.gov.mg/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/CONSTITUTION-IV.pdf>

Loi organique sur le Conseil Supérieur de la Magistrature

<http://www.droit-afrique.com/upload/doc/madagascar/Madagascar-Loi-2007-39-Conseil-superieur-magistrature.pdf>

Comment:

Textes restant toujours en vigueur

Le Président de SAI peut être abrogé à tout moment (Voir article 55 de la constitution)

Par ailleurs, Le Président de la République et le Ministre de la Justice sont respectivement Président et Vice -Président du Conseil Supérieur de la Magistrature, l'organe qui gère la carrière des magistrat (article 123 de la constitution).

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

121. Who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)?

GUIDELINES:

Question 121 asks who determines the budget of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI). To ensure objective audits of government budgets, another important component of the SAI's independence from the executive is the extent to which the SAI's budget is determined by a body other than the executive, and whether the SAI has adequate resources to fulfill its mandate.

Answer "a" applies if the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate, AND either the SAI determines its own budget and then submits it to the executive (which accepts it with little or no change) or directly to the legislature, or the budget of the SAI is determined directly by the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body). Answer "b" applies if the SAI's budget is determined by the executive (absent a recommendation from the SAI), and the funding level is broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "c" applies if the legislature or judiciary (or some independent body) determines the SAI's budget, but the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Answer "d" applies if the executive determines the SAI's budget, and the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate. Please provide evidence in support of the assessment that the funding level is or is not broadly consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

Answer:

d. The budget of the SAI is determined by the executive, and the funding level is not consistent with the resources the SAI needs to fulfill its mandate.

Source:

Entretien avec Mme le responsable administratif et financier de la Cour : Mme Mialy RAKOTOMALALA

Comment:

Aucun changement par rapport aux pratiques précédentes.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

122. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) have the discretion in law to undertake those audits it may wish to?

GUIDELINES:

Question 122 explores the scope of the investigative powers of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) as prescribed in law.

Question 97 asks which of the three types of audits – financial, compliance, and performance – the SAI conducts. This question asks if the SAI is constrained by law (rather than by a lack of capacity or an inadequate budget) from undertaking any form of audit or investigating irregularities in any program or activity.

There are numerous examples of limitations. For instance, some SAIs are not permitted by their legal mandate to audit joint ventures or other public-private arrangements. Others are only allowed to undertake financial audits, precluded from conducting performance or value-for-money audits. The SAIs in some countries do not have the legal mandate to review arrangements involving oil or stabilization funds, or other types of special or extra-budgetary funds. The SAI may also not have the ability to audit commercial projects involving the public and private sector.

To answer "a," the SAI must have full discretion in law to decide which audits to undertake. Answer "b" applies if some limitations exist, but the SAI enjoys significant discretion to undertake those audits it wishes to. Answer "c" applies if the SAI has some discretion, but significant legal limitations exist. Answer "d" applies if the SAI has no power at all to choose which audits to undertake

Consulting the Lima Declaration of Guidelines on Auditing Precepts (<http://internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/LimaDeclaration.pdf>) may be useful in answering this question as its provisions serve to define the appropriate scope of a SAI's legal mandate and jurisdiction.

Answer:

a. The SAI has full discretion to decide which audits it wishes to undertake.

Source:

Loi Organique sur la Cour Suprême

<http://www.cour-supreme.gov.mg/wp-content/uploads/2004-036.pdf>

Comment:

Article 384. "Le contrôle s'effectue sur place à l'initiative de la Cour, sur la base des documents comptables et pièces justificatives tenus par l'ordonnateur."

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

123. Are the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) reviewed by an independent agency?

GUIDELINES:

Question 123 assesses whether and to what extent the audit processes of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) are subject to review by an independent agency. The latter could be a peer SAI, an international organization, an academic institution with relevant expertise, or an independent domestic agency with quality assurance functions in the area of financial reporting.

To answer "a," an independent agency must conduct and publish a review of the audit processes of the SAI on an annual basis. Answer "b" applies if a review was carried out within the past five years, and published, but it is not conducted annually, but. Choose answer "c" if the SAI has an internal unit that reviews the

audit processes of the SAI on a regular basis, but an independent agency does not conduct such a review. Answer "d" applies if the audit processes of the SAI are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.

If the answer is either "a" or "b," please specify the name of the independent agency and when last it conducted such a review, and provide a copy of the published report. If the answer is "c," please specify the name of the unit within the SAI that is tasked with conducting such reviews.

Answer:

d. No, the audit processes of the SAI are reviewed neither by an independent agency nor by a unit within the SAI.

Source:

Normes utilisées:

ISSAI 5600 Annexe Domaines et questions d'un examen par les pairs

<http://www.issai.org/data/files/18/72/5D/C3/85BD95108A917D959B59F9C2/ISSAI%205600%20-%20Annex%20FR.PDF>

Comment:

Même pratique que l'année précédente: Mme Lalaina RAMIANDRISOA

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

124. In the past 12 months, how frequently did the head or a senior staff member of the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) take part and testify in hearings of a committee of the legislature?

GUIDELINES:

Question 124 concerns the interaction between two important oversight actors and assesses how frequently the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) made high-level inputs to the work of legislative committees. Many SAIs interact with the legislature in some form, but the nature and intensity of the interaction varies. This question probes this aspect by asking, with reference to the past 12 months, how frequently the head or a senior staff member of the SAI took part and testified in hearings of a committee of the legislature. The intent is to assess the extent to which the SAI representative in question was not only present at a meeting of a legislative committee, but was an active participant (as opposed to a passive observer, serving only as a resource when called upon). You can refer to official records of legislative committees, websites and annual reports of the SAI, press releases and media coverage, for example. Choose answer "a" if this occurred five times or more; "b" for three times or more, but less than five times; "c" for once or twice, and "d" for never.

Answer:

d. Never.

Source:

Entretien avec les membres de la Cour

Comment:

D'après Mme RAMIANDRISOA Lalaina : Même pratique. En tout état de cause, l'année 2020 a été affectée par la situation COVID et n'a pas permis d'effectuer ce genre d'entretien.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

125. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation of the annual budget (prior to the budget being tabled in parliament)?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the

executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:

https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf.

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in the formulation of the annual budget, including annual pre-budget discussions. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as spending and tax policy, funding and revenue levels, and macro-fiscal planning.

To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government officials. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that the all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

c. Yes, the executive uses participation mechanisms during the budget formulation phase, but either these mechanisms capture only some ad-hoc views, or the executive invites specific individuals or groups for budget discussions (participation is not, in practice, open to everyone).

Source:

MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/news/343

Comment:

D'après cet article, il s'agit d'élaborer des programmes et des projets qui répondront favorablement aux besoins économiques et sociaux de la population de base. Chaque acteur, à savoir les élus, les responsables administratifs au niveau de chaque région et district, ainsi que les membres des Organisations de la Société Civile (OSC) et du secteur privé y formule les suggestions d'améliorations utiles et nécessaires à la préparation du budget de l'année 2021. Le MEF transmettra ensuite les besoins locaux collectés au niveau des Ministères respectifs qui procéderont à leur priorisation.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Autre article sur ces conferences budgetaires regionales: <http://www.dgfag.mg/2020/02/17/14915/>

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

126. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive take concrete steps to include vulnerable and under-represented parts of the population in the formulation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on the executive's efforts to seek out the views of members of the public from socially vulnerable groups and/or who are underrepresented in the process.

To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from at least one vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organization representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives of vulnerable/underrepresented groups.

Answer "b" applies if the executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/news/343

Comment:

Il y a peu de détails sur les mesures concrètes que l'exécutif a effectivement prises pour inclure ces populations.

note "b"

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

127. During the budget formulation stage, which of the following key topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the formulation of the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics -- and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive's engagement with the public during budget formulation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above -- for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the executive's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget

formulation stage.

Answer:

c. The executive's engagement with citizens covers at least one (but less than three) of the above-mentioned topics.

Source:

MEF

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/page_personnalisee/index/news/343

Comment:

Aucune précision apportée par la source. Il est incertain de fournir une appréciation exacte comme indiquée par les questions
Le but de ces conférences budgétaires régionales est entre autres d'avoir une croissance inclusive et partagée.

Pour assurer la cohérence avec les lignes directrices de l'enquête, la réponse « c » est donc sélectionnée.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: On peut en effet supposer que les conférences budgétaires régionales portent sur tout ou partie des points 3/ politiques sociales 5/ projets d'investissement public et 6/ services publics, mais l'ampleur réelle de ces conférences n'est pas claire et la réponse c paraît donc légitime.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

128. Does the executive use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:

https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf.

Please consider only participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency designated by the government to implement participation mechanisms ("the executive") is currently using to allow the public to participate in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget. If the executive has designated a central coordinating agency to implement participation mechanisms throughout the national budget process, researchers may consider these mechanisms. Participation mechanisms used only by line ministries should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by the executive, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the implementation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenue administration, public service delivery, public investment project implementation, including procurement, and the administration of social transfer schemes.

To answer "a," the executive must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings, online, deliberative exchanges, procurement complaint mechanisms, and social monitoring and dialogue.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input on the implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which the executive seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include public hearings, surveys, focus groups, report cards, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if the executive has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to provide input on budget implementation, but:

1) *The mechanisms are not structured, happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.*

and/or

2) *The executive consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the executive determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.*

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", the researcher must have evidence that the government is holding participation mechanisms that have some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that all CSOs and members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

Examples include hotlines, Facebook announcements, one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Les décrets proclamant l'état d'urgence sanitaire sur tout le territoire de la République:

Cas du 1er décret:

<http://www.presidence.gov.mg/actualites/decret/756-decret-n-2020-359-du-21-mars-2020-proclamant-l-etat-d-urgence-sanitaire-sur-tout-le-territoire-de-la-republique.html>

Comment:

Pour une cohérence de la pratique mondiale, note "d"

L'absence des revues budgétaires en 2020 ne permet pas d'affirmer quoi que ce soit depuis la proclamation de l'état d'urgence sanitaire sur tout le territoire de la République, reconduite successivement depuis le mois de mars jusqu'au mois d'octobre 2020.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

129. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive take concrete steps to receive input from vulnerable and underrepresented parts of the population on the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle of "Inclusiveness", and examines the executive's effort to actively reach out to citizens who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes. The emphasis here is on national government's efforts to obtain input from members of the public who are from socially vulnerable groups and/or underrepresented in national processes during the implementation of the annual budget.

To answer "a," the executive must actively seek out individuals from at least one vulnerable and underrepresented community and/or civil society organization representing vulnerable and underrepresented individuals and communities. The researcher must provide evidence to show the government's efforts and actions. The researcher must speak with the relevant government official(s), and subsequently double check with an alternative source, such as representatives from vulnerable/underrepresented groups.

Answer "b" applies if the national executive does not take concrete steps to incorporate vulnerable/underrepresented individuals, or organizations representing them, into participation mechanisms or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Situation COVID

Les décrets proclamant l'état d'urgence sanitaire sur tout le territoire de la République:

Cas du 1er décret:

<http://www.presidence.gov.mg/actualites/decret/756-decret-n-2020-359-du-21-mars-2020-proclamant-l-etat-d-urgence-sanitaire-sur-tout-le-territoire-de-la-republique.html>

Comment:

pour assurer la cohérence entre les pays de l'enquête note "b"

L'absence des revues budgétaires en 2020 ne permet pas d'affirmer quoi que ce soit depuis la proclamation de l'état d'urgence sanitaire sur tout le territoire de la République, reconduite successivement depuis le mois de mars jusqu'au mois d'octobre 2020.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

130. During the implementation of the annual budget, which of the following topics does the executive's engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Changes in macroeconomic circumstances
2. Delivery of public services
3. Collection of revenue
4. Implementation of social spending
5. Changes in deficit and debt levels
6. Implementation of public investment projects

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider all of the mechanisms currently used by the executive to promote public participation during the implementation of the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics -- and for this reason the other questions assessing the executive's engagement with the public during budget implementation can be answered on the basis of engagement on topics other than the six listed above -- for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be ONLY the ones listed above. If the executive's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Loi adoptée 2021

Corps de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_LFI2021-Loi_n%C2%B0_2020-013_24122020_PROMULGUEE_SIGNEE.pdf

Annexe Tome1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-LOI%20N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

Annexe Tome2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-LOI%20N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

Annexe Tome3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-LOI%20N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

Comment:

Aucun engagement direct avec le public.

Peer Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

131. When the executive engages with the public, does it provide comprehensive prior information on the process of the engagement, so that the public can participate in an informed manner?

Comprehensive information must include at least three of the following elements:

1. Purpose
2. Scope
3. Constraints
4. Intended outcomes
5. Process and timeline

GUIDELINES:

This question relates to the GIFT principle of "Openness," and addresses whether the executive provides relevant information on the process of the engagement before public participation takes place, in order to help citizens engage effectively. The question addresses whether the "rules of the public engagement" are clearly spelled out, in advance and in detail, so that those members of the public who want to engage know how to do so, in terms of when they can do so, what they are expected to provide input on, by when, to whom, etc. This question does not cover the substance of the engagement, which is covered by questions 127 and 130.

Non-comprehensive information means that the government provides information that includes at least one but less than three of the elements listed above.

Purpose refers to a brief explanation of why the public engagement is being undertaken, including the executive's objectives for its engagement with the public.

Scope refers to what is within the subject matter of the engagement as well as what is outside the subject matter of the engagement. For example, the scope may include how a current policy is administered but exclude the specifics of the policies themselves.

Constraints refers to whether there are there any explicit limitations on the engagement. An example of a constraint could be that any policy changes must not cost (or forgo revenue) more than a specific amount or have no net fiscal cost.

Intended outcomes refers to what the executive hopes to achieve as a result of the engagement. Examples of intended outcomes could be revising a policy to better reflect citizen or service-user views or to improve the way in which a particular program is administered.

Process refers to the methods by which the public engagement will take place and the discrete steps in the process. For example, the process may simply be a one-off Internet-based consultation, with a summary published of public inputs and the official response. The process may involve simultaneous or overlapping steps, and may be conducted in one round or in two or more rounds of engagement.

Timeline refers to the specific dates on which the discrete steps in the process will take place, or during which they will be completed, and clear start and end dates for the overall engagement.

Answer "d" applies if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation or formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Loi adoptée 2021

Corps de loi

http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/CORPS_LFI2021-Loi_n%C2%B0_2020-013_24122020_PROMULGUEE_SIGNEE.pdf

Annexe Tome1

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME1-LOI%20N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

Annexe Tome2

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME2-LOI%20N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

Annexe Tome3

<http://www.mefb.gov.mg/assets/vendor/ckeditor/plugins/kcfinder/upload/files/LFI%202021-TOME3-LOI%20N%C2%B02020-013.pdf>

Comment:

Aucun engagement à l'égard des citoyens.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

132. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 125, does the executive provide the public with feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used in the formulation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used in the formulation of the annual budget, and how/why.

By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)*

Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and*
- *A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used.*

Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or*
- *A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.*

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

L'exécutif ne fournit pas au public un feed-back sur la manière dont les contributions des citoyens ont été utilisées dans la formulation du budget annuel.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

133. With regard to the mechanism identified in question 128, does the executive provide the public with information on how citizens' inputs have been used to assist in monitoring the implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the executive provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received during the implementation of the annual budget, which ones are take into account to improve budget monitoring, and how/why.

By "written record", we mean a document that is produced and released by the lead budget agency (Ministry of Finance, Treasury) that has set up and holds the participation activity.

Answer "a" applies when the executive provides a written document with:

- *The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how)*

Answer "b" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs received (e.g., a written transcript) from the public and
- A not-so-detailed report, such as a document with a few paragraphs, on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were or were not taken into account by the executive during budget monitoring.

Answer "c" applies when the executive provides a written document that includes:

- The inputs (e.g., a written transcript) received from the public or
- A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget implementation stage.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Aucune pratique de ce genre

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

134. Are participation mechanisms incorporated into the timetable for formulating the Executive's Budget Proposal?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Sustainability," "Timeliness" and "Complementarity" and addresses whether the executive is able to link participation mechanisms to the administrative processes that are used to create the annual budget.

Please note that "timetable" refers to a document setting deadlines for submissions from other government entities, such as line ministries or subnational government, to the Ministry of Finance or whatever central government agency is in charge of coordinating the budget's formulation. This document is sometimes referred to as the budget calendar and is the same document referenced in Question 53.

Answer "a" applies if the national executive establishes a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget. For answer choice "a", the timetable must be available to the public prior to the budget preparation process beginning.

Answer "b" applies if the executive does not establish a clear set of guidelines that enable citizens and civil servants to understand when participation mechanisms should be used to enable citizen inputs to be incorporated into the annual budget or if the executive does not use public participation mechanisms during the budget formulation or implementation stage.

Answer:

b. The requirements for an "a" response are not met.

Source:

Source devenue indisponible

<http://www.dgbudget.mg/calendrier-budgetaire>

Comment:

C'est le calendrier lui même qui n'est plus disponible et ne permet pas aux citoyens de savoir quant est ce que le public pourrait faire des propositions.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer
Opinion: Agree

135. Do one or more line ministries use participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during the formulation or implementation of the annual budget?

GUIDELINES

While questions 125 – 134 focus only on participation mechanisms that the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency currently use to allow the public to participate in the national budget process, this question asks about participation mechanisms used by line ministries to allow the public to participate in national budget processes. Thus, participation mechanisms used by the Ministry of Finance, lead budget agency, or central coordinating agency should not be used to answer this question. If there is more than one mechanism used by a line ministry or if multiple line ministries use participation mechanisms, please select the deepest or most interactive mechanism that reflects the government's efforts to incorporate citizens' input into the formulation and/or implementation of the annual budget.

This question reflects the GIFT principles on "Inclusiveness" and "Timeliness" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the executive are truly interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the executive.

The drafting of this question and its answers are partially drawn from the IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation, in particular with regards to the concepts of "involvement" (option "a" in the responses) and "consultation" (option "b"). See:

https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.iap2.org/resource/resmgr/foundations_course/IAP2_P2_Spectrum_FINAL.pdf

To answer "a," a line ministry must use open participation mechanisms that involve the public in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This means that a public process is in place whereby CSOs and/or individual members of the public and government officials interact, and have the opportunity to express their opinions to each other in what can be considered a public dialogue between them (i.e., in-person and online discussion forums). Additionally, the mechanism should be open to any CSO and/or individual members of the public who wish to participate. By selecting this answer, the researcher must present evidence to support the presence of a public dialogue among citizens and government official. Examples include public meetings and online deliberative exchanges.

Answer "b" applies if an open consultation mechanism is in place whereby members of the public (i.e., individuals and/or CSOs as well as academics, independent experts, policy think tanks, and business organizations) can provide their input in the formulation or implementation of the annual budget. This answer applies if the government is using a mechanism that is structured and well established, and not ad-hoc. The researcher must present evidence to support the presence of consultative processes through which a line ministry seeks out inputs from citizens. Examples include surveys, focus groups, report cards, published policy consultation exercises, and online platforms that government officials actively manage to solicit inputs.

Answer "c" applies if a line ministry has established a mechanism or mechanisms to allow citizens to participate in the budget formulation phase, but:

1) The mechanisms are not structured and happen only on ad-hoc basis, or not regularly.

and/or

2) A line ministry consults with and/or interacts with, citizens, but there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, and the line ministry determines fully or partially such selection process by inviting specific groups (for example by making an open call but just to experts from a particular sector, or naming specific organizations). While it is not possible for all citizens and/or CSOs to participate in this or other phases of the budget process, options "a" and "b" apply if the government does not exercise any discretion in determining who is allowed to participate. While there is likely going to be self-selection, it is important that the selection is not made by the executive.

In cases where there is discretion in who is allowed to participate, to select answer choice "c", there should be some sort of public record (held in public, minutes of meetings released to public) so that the all CSOs and individual members of the public can have knowledge of the meeting, who participated, and what was discussed.

The researcher must present evidence to support selection of a "c" response.

Examples of mechanisms that might qualify as a "c" response include hotlines, Facebook announcements, and one-off meetings with NGOs in which there is a public record.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Pas de source de justification

Comment:

Pratique non encore mise en oeuvre

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

136. Does the legislature or the relevant legislative committee(s) hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the formulation of the annual budget (pre-budget and/or approval stages)?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislative are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) has put in place and is using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the annual budget. This includes deliberations during the pre-budget phase (i.e., when the executive is still in the process of formulating the draft budget) and the budget discussions after the budget has been tabled to parliament and before it is approved. In the comment box, please specify during which stage of the budget cycle the legislature has put in place a public participation mechanism.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual Members of Parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

If there is more than one mechanism, please select a mechanism that best shows/reflects the legislature's efforts to incorporate citizens into the formulation of the annual budget. The participation mechanisms can involve a range of different issues, such as revenues, policy selection, and macro-fiscal planning (please note that the issue of coverage is covered in a subsequent question).

To answer "a," the legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:

- *The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;*
- *Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs; but*
- *There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.*

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- *The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;*
- *Testimony is not allowed from members of the public or CSOs;*
- *No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, but*
- *The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)*
- *The legislature has a provision (via standing orders or in law) through which the public can submit their inputs, and members of the public or CSOs actively use it to submit opinions on the budget.*

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Pas de pratique de ce genre

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Comments: Pas d'elements sur ce sujet a la connaissance du reviewer.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

137. During the legislative deliberations on the annual budget (pre-budget or approval stages), which of the following key topics does the legislature's (or relevant legislative budget committee) engagement with citizens cover?

For the purpose of this question, key topics are considered to be:

1. Macroeconomic issues
2. Revenue forecasts, policies, and administration
3. Social spending policies
4. Deficit and debt levels
5. Public investment projects
6. Public services

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Timeliness". Please consider the range of mechanisms currently used by the legislature to promote public participation during legislative deliberations on the annual budget.

Please note that while the public engagement can/may cover other topics, for the purpose of answering this question, "key topics" are considered to be only the ones listed above. If the legislature's engagement with the public covers topics other than the six listed above, please specify these topics in the comments.

Note also that this question assesses only the coverage of public engagement (i.e., "what issues is the public invited to engage on?") and issues related to the depth of engagement or selectivity of engaged are not dealt with by this question.

If written materials about the public engagement, such as an invitation, do not specify the coverage of the public engagement, but the researcher has personally participated in the engagement, s/he may respond to this question based on firsthand experience of the coverage of the public engagement.

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Aucun engagement pour les citoyens

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Comments: Pas d'elements sur ce sujet a la connaissance du reviewer.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

138. Does the legislature provide feedback to the public on how citizens' inputs have been used during legislative deliberations on the annual budget?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the legislature provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received and how inputs were used during legislative deliberations (please note that these deliberations can refer to the pre-budget and approval phases). By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the legislature.

Answer "a" applies when the legislature provides a written document with:

- *The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).*

Answer "b" applies when the legislature provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) and*
- *A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used in legislative deliberations on the annual budget (please note that these deliberations refer to the pre-budget and approval phases).*

Answer "c" applies when the legislature makes available a video recording of the relevant legislative session or provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs received from the public (e.g., a written transcript) or*
- *A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.*

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the annual budget.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Aucun mécanisme de ce genre n'a été prévu ni fait. Cette pratique n'a jamais été utilisée au niveau du parlement.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Comments: Pas d'elements sur ce sujet a la connaissance du reviewer.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

139. Does the legislature hold public hearings and/or use other participation mechanisms through which the public can provide input during its public deliberations on the Audit Report?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principle on "Sustainability," "Transparency," and "Complementarity" and assesses the extent to which the participation mechanism(s) used by the legislature are interactive and involve a two-way conversation between citizens and the legislature, rather than being limited to allowing the public to attend or hear public budget deliberations.

A key constitutional role of the legislature in almost all countries is to oversee the government's management of public resources. While the Supreme Audit Institution is responsible for checking the government's accounts and publishing the outcome of their audits, for accountability purposes it is essential that the legislature reviews and scrutinizes those reports, and checks on whether the executive is taking the appropriate corrective actions based on the Supreme Audit Institution's recommendations.

Holding public hearings to review audit findings allows the public to learn more about how the government has managed its resources for the budget years that have ended, and demand accountability in case of mismanagement and irregularities. Reviewing and discussing those reports in public is therefore a key responsibility of a legislature.

Please note that by "Audit Report" we refer to the same audit report assessed in the transparency section of this Survey, i.e., one of the eight key budget documents that all governments (in this case, the Supreme Audit Institution) must produce, according to best practice.

Please consider participation mechanisms that the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) have put in place and using to allow the public to participate in their deliberations on the Audit Report.

Mechanisms through which members of the public reach out to individual members of parliament as opposed to the legislature (both in its whole institution or its relevant budget/public accounts/finance committees) or unofficial hearings organized by a subset of committee members should not be considered in answering this question.

To answer "a," the national legislature must hold public hearings where citizens are allowed to testify. This answer applies only if the legislature does not exercise discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can testify (for example, participation takes place on a first-come-first-served basis).

Answer "b" should be selected if the following applies:

- *The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;*
- *No testimony is allowed from the public; BUT*
- *There are other means used by the legislature to receive and collect views from citizens and CSOs on the budget, and the legislature does not exercise*

discretion in determining which citizens and/or CSOs can provide input. The researcher must provide evidence to support the presence of those alternative processes through which the legislature seeks inputs from citizens. For example, there should be a public record indicating that views from citizens and the public were sought.

Answer "c" should be selected if the following applies:

- The legislature holds public hearings on the budget;
- No testimony is allowed from the public;
- No other means are used by the legislature to receive and collect views/input from citizens and CSOs on the budget, BUT
- The legislature invites a few individuals/groups to provide input (through public hearings or elsewhere)

Answer "d" applies if the requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if the legislature does not use public participation mechanisms during its deliberations on the Audit Report.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Ce genre de mécanisme/pratique n'a jamais été effectué au niveau du corps législatif.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: I choose not to review this question

Comments: Pas d'elements sur ce sujet a la connaissance du reviewer.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

140. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggest issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program (for example, by bringing ideas on agencies, programs, or projects that could be audited)?

GUIDELINES:

This question assesses whether the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) has established mechanisms through which the public can provide suggestions on issues/topics to be included in its audit program. When deciding its audit agenda, the SAI may undertake audits for a sample of agencies, projects, and programs in the country; and such a selection could be based on complaints and suggestions made by members of the public. To receive such suggestions, the SAI may create formal mechanisms, like setting up a website, hotline, or office (or assigning staff to liaise with the public).

Please note that formal mechanisms that do not explicitly seek the public's input in the audit program (such as general comment submission boxes on the SAI's website) should not be considered for this question.

Answer:

a. Yes, the SAI maintains formal mechanisms through which the public can suggest issues/topics to include in its audit program.

Source:

Exemple de coopération rapportée par le Média

<https://lexpress.mg/09/09/2020/audit-juridictionnel-vers-une-suspension-definitive-de-base-toliara/>

Comment:

Protocole d'accord entre ISC/OSC en matière de surveillance du secteur des industries extractives (16 mars 2019). MSIS-Tatao est signataire de ce document.

Malheureusement, il n'y a pas de lien accessible au public vers cet accord.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Le champ d'action de ce protocole est toutefois limite par rapport aux competences de l'ISC.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

141. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) provide the public with feedback on how citizens' inputs have been used to determine its audit program?

GUIDELINES:

This question reflects the GIFT principles of "Transparency" and "Sustainability", and examines the extent to which the Supreme Audit Institution provides information to citizens on which public inputs were received, which ones are used to determine the Supreme Audit Institution's audit program. By "written record" in this question, we mean a document that is produced and released by the Supreme Audit Institution.

Answer "a" applies when the Supreme Audit Institution provides a written document with:

- *The inputs received from the public and*
- *A detailed report on how the inputs were used or not used (such report should include information on which inputs were used or not used, why, and how).*

Answer "b" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:

- *The inputs received from the public and*
- *A not-so-detailed report on how public inputs were used or not used. This document only gives a general idea on how those inputs were used or not used to determine the SAI's annual audit program.*

Answer "c" applies when the SAI provides a written document that includes:

- *The received from the public or*
- *A report (being it detailed or not-so-detailed) on how public inputs have been used or not used.*

Answer "d" applies if requirements for a "c" response or above are not met or if maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can suggests issues/topics to include in the SAI's audit program.

Answer:

d. The requirements for a "c" response or above are not met.

Source:

Aucune

Comment:

Malgré la signature du protocole, aucun écrit de ce type n'a été justifié.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

142. Does the Supreme Audit Institution (SAI) maintain formal mechanisms through which the public can contribute to audit investigations (as respondents, witnesses, etc.)?

GUIDELINES:

This question mirrors question 140, but instead of covering public assistance in formulating the SAI's audit program, it focuses on whether the Supreme Audit Institution has established mechanisms through which the public can participate in audit investigations. In addition to seeking public input to determine its audit agenda, the SAI may wish to provide formal opportunities for the public and civil society organizations to participate in the actual audit investigations, as witnesses or respondents.

Answer:

a. Yes, SAI maintains formal mechanisms through which the public can contribute to audit investigations.

Source:

Mme RAMIANDRISOA Lalaina (Juge financier) à la Cour

Comment:

Il est attendu que la plateforme, un mécanisme formel entre l'ISC et les OSC, constitue le cadre permanent par excellence de concertation entre l'ISC et les OSC signataires.

Aux termes de l'article 2 de ce protocole, les résultats attendus sont :

- la collaboration active entre l'ISC et les OSC à travers la promotion de la bonne gouvernance dans le secteur extractif, l'échange et le partage d'informations fiables, crédibles et pertinentes, le renforcement de capacités et de connaissances, la mise à disposition d'expertise et la préparation commune des actions d'interpellation et de plaidoyer ;

- l'établissement d'une communication fluide et permanente entre l'ISC et les OSC afin de contribuer au renforcement de la chaîne de surveillance du secteur extractif à Madagascar ;

- l'implication accrue des OSC dans la diffusion et le suivi de la mise en œuvre des résultats d'audit de l'ISC dans le secteur extractif.

Malheureusement, il n'y a pas de lien accessible au public vers l'accord qui a établi la plateforme.

Peer Reviewer

Opinion: Agree

Comments: Ce mecanisme semble toutefois limite au secteur de l'extraction miniere et ne couvre pas l'ensemble des activites de l'ISC.

Government Reviewer

Opinion: Agree